## LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Regular Board Meeting
Thursday, December 18, 2014
7:00 PM

# LAMAR CISD BOARD OF TRUSTEES <br> REGULAR BOARD MEETING <br> BRAZOS CROSSING ADMINISTRATION BUILDING <br> 3911 AVENUE I, ROSENBERG, TEXAS <br> DECEMBER 18, 2014 <br> 7:00 PM 

## AGENDA

1. Call to order and establishment of a quorum
2. Opening of meeting
3. Recognitions/awards
4. Student Reports
A. Kindergarten Tech Apps
5. Audience to patrons
6. Approval of minutes
A. November 18, 2014 - Special Board Meeting 6
B. November 20, 2014 - Regular Board Meeting 8
7. Board members reports
A. Meetings and events
8. Superintendent reports
A. Meetings and events
B. Information for immediate attention
C. Introductions

## 9. ACTION ITEMS

A. Goal: Instructional

1. Consider approval of out-of-state trip requests, including, but not limited to:
a. George Ranch High School Speech and Debate 15
b. Lamar Consolidated High School Band 16
B. Goal: Planning
2. Consider ratification of Quarterly Investment Report 17
3. Consider approval of budget amendment requests 24
4. Consider ratification of Financial and Investment Reports 26
5. Consider renewal of delinquent tax attorney contract 30
6. Consider approval of charter bus service 36
7. Consider approval of donations to the district, including, but not limited to: 40
a. Frost Elementary School
b. Hubenak Elementary School
c. Huggins Elementary School
d. Taylor Ray Elementary School
8. Consider approval of January 22, 2015 for Board/Superintendent Team ..... 41
Building Training
9. Consider approval of professional surveying services ..... 42
10. Consider approval of procurement method for the 2015 summer renovation ..... 43 projects
11. Discussion and action on the comprehensive review of Lamar CISD ..... 47
Transportation by the Texas A\&M University Transportation Institute
12. Consider approval of Attendance Boundary Committee ..... 48
C. Goal: Technology
13. Consider approval of network support agreement ..... 54
14. Consider approval of security camera replacements ..... 59
15. INFORMATION ITEMS
A. Goal: Communications
16. School Board Recognition Month ..... 61
B. Goal: Personnel
17. Report on Board Member Training ..... 63
C. Goal: Instructional
18. College-Readiness Testing Report, 2013-2014 ..... 64
D. Goal: Planning
19. Stewardship Report ..... 71
20. Lamar Education Awards Foundation (LEAF) Update ..... 72
21. Tax Collection Report ..... 73
22. Payments for Construction Projects ..... 79
23. Region 4 Maintenance and Operations Update ..... 81
24. Bond Update ..... 86
25. TASB 2014-2016 Advocacy Agenda ..... 91
26. CLOSED SESSION
A. Adjournment to closed session pursuant to Texas Government Code Sections551.071, 551.072, 551.074, and 551.082, the Open Meetings Act, for thefollowing purposes: (Time
$\qquad$
27. Section 551.074 - For the purpose of considering the appointment,92employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline or dismissal of apublic officer or employee or to hear complaints or charges against a publicofficer or employee.
a. Approval of personnel recommendations or employment of professional personnel
b. Employment of professional personnel (Information) ..... 93
c. Employee resignations and retirements (Information) ..... 94
d. Superintendent's Evaluation
e. Reassignment of professional personnel (Information)95
28. Section 551.072 - For the purpose of discussing the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property
a. Land
b. Consider approval of 14.4628 acre tract for elementary site in the Creekside Ranch subdivision
29. Section 551.071 - To meet with the District's attorney to discuss matters in which the duty of the attorney to the District under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Open Meetings Act, including the grievance/complaint hearing.
a. Any item listed on the agenda
b. Discuss pending, threatened, or potential litigation, including school finance litigation

## RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION

## Action on Closed Session Items Future Agenda Items

$\qquad$
ADJOURNMENT: (Time )

If during the course of the meeting covered by this notice, the Board should determine that a closed session of the Board should be held or is required in relation to an item noticed in this meeting, then such closed session as authorized by Section 551.001 et seq. of the Texas Government Code (the Open Meetings Act) will be held by the Board at that date, hour or place given in this notice or as soon after the commencement of the meeting covered by this notice as the Board may conveniently meet in such closed session concerning any and all subjects and for any and all purposes permitted by Section 551.071551.084, inclusive, of the Open Meetings Act, including, but not limited to:

Section 551.084 - For the purpose of excluding witness or witnesses from a hearing during examination of another witness.

Section 551.071 - For the purpose of a private consultation with the Board's attorney on any or all subjects or matters authorized by law.

Section 551.072 - For the purpose of discussing the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property.

Section 551.073 - For the purpose of considering a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation.

Section 551.074 - For the purpose of considering the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline or dismissal of a public officer or employee or to hear complaints or charges against a public officer or employee.

Section 551.082 - For the purpose of considering discipline of a public school child or children or to hear a complaint by an employee against another employee if the complaint or charge directly results in a need for a hearing.

Section 551.076 - To consider the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices.

Section 551.083 - For the purpose of considering the standards, guidelines, terms or conditions the Board will follow, or instruct its representatives to follow, in consultation with representatives of employee groups in connection with consultation agreements provided for by Section 13.901 of the Texas Education Code.

Section 551.0821 - For the purpose of deliberating a matter regarding a public school student if personally identifiable information about the student will necessarily be revealed by the deliberation.

Should any final action, final decision or final vote be required in the opinion of the Board with regard to any matter considered in such closed session, then such final action, final decision or final vote shall be at either:
a. the open meeting covered by this notice upon the reconvening of this public meeting, or
b. at a subsequent public meeting of the Board upon notice thereof, as the Board may determine.

## CERTIFICATE AS TO POSTING OR GIVING OF NOTICE

On this 12th day of December 2014 at 3:00 p.m., this notice was posted on a bulletin board located at a place convenient to the public in the central administrative offices of the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District, 3911 Avenue I, Rosenberg, Texas 77471, and in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times.

Honer Vacek
Karen Vacek
Secretary to Superintendent

## Special Meeting

## Be It Remembered

The State of Texas ..... §
County of Fort Bend§
Lamar Consolidated Independent School District ..... §
Notice of Regular Meeting Held

On this the $18^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2014 the Board of Trustees of the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District of Fort Bend County, Texas met in Special Session in Rosenberg, Fort Bend County, Texas.

## 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A QUORUM

This meeting was duly called to order by the President of the Board of Trustees, Julie Thompson, at 6:32 p.m.

## Members Present:

| Julie Thompson | President |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rhonda Zacharias | Vice President |
| Kay Danziger | Secretary |
| Anna Gonzales | Member |
|  |  |
| Members Absent: |  |
|  |  |
| Dar Hakimzadeh | Member |
| Kathryn Kaminski | Member |
| Frank Torres | Member |

## Others Present:

Thomas Randle
Kevin McKeever
Superintendent
Laura Lyons
Mike Rockwood

Administrator for Operations
Executive Director of Elementary Education
Executive Director of Community Relations

## BUSINESS TRANSACTED

Business properly coming before the Board was transacted as follows: to witness-

## 2. AUDIENCE TO PATRONS

None

## 3. ACTION ITEMS

## 3. A GOAL: PLANNING

## 3. A-1 Adoption of Order Canvassing Returns and Declaring Results of Schoolhouse Bond Election of November 4, 2014

It was moved by Ms. Zacharias and seconded by Ms. Danziger that the Board of Trustees adopt the Order Canvassing Returns and declaring results of Schoolhouse Bond Election of November 4, 2014 as presented. The motion carried unanimously. (See inserted pages 2-A-2-F.)

## ADJOURNMENT TO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 551.071, 551.072, 551.074, AND 551.082, THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:

1. Section 551.074 - For the purpose of considering the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline or dismissal of a public officer or employee or to hear complaints or charges against a public officer or employee.
a. Approval of personnel recommendations or employment of professional personnel
b. Employment of professional personnel (Information)
c. Employee resignations and retirements (Information)
2. Section 551.072 - For the purpose of discussing the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property
a. Land acquisition
3. Section 551.071 - To meet with the District's attorney to discuss matters in which the duty of the attorney to the District under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Open Meetings Act, including the grievance/complaint hearing.
a. Any item listed on the agenda
b. Discuss pending, threatened, or potential litigation, including school finance litigation

The Board did not convene in Closed Session.

## ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 6:35 p.m.
LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
Signed:

Kay Danziger
Secretary of the Board of Trustees

## Regular Meeting

## Be It Remembered

The State of Texas ..... §
County of Fort Bend§
Lamar Consolidated Independent School District ..... §
Notice of Regular Meeting Held

On this the $20^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2014 the Board of Trustees of the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District of Fort Bend County, Texas met in Regular Session in Rosenberg, Fort Bend County, Texas.

## 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A QUORUM

This meeting was duly called to order by the President of the Board of Trustees, Julie Thompson, at 7:00 p.m.

## Members Present:

| Julie Thompson | President |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rhonda Zacharias | Vice President |
| Kay Danziger | Secretary |
| Anna Gonzales | Member |
| Dar Hakimzadeh | Member |
| Kathryn Kaminski | Member |
| Frank Torres | Member |

## Others Present:

Thomas Randle
Kevin McKeever Jill Ludwig Laura Lyons Kathleen Bowen Mike Rockwood David Jacobson Rick Morris

Superintendent
Administrator for Operations
Chief Financial Officer
Executive Director of Elementary Education
Chief Human Resources Officer
Executive Director of Community Relations
Chief Technology Information Officer
Attorney

## BUSINESS TRANSACTED

Business properly coming before the Board was transacted as follows: to witness-

## OPENING OF MEETING

The prayer was led by Dr. Bevers and the pledge of allegiance was recited.

## 2. RECOGNITIONS/AWARDS

None

## 3. STUDENT REPORTS

## a. Agricultural Science

The following students presented to the Board information about the FFA/Agricultural programs in the District:

Foster High School students: Madelyn Gage, Wendy Hobdy, and Rockie Thielemann George Ranch High School students: Carter Feldhoff, Christopher Flores-Lopez, Mason Kloeber, and Leo Lin

## 4. AUDIENCE TO PATRONS

None
5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 16, 2014 REGULAR BOARD MEETING

It was moved by Ms. Zacharias and seconded by Ms. Danziger that the Board of Trustees approve the minutes from the October 16, 2014 Regular Board Meeting.

## 6. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

## a. Meetings and Events

Ms. Danziger reported the Technology Committee met and the items discussed are included in tonight's agenda. She also reported that she attended the Fort Bend Strong event where seven students from our District won scholarships. She went with the LEAF prize patrol to present grants to several schools. LEAF has dispersed over \$317,000 worth of grants to teachers this year. To date, for the last 15 years, LEAF has given over $\$ 2$ million worth of grants. She enjoys watching the teachers receive the grants, they are all so appreciative.

Ms. Gonzales reported the Facilities Committee met and received 2011 Bond updates, 2015 summer programs, and information about items on tonight's agenda.

Ms. Zacharias reported she attended the Fort Bend Strong event. She also attended a Recipe for Success meeting, along with Ms. Lyons. This is an event where HISD has adopted a program where they teach the children to harvest food. It has been very successful and has become a national program.

Ms. Kaminski reported the Finance Committee met and everything is very positive. Mr. Richard attended and gave them some insight from his view and experience. She also attended a SHAC meeting which is for the health and wellness groups. They will be presenting a proposal to the Board in the future.

## Minutes of Regular Board Meeting November 20, 2014 - page 5

Ms. Thompson reported that the Board canvassed the votes on Tuesday night for the Bond Election. She is extremely proud of our staff for the communication job, for the formulation of the bond issue, for helping our community establish priorities for our District, and for moving it forward so that the community had good solid information. The Bond passed overwhelmingly with solid support for the mission of LCISD and what we are trying to accomplish for our students.

Ms. Zacharias wanted to add a comment, this was the most support we have had with a Bond.

## 7. SUPERINTENDENT REPORTS

## a. Meetings and Events

b. Information for Immediate Attention

Dr. Randle thanked the Board for their comments. He wanted to express how appreciative we are to the community for supporting Lamar CISD. The evidence is shown by the passing of the Bond election with a $65 \%$ approval rating. This Bond program will go far in dealing with overcrowding issues on several campuses as well as providing classroom space for the new families that are arriving in our District every day. Today we are currently at 28,450 students. It is no surprise that Lamar CISD is one of the fastest growing Districts in the Greater Houston area because this community values education and our residents are dedicated to the future of our children. That message was sent with this election. He is honored by the voters' faith in our District and the ability to provide quality education. On behalf of the more than 4500 employees of Lamar CISD, he wanted to say thank you to the public for all of its support with the 2014 Bond.

## c. Introductions

Dr. Bowen introduced a new administrator to the district:
Courtney Muceus, assistant principal at Adolphus Elementary
8. PUBLIC HEARING ON TARGET IMPROVEMENT FOR WESSENDORFF AND NAVARRO MIDDLE SCHOOLS

The hearing was opened at 7:26 p.m.
Dr. Bevers presented to the Board the work that Wessendorff and Navarro Middle Schools are going through to improve student success on their campuses. In 2014, both these campuses received an improvement required rating under the new accountability system. That system is designed to measure student success in four different areas: Student Achievement, Student Progress or Growth, Closing the Performance Gaps, and PostSecondary Readiness. To meet those standards, campuses must meet set performance criteria in each of those indexes. Both campuses missed by a couple of points. Dr. Patton, Ms. Hester, and Mr. Moore were available to answer any questions.

Mr. Torres stepped out of the meeting at 7:40 p.m.
There being no more discussion, the hearing was closed to the public at 7:42 p.m.

ACTION ITEMS FOR CONSENT OF APPROVAL: 9. A-1 - 9. A-3; 9. B-1 - 9. B-8; 9. C-1 - 9. C2; and 9. D-1

It was moved by Ms. Danziger and seconded by Ms. Gonzales that the Board of Trustees approve these action items as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

## 9. A GOAL: INSTRUCTIONAL

9. A-1 Approval of the Targeted Improvement Plans for Navarro and approved the Targeted Improvement Plans for Navarro and Wessendorff Middle Schools.
10. A-2 Approval of District Instructional Materials Adoption Committee approved the District Instructional Materials Adoption Committee members as submitted.
11. A-3 Approval of the 2015-2016 Student Course Selection Catalog approved the 2015-2016 Student Course Selection Catalog as presented.

## 9. B GOAL: PLANNING

9. B-1 Approval of Budget Amendment Requests approved budget amendment requests as attached. (See inserted page 7-A.)
10. B-2 Consider Ratification of Financial and Investment Reports
ratified the financial and investment reports as presented.
11. B-3 Adoption of a resolution approving the tax-exempt bond conversion agreement related to the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District unlimited tax refunding bond, series 2013A; and enacting other provisions related thereto
adopted the Resolution approving the Tax-Exempt Bond Conversion Agreement related to the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Unlimited Tax Refunding Bond, Series 2013A; and enacting other provisions related thereto. (See inserted pages 7-B - 7-P.)
12. B-4 Approval of Donations to the District, including, but not limited to:
a. Antoinette Reading Junior High School
b. Austin Elementary School
c. Frost Elementary School
d. Hubenak Elementary School
e. Velasquez Elementary School

Approved donations to the district.

| 9. B-5 | Approval of renewal of District security and fire alarm monitoring service |
| :--- | :--- |
| approved the renewal (for Year 2) of RFP \#30-2013 to API Systems Group, with <br> pricing remaining as reflected on the original tabulation of proposals. |  |

9. B-6 Approval of renewal of elevator maintenance and inspection approved the renewal (for Year 2) of CSP \#32-2013 to Elevator Transportation Services, Inc., with pricing remaining as reflected on the original tabulation of proposals.
10. B-7 $\quad$ Approval of final payment for the Lamar Consolidated High School
approved the final payment of $\$ 2,641.25$ to Bass Construction Company for the construction of the Lamar Consolidated High School baseball/softball complex.
11. B-8 Dedication Deed to City of Fulshear for the . 932 acre water plant site located on the Huggins Elementary campus
approved the Dedication Deed to City of Fulshear for the 0.932 acre water plant site located on the Huggins Elementary campus. (See inserted pages 8-A - 8-F.)

## 9. C GOAL: TECHNOLOGY

9. C-1 Approval of Microsoft Volume Licensing Enrollment for Education Solutions
approved Dell's proposal to renew the Microsoft Volume Licensing Enrollment for Education Solutions (EES) at a cost of \$192,891.30 annually for three years. (See inserted pages 8-G - 8-T.)
10. C-2 Approval of additional computers and laptop carts for district-wide computer refresh
approved Dell's proposal to provide 210 managed student computer laptop carts at a cost of \$503,997.90.
11. D GOAL: PERSONNEL
12. D-1 Approval of New PDAS Appraisers for Teaching Staff, 2014-2015 School Year
approved the 2014 - 2015 Professional Development Appraisal System (PDAS) appraiser(s) who have recently become certified or are new to Lamar Consolidated Independent School District (LCISD).

## 9. INFORMATION ITEMS

9. A GOAL: INSTRUCTIONAL
10. A-1 2014 Highly Qualified Teacher Report

## 9. B GOAL: PLANNING

## 9. B-1 Parent Involvement Update

9. B-2 Tax Collection Report
10. B-3 Payments for Construction Projects
11. B-4 Region 4 Maintenance and Operations Update
12. B-5 Bond Update

## ADJOURNMENT TO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 551.071, 551.072, 551.074, AND 551.082, THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:

1. Section 551.074 - For the purpose of considering the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline or dismissal of a public officer or employee or to hear complaints or charges against a public officer or employee.
a. Approval of personnel recommendations or employment of professional personnel
b. Employment of professional personnel (Information)
c. Employee resignations and retirements (Information)
d. Consider approval of Director of Network Services
e. Reassignment of professional personnel (Information)
2. Section 551.072 - For the purpose of discussing the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property
a. Land
3. Section 551.071 - To meet with the District's attorney to discuss matters in which the duty of the attorney to the District under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Open Meetings Act, including the grievance/complaint hearing.
a. Any item listed on the agenda
b. Discuss pending, threatened, or potential litigation, including school finance litigation

The Board adjourned to Closed Session at 7:47 p.m. for the purposes listed above.
Mr. Torres returned during closed session.

## RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION - ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION

The Board reconvened in Open Session at 7:52 p.m.

## 11. A-1(a) Approval of Personnel Recommendations or Employment of Professional Personnel

It was moved by Ms. Danziger and seconded by Ms. Gonzales that the Board of Trustees approve personnel as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

## Employed

| Mobley, Rebecca | TBD | College/Career Facilitator | George Ranch High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O'Neal, Marva | TBD | Federal Programs Coordinator | State \& Federal Programs |
| Perez, Daniel | TBD | Campus Instructional Technology <br> Specialist | Technology |
| Zhang, Rui | TBD | Web Developer | Technology |

## 11. A-1(d) Approval of Director of Network Services

It was moved by Ms. Zacharias and seconded by Ms. Gonzales that the Board of Trustees approve the recommendation of Jason Bright as the Director of Network Services. The motion carried unanimously.

## FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

## ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 7:53 p.m.

## LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Signed:

## Julie Thompson

President of the Board of Trustees

Kay Danziger
Secretary of the Board of Trustees

# 9.A.\#1a. - INSTRUCTIONAL BOARD REPORT 

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF STUDENT TRIP REQUESTS

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve out-of-state travel for George Ranch High School Speech and Debate Team to travel to Cambridge, Massachusetts on February 13-17, 2015.

## IMPACT/ RATIONALE:

George Ranch High School Speech and Debate team requests permission to travel to Cambridge, Massachusetts from February 13-17, 2015 by airplane. The approximate cost for each student and sponsor is \$600, for a grand total of \$6,000. Meals, airfare, hotel, entry fees, and ground transportation are included in the cost. Approximately 10 students are expected to attend contingent upon qualifying and parent approval. Five adults, including debate coach Erica Richards and Claudia Torres will be chaperoning the students. District funds would be used to cover the costs of sponsor expenses and tournament registration. All other costs would be covered by student fundraisers.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Harvard Speech and Debate Tournament is a qualifier for the Tournament of Champions in Extemporaneous Speaking, as well as Debate. The tournament includes competitors from nearly 30 states throughout the country. The competition serves as an excellent preparation for the TFA State Championship, the Tournament of Champions, and the National Forensic League National Championship, as well as the UIL competition at district, region, and state levels.

Submitted by: Dr. Walter Bevers, Executive Director of Secondary Education Ramiro Estrada, Director of Fine Arts

Recommended for approval:
Thomas Randle

Dr. Thomas Randle

Superintendent

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF STUDENT TRIP REQUESTS

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve out-of-state travel for the Lamar Consolidated High School Band to travel to Chicago, Illinois on March 10-13, 2016.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

The Lamar Consolidated High School Band requests permission to travel to Chicago, Illinois on March 10-13, 2016 by airplane. The estimated cost per individual will be $\$ 1,800$ which includes the cost of airfare, meals, lodging, ground transportation, and entertainment. Two hundred fifty students are expected to participate, as well as six staff members, and thirty chaperones. The expenses for the trip will be paid by fundraising activities by the Lamar Band Booster Club.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

Performances at Orchestra Hall and the St. Patrick's Day Parade are planned for this trip, in addition to sightseeing in the greater Chicago area. The Lamar Consolidated High School Mustang Band has traveled out-of-state at various intervals since 1964 to destinations including New York, NY; Enid, OK; Fort Estes, CO; Carlsbad, NM; Atlanta, GA; and Orlando, FL. This would be the band's first trip to Chicago, and their third trip by air.

Submitted by: Dr. Walter Revers, Executive Director of Secondary Education Ramiro Estrada, Director of Fine Arts

Recommended for approval:
Thomas Randle
Dr. Thomas Raddle
Superintendent

# CONSIDER RATIFICATION OF QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REPORT SEPTEMBER 2014 THROUGH NOVEMBER 2014 

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees ratify the quarterly investment report as submitted for the quarter ending November 30, 2014.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

This report is required by state law and local policy CDA and includes all the pertinent information regarding the District's current investments. Investment officers for the District will be present at the meeting to answer any questions about the report and the District's cash and investment position.

Submitted by: Jill Ludwig, CPA, RTSBA, Chief Financial Officer
Yvonne Dawson, Budget and Treasury Officer
Michele Reynolds, Director of Finance

Recommended for approval:


Dr. Thomas Raddle
Superintendent

# Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Quarterly Report of Investment Activity for the quarter ending November 30, 2014 

## Preface

House Bill 2459 amended the section of the Education Code that dealt with the investment of school district funds. Code Section 2256.023 as amended requires that the Investment Officer of the District prepare and submit to the Board of Trustees a report of investment activity and position on a quarterly basis. The attached report complies, to the best of our knowledge and ability, with the new requirements, and covers the period September 1, 2014 through November 30, 2014.

## Investment Strategy by Fund

## GENERAL FUND STRATEGY:

Investments purchased will be limited to those authorized by the District's investment policy, Board Policy CDA (Legal) and CDA (Local), and be diversified by security type and institution. To the extent possible, the District will attempt to match its investments with anticipated cash flow requirements. Investments may be made in short term securities to maintain appropriate liquidity levels, avoid market risk, and generate superior returns during periods of rising interest rates. The District will limit its maximum stated maturities to one year, unless specific authority to exceed is given by the Board of Trustees (prior to purchase). The District will determine what the appropriate average weighted maturity of the portfolio should be based on the surrounding economic climate. This determination will be made on a periodic basis, by analysis of economic data, at least annually. Investments should be purchased with the intent of holding until maturity.

Reserve funds may be invested in securities exceeding one year if the maturity of such investments is made to coincide with the expected use of the funds. The ability to invest these types of funds should be disclosed to the Board of Trustees, including appropriate time restrictions, if any exist.

## DEBT SERVICE FUND STRATEGY:

The investment strategy for the Debt Service Fund is the same as that for the General Fund above, with the following exceptions. The weighted average maturity of investments for the fund may be slightly greater due to the timing of disbursements. The greatest outflow of funds occurs in February and August of each year, when bond interest and/or principal is due. Based on published debt service schedules, investments purchased will mature prior to these obligations and need for funds. Other cash requirements will be considered prior to investment.

The District does not anticipate the existence of significant reserve funds for the Debt Service Fund.

## CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND STRATEGY:

Generally, the investment strategy for the Capital Projects Fund is the same as that of the General Fund. The remaining proceeds of the 2004, 2005, 2007, 2012, and 2014 issues are currently invested in Texpool Investment Pool. Proceeds of the 1998, 1999, 2007, 2008, 2012, and 2014 issues are invested in the Lone Star and the MBIA Texas CLASS Investment Pools. Proceeds of the 2007, 2008, 2012, and 2014 issue are also invested in TexStar and Texas Term Daily Fund Investment Pools. The yield on the funds varies with the rates for the pools as a whole.

As required by law, the District will monitor the investment earnings on the bond proceeds and comply with federal arbitrage regulations. The Board of Trustees approved an agreement for consulting services with First Southwest Co. to assist the District in this effort.

## FOOD SERVICE, WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION, HEALTH INSURANCE TRUST, AND TRUST AND AGENCY FUNDS STRATEGY:

The investment strategy for each of these funds is the same as that of the General Fund.

## INVESTMENT POSITION AT NOVEMBER 30, 2014

Securities are purchased to maximize the investment earnings of the District's portfolio and to minimize idle cash balances in demand deposit accounts at the depository bank, while maintaining the liquidity required to meet currently maturing obligations such as payroll and scheduled payments for accounts payable and bonded indebtedness.

The attached report provides details of ending cash and investment balances for each of the past three months and interest earned.

## COST TO FAIR MARKET VALUE COMPARISON

The cost to fair market value comparison follows in a separate section. All investable funds were deposited with authorized investment pools as of November 30, 2014. Pertinent details at November 30, 2014 of each pool in which the District had funds invested follows:

| POOL NAME | $\frac{\text { NET ASSET }}{\text { VALUE } \%}$ | $\frac{\text { BOOK VALUE }}{\text { OF POOL }}$ | $\frac{\text { MARKET VALUE }}{\text { OF POOL }}$ |  | LCISD <br> \% OFPOOL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texpool | 1.00 | $\$ 12,140,515,894$ | $\$ 12,141,104,389$ | $1.1411 \%$ |  |

The weighted average maturity of the pool's portfolio for November 2014 was 47 days.


The dollar weighted average maturity of the portfolio for the Government Overnight Fund for November 2014 was 42 days.

BIA,
Texas CLASS $1.00 \quad \$ 2,414,504,107 \quad \$ 2,415,084,738 \quad 1.0929 \%$
The dollar weighted average maturity of the portfolio for Texas CLASS Fund for November 2014 was 56 days.

Texas Term,
Daily Fund
$\$ 1,250,221,099$
$\$ 1,250,172,120$
2.3442\%

The dollar weighted average maturity of the portfolio for TEXAS TERM/DAILY Fund for October 2014 was 55.0 days.

TexStar, $1.00 \quad \$ 4,453,961,626 \quad \$ 4,454,149,924 \quad .6446 \%$
The dollar weighted average maturity of the portfolio for TEXSTAR Fund for November 2014 was 52 days.

This report includes all information required by law to be presented to the Board of Trustees on a quarterly basis. We will be pleased to present additional information in this report in the future, if requested. The District's portfolio and investment management strategy is simple and conservative, which facilitates presentation of the required information.

We hereby certify that this report is a true and accurate description of the investment portfolio of the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District for the period ending November 30, 2014. This report fully discloses all material aspects of the District's cash and investment position for the quarter then ended. All investments are in compliance with the Public Funds Investment Act (HB 2459) and local investment policy.

Submitted by:


Chief Financial Officer
Date:



Date:


LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT


| $10 / 31 / 14$ |
| ---: |
| $(578,763)$ |
| $1,468,247$ |
| 212,548 |
| 39,652 |
| 942,850 |
| 37,720 |
| $2,022,506$ |
| $4,144,760$ |

Balances presented are reconciled balances per book and will differ slightly from actual cash balances reported in the monthly bank statements. Also, totals above include insignificant amounts of cash on hand.

QUARTERLY CASH BALANCE AND INVESTMENT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 2014
10/31114

| INVESTMENT POOLS* |  | 9/30/14 | 10/31/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund |  |  |  |
|  | Texpool | 73,691,728 | 81,061,228 |
|  | Lone Star | 2,616,392 | 2,616,499 |
|  | Texas CLASS | 15,339,904 | 15,341,143 |
| Food Service Fund |  |  |  |
|  | Texpool | 3,327,680 | 3,327,756 |
|  | Lone Star | 90,447 | 90,451 |
| Debt Service Fund |  |  |  |
|  | Texpool | 5,130,460 | 5,241,771 |
|  | Lone Star | 2,411 | 2,411 |
|  | Texas CLASS | 1 | 1 |
|  | TexSTAR | 2,057,674 | 2,057,741 |
| Capital Projects Fund |  |  |  |
|  | Texpool | 63,859,170 | 62,032,745 |
|  | Lone Star | 30,538,885 | 28,580,788 |
|  | Texas CLASS | 11,043,697 | 11,044,589 |
|  | TexasDaily | 29,305,264 | 29,306,693 |
|  | TexSTAR | 35,753,922 | 29,620,660 |
| Workmen's Compensation and Health Insurance Trust Funds |  |  |  |
|  | Texpool | 1,426,329 | 2,048,968 |
|  | Lone Star | 720,518 | 720,547 |
| Special Revenue Funds | Texpool | 53,211 | 53,212 |
| Student Activity Funds |  |  |  |
|  | Texpool | 52,851 | 52,852 |
| Total Investment in Pools |  | 275,010,544 | $\underline{273,200,055}$ |
| Summary of Interest Earned by Month |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3,543 | 3,355 |
| Lone Star |  | 1,394 | 1,321 |
| Texas CLASS |  | 2,063 | 2,131 |
| TexSTAR |  | 1,082 | 1,166 |
| Texas Term/Daily |  | 1,438 | 1,429 |
| Total Interest Earned from Investment Pools |  | 9,520 | 9,402 |
| Average Yield by Month |  |  |  |
| Texpool |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Lone Star |  | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Texas CLASS |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| TexSTAR |  | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Texas Term/Daily |  | 0.06 | 0.06 |

LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
General Fund
Food Service Fund
Debt Service Fund
Capital Projects Fund POOLS
Workmen's Compensation and Health
Insurance Trust Funds
Special Revenue Funds
Student Activity Funds
Tital investment in Pols

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF BUDGET AMENDMENT REQUESTS

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees consider approval of budget amendment requests as attached.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

The proposed amendments represent budget amendments that require school board approval because budgeted funds are being reallocated between functional categories and/or new budgets are being established.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

Budget amendments are mandated by the state for budgeted funds reallocated from one functional level, and state and/or federal project to another. These budget changes are usually the result of unexpected levels of expenditures in certain categories and amendments are for legal compliance. Other budget amendments are determined by the school board.

Since the operating budget for LCISD is adopted at the functional level, budget revisions are required for reallocations between functional levels or when new budgets are being established. All necessary budget amendments must be formally adopted by the school board and recorded in the board minutes. (TEA Financial Accountability System Resource Guide, Financial Accounting \& Reporting, Update 14.0)

Submitted by: Jill Ludwig, CPA, RTSBA, Chief Financial Officer Resource: Yvonne Dawson, RTSBA, Budget and Treasury Officer

Recommended for approval:

## Thomas Randle

Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

Seguin Early Childhood Center is requesting a budget change to allow principal to attend TEPSA conference. Funds were budgeted for cell phone by previous principal. Funds are no longer needed for cell phone.

| 199-51 | Plant Maintenance \& Operations | $(850.00)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $199-23$ | School Leadership | 850.00 |

Smith Elementary is requesting a budget change to purchase supplies for the Positive Behavior Intervention and Support program.

| 199-13 | Curriculum and Instr. Staff Development | $(1,200.00)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 199-11 | Classroom Instruction | $1,200.00$ |

George Jr. High School is requesting a budget change to move funds to allow principal and assistant principals to attend TEPSA conference. Funds budgeted for cell phone use is no longer needed.

199-51 Plant Maintenance \& Operations $(1,000.00)$
199-23 School Leadership 1,000.00

The Business Office is requesting a budget change to adjust George Ranch High School campus budgets due to increase in student enrollment based on October snapshot data. Funds were originally budgeted in function 11; however, funds are needed in function 36.

199-11 Classroom Instruction
199-36 Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities
(17,160.00)
17,160.00

## 9.B.\#3. - PLANNING BOARD REPORT

## CONSIDER RATIFICATION OF FINANCIAL AND INVESTMENT REPORTS

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees ratify the Financial and Investment Reports as presented.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

Financial reporting is intended to provide information useful for many purposes. The reporting function helps fulfill government's duty to be publicly accountable, as well as to help satisfy the needs of users who rely on the reports as an important source of information for decision-making.

Financial reports and statements are end products of the reporting process. You will find attached the following reports:

- Ratification of November 2014 Disbursements, all funds
- List of disbursements for the month by type of expenditure
- Financial Reports
- Year-to-Date Cash Receipts and Expenditures, General Fund only
- Investment Report

Submitted by: Jill Ludwig, CPA, RTSBA, Chief Financial Officer

Recommended for ratification:


Dr. Thomas Raddle
Superintendent

## SCHEDULE OF NOVEMBER 2014 DISBURSEMENTS

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

All disbursements made by the Accounting Department are submitted to the Board of Trustees for ratification on a monthly basis. Disbursements made during the month of November total $\$ 21,220,898$ and are shown below by category:

| 3-Digit Object | Description | Disbursements |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| $611 / 612$ | Salaries and Wages, All Personnel | $13,022,959$ |
| 614 | Employee Benefits | 439,734 |
| 623 | Education Services Center | 18,025 |
| 624 | Contracted Maintenance and Repair Services | 553,341 |
| 625 | Utilities | 90,051 |
| 626 | Rentals and Operating Leases | 23,712 |
| 629 | Miscellaneous Contracted Services | 438,494 |
| 631 | Supplies and Materials for Maintenance and Operations | 223,452 |
| 632 | Textbooks and Other Reading Materials | 102,707 |
| 633 | Testing Materials | 4,142 |
| 634 | Food Service | 455,211 |
| 639 | General Supplies and Materials | 323,358 |
| 641 | Travel and Subsistence -- Employee and Student | 38,139 |
| 642 | Insurance and Bonding Costs | 3,901 |
| 643 | Election Expense | 1,359 |
| 649 | Miscellaneous Operating Costs/Fees and Dues | 583,418 |
| 659 | Other Debt Services Fees | 500 |
| 661 | Land Purchase and/or Improvements | 3,000 |
| 662 | Building Purchase, Construction, and/or Improvements | $4,843,438$ |
| 663 | Furniture \& Equipment - \$5,000 or more per unit cost | 40,331 |
| 129 | Misc. Receivable/Alternative Certification Fees | 2,800 |
| 131 | Inventory Purchases | 5,385 |
| $573 / 575 / 592$ | Miscellaneous Refunds/Reimbursements to Campuses | 3,441 |
|  |  | $21,220,898$ |
|  |  | Total |

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The report above represents all expenditures made during the month of November 2014. The detailed check information is available upon request.


Michele Reynolds, Director of Finance

Recommended for approval:


Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

## LAMAR CONSOLIDATED I.S.D. <br> GENERAL FUND <br> YEAR TO DATE CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES <br> (BUDGET AND ACTUAL) <br> AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2014

| CASH RECEIPTS | AMENDED BUDGET | ACTUAL | BUDGET VARIANCE | PERCENT ACTUAL/ BUDGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5700-LOCAL REVENUES | 122,505,106.00 | 3,606,797.00 | $(118,898,309.00)$ | 2.9\% |
| 5800-STATE PROGRAM REVENUES | 91,271,861.00 | 57,522,730.00 | $(33,749,131.00)$ | 63.0\% |
| 5900-FEDERAL PROGRAM REVENUES | 1,745,000.00 | 201,295.00 | $(1,543,705.00)$ | 11.5\% |
| 7900- OTHER RESOURCES | - | 889,807.00 | 889,807.00 | 0\% |
| TOTAL- REVENUES | 215,521,967.00 | 62,220,629.00 | (153,301,338.00) | 28.9\% |

## EXPENDITURES

| 6100-PAYROLL COSTS | 179,577,711.00 | 38,441,096.00 | 141,136,615.00 | 21.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6200-PROFESSIONAL/CONTRACTED SVCS. | 14,528,093.00 | 2,502,009.00 | 12,026,084.00 | 17.2\% |
| 6300-SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS | 10,187,996.00 | 2,384,165.00 | 7,803,831.00 | 23.4\% |
| 6400-OTHER OPERATING EXPENDITURES | 9,150,829.00 | 1,698,556.00 | 7,452,273.00 | 18.6\% |
| 6600-CAPITAL OUTLAY | 1,671,382.00 | 231,532.00 | 1,439,850.00 | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL-EXPENDITURES | 215,116,011.00 | 45,257,358.00 | 169,858,653.00 | 21.0\% |

## ACCOUNT NAME

TexPool accounts are as follows:
Food Service
General Account
Capital Projects Series 2004
Health Insurance
Debt Service Series 2004
Workmen's Comp
Property Tax
Vending Contract Sponsor
Deferred Compensation
Debt Service Series 2005
Debt Service Series 2007
Capital Projects Series 2005
Student Activity Funds
Taylor Ray Donation Account
Capital Projects Series 2007
Common Threads Donation
Debt Service Series 2008
Capital Projects 2012A
Debt Service 2012A
Debt Service 2012B
Capital Projects 2014A
Capital Projects 2014B
Debt Service 2014A
Debt Service 2014B

Lone Star Investment Pool Government Overnight Fund
Capital Projects Fund
Workers' Comp
Property Tax Fund
General Fund
Food Service Fund
Debt Service Series 1996
Capital Project Series 1998
Debt Service Series 1990
Debt Service Series 1999
Capital Project Series 1999
Capital Projects 2007
Capital Projects 2008
Capital Projects 2012A
Capital Projects 2014A
Capital Projects 2014B

MBIA Texas CLASS Fund
General Account
Capital Project Series 1998
Capital Projects Series 2007
Debt Service Series 2007
Capital Projects Series 2012A
TEXSTAR
Capital Projects Series 200
Debt Service Series 2008
Capital Projects Series 2008
Debt Service Series 2012A
Debt Service Series 2012B
Capital Projects Series 2012A
Debt Service 2013
Capital Projects 2014A
Capital Projects 2014 B

Lamar CISD
Local Investment Pools as of November 30, 2014

| BEGINNING BALANCE | TOTAL DEPOSIT | TOTAL WITHDRAWAL | TOTAL INTEREST | MONTH END BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,327,755.58 | 0.00 | 200,000.00 | 75.26 | 3,127,830.84 |
| 79,871,394.85 | 0.00 | 18,165,686.41 | 1,649.66 | 61,707,358.10 |
| 8.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.51 |
| 1,458,663.04 | 2,599,019.75 | 700,000.00 | 80.26 | 3,357,763.05 |
| 6,914.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 6,914.28 |
| 590,304.68 | 66,666.66 | 40,000.00 | 14.77 | 616,986.11 |
| 726,616.19 | 3,086,245.47 | 0.00 | 34.28 | 3,812,895.94 |
| 475,184.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.15 | 475,196.11 |
| 2.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.55 |
| 1,022,825.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.96 | 1,022,849.94 |
| 21,732.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 21,732.57 |
| 611,919.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.37 | 611,933.53 |
| 52,849,48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.44 | 52,850.92 |
| 7,604.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 7,604.32 |
| 209,032.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.89 | 209,037.37 |
| 53,212.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 53,213.71 |
| 20,013.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 20,014.04 |
| 19,468,367.57 | 0.00 | 777,336.89 | 442.97 | 18,691,473.65 |
| 441,614.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.35 | 441,624.67 |
| 4,413,89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4,413.89 |
| 27,004,071,45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 633.58 | 27,004,705.03 |
| 14,739,345.93 | 0.00 | 1,151,431.50 | 329.58 | 13,588,244.01 |
| 2,256,221,12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52.93 | 2,256,274.05 |
| 1,448,465.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.97 | 1,448,499.13 |
| 5,018.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 5,018.95 |
| 720,546.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.89 | 720,575,59 |
| 32,145.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.29 | 32,147.06 |
| 2,586,764.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 103.72 | 2,586,867.75 |
| 90,451.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.63 | 90,455.28 |
| 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 700.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 700.36 |
| 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 2.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.43 |
| 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 383.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 383.91 |
| 36,825.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 36,827.27 |
| 699,391.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.04 | 699,419.09 |
| 18,004,282.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 721.92 | 18,005,004.57 |
| 9,834,182.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 394.32 | 9,834,576.55 |
| 15,341,141.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,199.14 | 15,342,340.24 |
| 904.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 904.92 |
| 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 11,043,685.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 863.26 | 11,044,548.41 |
| 742.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 742.61 |
| 663,065.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.07 | 663,086.73 |
| 2,060,553.50 | 0.00 | 10,387.06 | 65.27 | 2,050,231.71 |
| 1,385,395.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 44.07 | 1,385,440.03 |
| 4,772.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 4,772.16 |
| 12.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.21 |
| 4,507.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 4,507.67 |
| 20,152,086.78 | 0.00 | 2,958,106.25 | 594.93 | 17,194,575.46 |
| 7,407,264.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 235.54 | 7,407,500.18 |
| 1,004,198.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.24 | 1,004,245.66 |
| 140.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 140.63 |
| 463,630.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.81 | 463,652.39 |
| 18,004,453.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 846.96 | 18,005,300.51 |
| 9,834,267.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 462.62 | 9,834,730.24 |

ACCOUNT TYPE
TEXPOOL ACCOUNT INTEREST
LONE STAR ACCOUNT INTEREST
MBIA TEXAS CLASS ACCOUNT INTEREST

TEXSTAR ACCOUNT INTEREST
TEXAS TERM/DAILY ACCOUNT INTEREST

TOTAL CURRENT MONTH EARNINGS

| AVG. RATE <br> OF RETURN | CURRENT MONTH <br> EARNINGS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.03 | $\$ 3,416.22$ |
| 0.05 | $\$ 1,283.54$ |
| 0.10 | $\$ 2,062.44$ |
| 0.04 | $\$ 961.17$ |
| 0.06 | $\$ 1,378.64$ |

$\$ 9,102.01$

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RENEWAL OF DELINQUENT TAX ATTORNEY CONTRACT

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve the renewal of the contract with the law firm of Linebarger Goggan Blair and Sampson, LLP ("the Firm") for delinquent tax attorney services for a one year period beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2015, with automatic one-year renewals unless terminated by the District or the Firm.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

In December 2008, the Board of Trustees authorized the renewal of the contract with the law firm of Linebarger Goggan Blair and Sampson, LLP ("the Firm") for delinquent tax attorney services for a one year period beginning January 1, 2009 and ending December 31, 2009, with automatic one year renewals unless terminated by the District or the Firm. The Firm has developed an effective process for collecting the District's delinquent property taxes. The maximum fee, set by state statute, for collection of delinquent taxes is $20 \%$ of the combined total of the delinquent tax amount, penalty, and interest. This is the fee currently charged by the Firm and paid by the delinquent taxpayer. The administration's intent is to exercise the automatic renewal option for a one-year period through December 31, 2015, at which point further renewal will be considered based on the performance of the Firm.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Firm has been collecting delinquent taxes for the District since December 1, 2000. The following items were also considered:

1. The Firm continues to meet the District's performance goals. Since the Firm began collecting the District's delinquent taxes during the 1999-2000 year, collection rates have risen from $39 \%$ to $49 \%$ for 2013-14. In monetary terms, annual delinquent tax collections have risen from just over $\$ 1.0$ million to over $\$ 3.7$ million in certain years (depending on the amount of taxes becoming delinquent) over the twelve year period. For the past five years, the Firm has collected an average in excess of $27 \%$ of the taxes between July and November of each year.
2. The Firm represents school districts in Fort Bend County as well as Fort Bend County. It is convenient for the district's taxpayers to call one office and get information on school and county taxes.
3. Tax collection software owned by the Firm is currently being used in the County Tax Assessor's office. This system facilitates the flow of information when responding to questions that arise. Clients of the Firm have access to this system and periodic upgrades to it at no charge, while other entities pay a fee to access the system.
4. Tax collection efforts include multiple contacts to property owners including personal phone calls, written correspondence, lawsuits, tax warrants, etc. Payment agreements are also a method used to bring taxpayers current on amounts owed.
5. The Firm aggressively markets properties that have been struck off to the taxing bodies. A sign with the Firm's contact information is placed on the property identifying the property as being available through a tax resale. In addition, the Firm employs a professional property management company to conduct property inspections. This company visits each property posted for sale and tries to personally contact the property owner concerning the payment of the taxes. If the property owner does not pay the taxes, the inspector contacts neighboring property owners to generate interest in a resale. Tax sales for the period July 2013 through October 2014 involved roughly \$439,630 (218 properties).
6. In addition to its tax collection efforts, the Firm also conducts the District's annual property value study free of charge. When the adjusted values are applied within the state funding formula, this process has typically resulted in additional funding for the District. The most recent value study resulted in a gain of additional state aid of approximately $\$ 513,000$ for the 2013-14 fiscal year.

Submitted by: Jill Ludwig, CPA, RTSBA, Chief Financial Officer

Recommended for ratification:


Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

## Agreement for Tax Collection Services

This Agreement is made between Lamar Consolidated Independent School District (hereinafter referred to as the "Client") and Linebarger Goggan Blair \& Sampson, LLP (hereinafter referred to as the "Firm").

## Article I <br> Nature of Relationship

1.01 The parties hereto acknowledge that this Agreement creates an attorneyclient relationship.
1.02 The Client hereby employs the Firm to provide the services hereinafter described for compensation hereinafter provided.

Article 2
Scope of Services
2.01 The Firm shall take reasonable and necessary actions to collect property taxes that are owed to the Client and to any other taxing unit whose taxes are assessed and collected by the Client, and that are subject to this agreement, as hereinafter provided.
2.02 The Client may from time-to-time specify in writing additional actions to be taken by the Firm in connection with the collection of taxes that are owed to the Client. Client further constitutes and appoints the Firm as Client's attorneys to sign all legal instruments, pleadings, drafts, authorizations and papers as shall be reasonably necessary to prosecute the Client's claim for taxes.
2.03 Taxes owed to the Client shall become subject to this agreement upon the following dates, whichever occurs first:
(a) On February 1 of the year in which the taxes become delinquent if a previously filed tax suit is then pending against the property subject to the tax;
(b) On the date any lawsuit is filed with respect to the recovery of the tax if the tax is delinquent and is required to be included in the suit pursuant to TEX. TAX CODE § 33.42(a);
(c) On the date of filing any application for tax warrant where recovery of the tax or estimated tax is sought and where the filing of an application for tax warrant by the Firm is at the request of Client's Tax Assessor-Collector;
(d) On the date of filing any claim in bankruptcy where recovery of the tax is sought;
(e) In the case of delinquent tangible personal property, on the $60^{\text {th }}$ day after the taxes become delinquent; or
(f) On July 1 of the year in which the taxes become delinquent.

## Article 3

Compensation
3.01 Client agrees to pay to the Firm, as compensation for the services required herein, as follows:
(a) fifteen (15\%) percent of the amount of all 2003 and prior year taxes, penalty and interest subject to the terms of this contract as set forth in Paragraph 2.03 above, collected and paid to the collector of taxes during the term of this contract, as and when collected; and
(b) twenty (20\%) percent of the amount of all 2004 and subsequent year taxes, penalty and interest subject to the terms of this contract as set forth in Paragraph 2.03 above, collected and paid to the collector of taxes during the term of this contract, as and when collected.
3.02 The Client shall pay the Firm by the twentieth day of each month, all compensation earned by the Firm for the previous month as provided in this Article 3. All compensation above provided for shall become the property of the Firm at the time payment of the taxes, penalty and interest is made to the collector.

## Article 4

## Intellectual Property Rights

4.01 The Client recognizes and acknowledges that the Firm owns all right, title and interest in certain proprietary software that the Firm may utilize in conjunction with performing the services provided in this Agreement. The Client agrees and hereby grants to the Firm the right to use and incorporate any information provided by the Client ("Client Information") to update the databases in this proprietary software, and, notwithstanding that Client Information has been or shall be used to update the databases in this proprietary software, further stipulates and agrees that the Client shall have no rights or ownership whatsoever in and to the software or the data contained therein, except that the Client shall be entitled to obtain a copy of such data that directly relates to the Client's accounts at any time.
4.02 The Firm agrees that it will not share or disclose any specific confidential Client Information with any other company, individual, organization or agency, without the prior written consent of the Client, except as may be required by law or where such information is otherwise publicly available. It is agreed that the Firm shall have the right to use Client Information for internal analysis, purposes of improving the proprietary software and database, and to generate aggregate data and statistics that may inherently contain Client Information. These aggregate statistics are owned solely by the Firm and will generally be used internally, but may be shared with the Firm's
affiliates, partners or other third parties for purposes of improving the Firm's software and services.

## Article 5

Costs
5.01 The Firm and Client recognize that publication costs for citations and notices of sale and title abstract costs will be incurred in the process of providing the litigation services contemplated in this Agreement. All such costs shall be billed to the Client, in care of the Firm, and the Firm will advance the payment of such costs on behalf of the Client. Upon recovery of such costs from the defendants or from the tax sale of defendants' property, the Firm shall be reimbursed for the advance payment. Alternatively, the Firm may arrange with the vendor or agency providing the service that actual payment of the costs of services is wholly contingent upon recovery of such costs by the Client or the Firm from the defendants or from the tax sale of defendants' property. In such contingent arrangements, the Client has no responsibility or liability for payment or advancement of any costs, other than forwarding to the vendor or service provider any cost amounts received from defendants or from the tax sale of defendants' property.
5.02 The Client acknowledges that the Firm may provide services, such as title research, with its own employees or with other entities or individuals who may be affiliated with the Firm, but the Firm agrees that any charges for such services will be reasonable and consistent with what the same services would cost if obtained from a third party. The Client agrees that upon the recovery of such costs, the Client will: (i) pay the Firm for any such costs which have been advanced by the Firm or performed by the Firm, and (ii) pay any third party agency or vendor owed for performing such services.

## Article 6 <br> Term and Termination

6.01 This Agreement shall be effective on January 1, 2015 (The "Effective Date") and shall expire on December 31, 2015 (the "Expiration Date") unless extended as hereinafter provided.
6.02 Unless prior to 60 days before the Expiration Date, the Client or the Firm notifies the other in writing that it does not wish to continue this Agreement beyond its initial term, this Agreement shall be automatically extended for an additional one year period without the necessity of any further action by either party. In the absence of any such 60 day notice by either the Client or the Firm, the Agreement shall continue to automatically renew for additional and successive one-year terms in the same manner at the end of each renewal period.
6.03 If at any time during the initial term of this Agreement or any extension hereof, the Client determines that the Firm's performance under this Agreement is unsatisfactory, the Client shall notify the Firm in writing of the Client's determination. The notice from the Client shall specify the particular deficiencies that the Client has observed in the Firm's performance. The Firm shall have sixty (60) days from the date
of the notice to cure any such deficiencies. If at the conclusion of that sixty-day remedial period, the Client remains unsatisfied with the Firm's performance, the Client may terminate this Agreement effective upon the expiration of thirty days following the date of written notice to the Firm of such termination ("Termination Date").
6.04 Whether this Agreement expires or is terminated, the Firm shall be entitled to continue to prosecute any tax suits, applications for tax warrants or bankruptcy claims pending on the Termination Date or Expiration Date for an additional six months following termination or expiration. The Client agrees that the Firm shall be compensated as provided by Article 3 for any base tax, penalties and interest collected in the pending matters during the six-month period.
6.05 The Client agrees that the Firm shall be reimbursed for any costs advanced and shall be paid for any services performed pursuant to Article 5 when such costs are recovered by or on behalf of the Client, regardless of the date recovered. It is expressly agreed that neither the expiration nor the termination of this Agreement constitutes a waiver by the Firm of its entitlement to be reimbursed for such costs and to be paid for such services. It is further expressly agreed that the expiration of any sixmonth period under Section 6.04 does not constitute any such waiver by the Firm.

## Article 7

Miscellaneous
7.01 Assignment and Subcontracting. This Agreement is not assignable, provided however, the Firm may from time-to-time obtain co-counsel or subcontract some of the services provided for herein to other law firms or entities. In such cases, the Firm will retain supervisory control and responsibility for any services provided by such co-counsel or subcontractors and shall be responsible to pay any compensation due to any such co-counsel or subcontractor.
7.02 Arbitration. Any controversy between the parties to this Agreement involving the construction or application of any of the terms, covenants, or conditions of this Agreement shall, on the written request of one party served on the other, be submitted to arbitration, and such arbitration shall comply with and be governed by the provisions of the Texas General Arbitration Act.
7.03 Integration. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties hereto and may only be modified in a written amendment, executed by both parties.
7.04 Representation of Other Taxing Entities. The Client acknowledges and consents to the representation by the Firm of other taxing entities that may be owed taxes or other claims and be secured by the same property as the Client's claim.

In consideration of the terms and compensation herein stated, the Firm hereby accepts said employment and undertakes the performance of this Agreement as above written. This Agreement is executed on behalf of the Firm and of the Client by the duly authorized persons whose signatures appear below.

## Lamar Consolidated Independent School District

By:
Julie Thompson, Board President
Date: 12 / / 2014

ATTEST:

By:
Kay Danziger, Board Secretary

Linebarger Goggan Blair \& Sampson, LLP

By:
Charles A. "Chip" Sutton, Partner
Date: 12 / / 2014

# CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RENEWAL OF CHARTER BUS SERVICE 

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve the renewal (for Year 2) of Bid \#34-2013 to Sam's Limousine and Transportation, Inc.; Sierra Stage Coaches, Inc.; A Ambassador Limousine \& Transportation; and AFC Transportation for charter bus service.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

RFP \#34-2013 requested prices to establish an annual contract for charter bus transportation services for field trips, band, orchestra, athletics, and other District approved UIL activities throughout Fort Bend County and additional locations, as requested. The procurement of these services is requested by individual campuses or District groups.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The original contract commenced in 2013 as an annual contract with the option of renewal for two additional one-year periods if both parties agree and the terms and conditions remain the same, with the exception of any documented increases in fuel costs delineated by the contractor and accepted by the District prior to renewal. No pricing or service changes have been requested by any of the vendors, and all vendors have agreed to continue service, so contract terms will remain the same under this renewal.

Submitted by: Jill Ludwig, CPA, RTSBA, Chief Financial Officer Michele Leach, Purchasing \& Materials Manager

Recommended for ratification:


Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

Bid Summary
RFP 34-2013 Renewal
Charter Bus Services
December 18, 2014

| Item | Description | A Ambassador Limousine \& Transportation | AFC <br> Transportation | Sam's Limousine and Transportation, Inc. | Sierra Stage Coaches, Inc. dba Sierra Trailways of Texas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 47 Passenger Bus |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | First four hours | 420.00 | 575.00 | 399.00 | 495.00 |
| 2 | Each additional hour | 105.00 | 105.00 | 95.00 | 90.00 |
| 3 | Cancellation within 2 hours of pickup | 420.00 | 575.00 | Up to $20 \%$ of total trip cost | 495.00 |
| 4 | Cancellation within 4 hours of pickup | 0.00 | 350.00 | 0.00 | 350.00 |
| 5 | Additional cost/mile | 0.00 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.80 |
| 6 | Extra-ordinary cost for overnight | Lodging for driver | 125.00 | Lodging for driver | Lodging for driver |
| 7 | Extra-ordinary costs for relief driver | 65.00 / hour | 125.00 | 200.00 | 300.00-600.00 |
|  | 55 Passenger Bus |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | First four hours | 480.00 | 575.00 | 399.00 | 540.00 |
| 9 | Each additional hour | 120.00 | 105.00 | 95.00 | 95.00 |
| 10 | Cancellation within 2 hours of pickup | 480.00 | 575.00 | Up to $20 \%$ of total trip cost | 540.00 |
| 11 | Cancellation within 4 hours of pickup | 0.00 | 350.00 | 0.00 | 350.00 |
| 12 | Additional cost/mile | 0.00 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.95 |
| 13 | Extra-ordinary cost for overnight | Lodging for driver | 125.00 | Lodging for driver | Lodging for driver |
| 14 | Extra-ordinary costs for relief driver | 65.00 / hour | 125.00 | 200.00 | 300.00-600.00 |
| 15 | Method of communication between dispatch and driver | Two-way radio and/or cell phone | Verizon mobile to mobile radio and AFC Ground Pad (a mobile app) | Two-way radio and/or cell phone, manager on call 24/7 | Cell phones |
| 16 | Procedure for supplying substitute drivers | Scheduler will dispatch a rested relief driver | Standby drivers are available at all times | Standby drivers employed while long trips are scheduled | Driver will be replaced by Sierra driver or a Trailways Company partner driver, whichever is most expedient |
| 17 | Method of supplying alternate transportation due to breakdown or accident | Company will provide alternate coach | Company will dispatch alternate coach, as well as AFC mobile mechanic | 24/7 truck/tire emergency service, in-house mechanics, nationwide affiliates, large fleet for substitution of vehicles if necessary | Repair or replace bus within 3 hours, whichever is most expedient |
| 18 | Procedure for drivers to notify company of problems which may affect the safe transportation of students | Driver contacts dispatch for resolution | Company will dispatch alternate coach, as well as AFC mobile mechanic | Manager on duty 24/7 for resolution, standby drivers, substitution vehicles and mechanics for dispatch | Driver contacts key management employee for resolution |

## Bid Summary

RFP 34-2013 Renewal
Charter Bus Services
December 18, 2014

| Item | Description | A Ambassador Limousine \& Transportation | AFC <br> Transportation | Sam's Limousine and Transportation, Inc. | Sierra Stage Coaches, Inc. dba Sierra Trailways of Texas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Avgerage length of service for current full-time drivers | 3-10 years | 7 years | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7 \text { months - } 15 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 7 years |
| 20 | Average length of service for part-time drivers | 2-5 years | 2 years | 7 months - 9 years | 5 years |
| 21 | Are criminal, civil arrest/charge and driving records checked? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 22 | Is random drug testing performed in accordance with USDOT? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 23 | Are drivers required to wear uniforms, name tags and company id? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 24 | How often is a defensive driving course required to be successfully completed by the drivers? | Yearly | Every 3 years | Yearly | Every 3 years |
| 25 | How often is a driver evaluated behind the wheel? | Yearly and after any incident | Random throughout the year | Random up to 3 times per year | At time of hire, and random as needed on case by case basis |
| 26 | Are the drivers trained and certified in CPR and First Aid? | No | Yes | Some | No |
| 27 | What is the standard size of your passenger bus? | 27, 31, 35, 42, 44 and 56 passenger, including 23 passenger ADA busses | $\begin{aligned} & 14,23,28,34,40, \\ & 56 \text { and } 57 \\ & \text { passenger busses } \end{aligned}$ | 15 to 56 passenger busses | 54-57 passenger coaches |
| 28 | Where is your bus yard located in the local area? | Houston, TX | North Houston, TX | Houston, TX | South Houston, TX |
| 29 | What is your estimated distance and travel time to LCISD? | 24.7 miles / 29 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & 48.8 \text { miles / } 51 \\ & \text { minutes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { miles / } 25 \\ & \text { minutes } \end{aligned}$ | 40 miles / 1 hour |
| 30 | What is the size of your current fleet? | 70 | 200 | 47 | 20 |
| 31 | Do you have additional units available to you? Approx number | Yes, 5 units | Yes, 50 units | Yes, 29 units | Yes, 6-10 units |
| 32 | What type of regular PM do you maintain? | Pre-trip and posttrip, oil change at 5K miles, tire rotation at 7 K miles, belts/hoses replaced before life expectancy | Manufacturer recommended maintenance program | Pre-trip and posttrip inspections, inhouse maintenance as required by State and DOT | In-house maintenance staff with inspections at $5 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{~K}$ and 15 K on all busses |
| 33 | Do you have your own maintenance staff or contracted services? | Yes, repairs are handled by third party dealers and certified mechanics | Both available, overflow is handled by third party when needed | Own staff, certified mechanics and technicians | Own staff, 3 mechanics and 2 maintenance workers |
| 34 | Are you an active member of UMA? | No | No | No | No |
| 35 | Did you include an MCMISC Safety Profile? | No | Yes | No | Yes |

## Bid Summary

## RFP 34-2013 Renewal

## Charter Bus Services

December 18, 2014

| Item | Description | A Ambassador Limousine \& Transportation | AFC <br> Transportation | Sam's Limousine and Transportation, Inc. | Sierra Stage Coaches, Inc. dba Sierra Trailways of Texas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Can you assign an account executive? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 37 | Did you provide the equipment list requested? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 38 | Do you comply with all DOT regulations? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 39 | How do you handle one day trip that exceed the 15 -hour time limit for drivers? | Assign two, alternating drivers | Prescheduled meeting point is determined where a driver will relieve before 10 hours | Send relay driver on all trips exceeding 10 hours drive time or 15 hours on duty | Relief driver used at start and end of trip. When possible, drivers drop group and rest at hotel for 8 hours. Cost of room added to charter cost. |
| 40 | How do you handle driver relief for extended over-the-road trips? | Drivers not permitted to operate vehicle more than 10 hours, require 8 hours uninterrupted rest | Relief drivers provided every 10 hours at prescheduled meeting points | Relay drivers sent in advance to ensure drivers receive proper rest | Primary driver meets bus at predetermined location to exchange with "push-out" driver and completes the trip. Reverse procedures used upon return. Driver change costs added to charter cost. |
| 41 | What percent of your equipment has VHS/DVD? | 100\% DVD | 100\% DVD | 100\% DVD | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \text { DVD and } \\ & 100 \% \text { VCR } \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | Do you have a fuel surcharge? | No | Yes | No | No |

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF DONATIONS TO THE DISTRICT

## RECOMMEDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve donations to the District.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

Policy CDC (Local) states that the Board of Trustees must approve any donation with a value in excess of \$2,500.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

Gallery Furniture donated \$10,000 for a teacher lounge makeover at Frost Elementary School.

Hubenak PTA donated \$12,500 for an outdoor classroom (shade cover and picnic tables) at Hubenak Elementary School.

Friends of Huggins donated $\$ 24,865$ to be used for track improvements and backstop/ fence improvements at Huggins Elementary School.

Taylor Ray activity fund donated \$11,000 to purchase swings at Taylor Ray Elementary School.

Recommended for approval:


Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF JANUARY 22, 2015 FOR BOARD/SUPERINTENDENT TEAM BUILDING TRAINING

## RECOMMEDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve January 22, 2015 for Board/Superintendent Team Building Training.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

School board members are required by Texas law and State Board of Education rule to participate in three types of continuing education: an orientation to local district policy and to the laws affecting public education in Texas; an annual team building activity, taken in conjunction with the rest of the board and the superintendent; and a specified number of hours each year in area of special need.

The training was originally scheduled for October 28, 2014. It was rescheduled for November 18, 2014. In both cases extenuating circumstance caused the cancellation of the meetings. By law the District has to take action at the December board meeting to schedule the training at a future date.

Recommended for approval:
Thorns Randell
Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYING SERVICES

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve Kelly R. Kaluza \& Associates, Inc. for professional surveying services for the waterline easement on the Fulshear High School Complex site not to exceed the amount of $\$ 1,200$.

## IMPACT RATIONALE:

Professional surveying services is a professional service that the District must contract directly. These funds were allocated within the 2011 Bond Referendum. Services include the creation of a metes and bounds description and survey plat for a waterline easement located on the Churchill Fulshear, J. High School Complex.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

Professional surveying services will generate metes and bounds for the easement documents needed for the new waterline on the Churchill Fulshear, Jr. High School complex.

## Submitted by: J. Kevin McKeever, Administrator for Operations

Ed Bailey, Gilbane

Recommended for approval:


Dr. Thomas Raddle
Superintendent

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT METHOD FOR THE 2015 SUMMER RENOVATION PROJECTS

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees authorize the administration to utilize competitive sealed proposals as the construction method of procurement with the evaluation criteria for the 2015 summer renovation projects.

## IMPACT RATIONALE:

The Texas Education Code 44.031 defines the methods of procurement available to the District for purchases totaling $\$ 50,000.00$ or more. In addition, the Texas Government Code 2267 requires the Board of Trustees to specify which method of procurement will be used before any construction project is advertised and released for bids. The authorization given with this motion will allow the administration to proceed with solicitation of competitive sealed proposals for the 2015 summer renovation projects (Dickinson Elementary, Huggins Elementary, Pink Elementary, Seguin Elementary, Williams Elementary, Campbell Elementary, Frost Elementary, Meyer Elementary, Smith Elementary, Navarro Middle School, and Wessendorff Middle School).

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The competitive sealed proposal method of procurement promotes competitive pricing among the bidders, but allows negotiations between the District and the selected contractor(s) before the contract is finalized. As the District must state its selected method of procurement, as well as the evaluation criteria (attached) in the notice to bidders, this authorization will allow the administration to proceed with securing offers for the Board's consideration and approval.

Submitted by: J. Kevin McKeever, Administrator for Operations
Ed Bailey, Gilbane

Recommended for approval:


Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

## DETERMINATION OF SUCCESSFUL RESPONDENT AND AWARD OF CONTRACT

A. In determining the Selected Offeror, the Owner will evaluate the information derived from the Offeror's (Contractor's) Qualification Statement required herein, the information submitted on the Proposal Form, and other selection criteria including, but not be limited to the following:

| Question Total | Criteria | Source | Scoring Procedure | Score | Facto |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Team <br> Orientation | References | References in Houston Area are asked to rate the contractor, <br> Responses are scored as follows: Excellent $=10$ pts; <br> Very Good $=8$ pts; Average $=6$ pts; Fair $=3$ pts; <br> Poor $=0$ pts. <br> Points from multiple references are averaged | 10 | 0.5 | 5 |
| 2 | Quality of Work | References | References in Houston Area are asked to rate the contractor, <br> Responses are scored as follows: Excellent $=10$ pts; <br> Very Good $=8 \mathrm{pts} ;$ Average $=6 \mathrm{pts}$; Fair $=3$ pts; <br> Poor $=0$ pts. <br> Points from multiple references are averaged | 10 | 0.5 | 5 |
| 3 | Maintenance of Schedule | References | References in Houston Area are asked whether or not the schedule was met on their project, <br> Responses are scored as follows: <br> Completed ahead of schedule overcoming uncontrollable circumstances $=5 \mathrm{pts}$ <br> Completed ahead of schedule $=4$ pts <br> Completed on schedule $=3 \mathrm{pts}$ <br> Completed less than two weeks behind schedule $=1$ pts <br> Completed more than two weeks behind schedule $=0$ pts <br> Points from multiple references are averaged | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 4 | Change Order Reputation | References | References in Houston Area are asked to rate the contractor, <br> Responses are scored as follows: Excellent $=10$ pts; Very Good $=8$ pts; Average $=6 \mathrm{pts}$; Fair $=3 \mathrm{pts}$; Poor $=0$ pts. <br> Points from multiple references are averaged | 10 | 0.5 | 5 |
| 5 | Warranty <br> Work | References | References in Houston Area are asked to rate the contractor, <br> Responses are scored as follows: Excellent $=10$ pts; <br> Very Good $=8$ pts; Average $=6$ pts; Fair $=3$ pts; <br> Poor $=0$ pts. <br> Points from multiple references are averaged | 10 | 0.5 | 5 |
| 6 | Client <br> Satisfaction | References | References in Houston Area are asked to rate the contractor, <br> Responses are scored as follows: Excellent $=10$ pts; <br> Very Good $=8$ pts; Average $=6$ pts; Fair $=3$ pts; <br> Poor $=0 \mathrm{pts}$. <br> Points from multiple references are averaged | 10 | 0.5 | 5 |
| 7 | Time in <br> Business | AIA 305 | The evaluation team will acquire the year of establishment from the AIA 305 submitted by the | 10 | 0.5 | 5 |


|  |  |  | Contractor (year to year - no months calculated. <br> Responses are scored as follows: $0-1 \mathrm{yr}=0 \mathrm{pt}$; <br> $2-3 \mathrm{yrs}=1 \mathrm{pt} ; 4-5 \mathrm{yrs}=2 \mathrm{pts} ; 6-7 \mathrm{yrs}=3 \mathrm{pts}$ <br> $8-9 \mathrm{yrs}=4 \mathrm{pts} ; 10-11 \mathrm{yrs}=5 \mathrm{pts} ; 12-13 \mathrm{yrs}=6 \mathrm{pts} ;$ <br> $14-15 \mathrm{yrs}=7 \mathrm{pts} ; 16-17 \mathrm{yrs}=8 \mathrm{pts} ; 18-19 \mathrm{yrs}=9 \mathrm{pts} ;$ $20 \mathrm{yrs}+=10 \mathrm{pts}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Experience | AIA 305 | Count number of school projects in the Houston Area 10 that fall within a +/- 25\% range of the project budget (type and size), Contractor earns one point for each project up to a a maximum of 10 points. | 0.5 | 5 |
| 9 | Proposed <br> Team | Proposal <br> Information <br> (resumes) | Resumes for Project Manager and Superintendent will each be evaluated and points given to the team for the following: <br> Time in business (for each individual): $10+\mathrm{yrs}=4 \mathrm{pts}$; $8-9 \mathrm{yrs}=3 \mathrm{pts} ; 5-7 \mathrm{yrs}=2 \mathrm{pts} ; 2-4 \mathrm{yrs}=1 \mathrm{pt} ;$ and less than $2 \mathrm{yrs}=0 \mathrm{pts}$. <br> Number of school projects completed (for each individual): $4+=4 \mathrm{pts} ; 3=3 \mathrm{pts} ; 2=2 \mathrm{pts} ; 1=1 \mathrm{pt} ; 0=0 \mathrm{pts}$. <br> Time with the Company (for each individual) $5+\mathrm{yrs}=5 \mathrm{pts}$; $4 \mathrm{yrs}=4 \mathrm{pts} ; 3 \mathrm{yrs}=3 \mathrm{pts} ; 2 \mathrm{yrs}=2 \mathrm{pts} ; 1 \mathrm{yr}=1 \mathrm{pt}$; and less than $1 \mathrm{yrs}=0 \mathrm{pts}$. <br> Number of projects completed as a team: $5+=10 \mathrm{pts} ; 4=8 \mathrm{pts} ;$ $3=6 \mathrm{pts} ; 2=42 \mathrm{pts} ; 1=2 \mathrm{pts}$; and less than $1=0 \mathrm{pts}$. | 0.2778 | 10 |
| 10 | Close Out Record | Proposal <br> Information <br> (close out <br> Records) | Contractor furnishes information on a minimum of 5 school projects completed under CSP or Hard Bid of comparable size as defined above. <br> Contractor to provide corresponding copies of Certificates of Substantial Completion as well as Final Applications for Payment (both signed by the Architect) for each of the five Projects. The number of days between substantial completion and final payment will be calculated and averaged. <br> Responses are scored as follows: 60 days or less $=5$ pts; $61-120$ days $=3 \mathrm{pts} ; 121$ days or more $=0$ pts | 1 | 5 |
| 11 | Safety <br> Rating | AIA 305 | Contractors to provide the Owner with their Experience Modifier Rate (EMR). <br> Those with EMR of 0.50 or less $=5 \mathrm{pts}$; <br> EMR of $0.51-0.85=4 \mathrm{pts} ;$ EMR of $0.86-0.99=3 \mathrm{pts} ;$ <br> EMR greater than $1.00=0 \mathrm{pts}$. <br> a maximum of 10 points. | 1 | 5 |
| 12 | Base <br> Proposal | Proposal <br> Form | Contractor to submit their Competitive Sealed Proposals on the forms included in the Specification Manual. <br> Low Price $=40$ pts. For Subsequent Proposer's, the low Proposer's price shall be divided by the Subsequent Proposer's price to get a percentage (factor) that is multiplied by the score to get the total. | 1 | 40 |

B. The Selection Committee consisting of Lamar Consolidated ISD administrators, program managers, architects, consultants and other staff will make an initial evaluation of the proposals. The committee's recommendation will be considered by the Lamar Consolidated ISD Board of Trustees ("Board"). The District reserves the right to review the recommendation with the Director of Maintenance and

Operations and others deemed appropriate by the District prior to review by the entire Board. The final decision-making authority on the proposals rests with the full Board. Decision-making authority has not been delegated to any person or entity other than the Board.
C. The District will make such investigations as it deems necessary to determine the ability of the Offeror to perform the Work, and the Offeror shall furnish all such information and data for this purpose as may be requested. The District reserves the right to reject any proposal if the evidence submitted by, or investigation of, such Offeror fails to satisfy the District that such Offeror is properly qualified to carry out the obligations of the Contract and to complete the Work contemplated therein.
D. The District reserves the right to reject any or all proposals and to waive any formalities or irregularities and to make the award of the contract in the best interest of the District.
E. A decision regarding determination of the successful Offeror will be made by the District as soon as practical.

# DISCUSSION AND ACTION ON THE COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF LAMAR CISD TRANSPORTATION BY THE TEXAS A\&M UNIVERSITY TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE 

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

At the September 18, 2014 regular Board Meeting a transportation update information item was presented to the Board of Trustees. The information presented to the Board on September 18, 2014 was about the issues experienced by the District at the start of school. The District hired the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) to conduct a comprehensive review of our transportation system, processes, policies, and to recommend changes. TTI started gathering information September $18^{\text {th }}$ and will present the report to the Board of Trustees.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The period of performance for the review by TTI is September 18, 2014 to December 31,2014 . TTI will gather information about industry and best practices that are applicable and make recommendations.

Submitted by: J. Kevin McKeever, Administrator for Operations

# 9.B.\#11. - PLANNING BOARD REPORT <br> DECEMBER 18, 2014 

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ATTENDANCE BOUNDARY COMMITTEE

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve the membership of the Attendance Boundary Committee (ABC) for 2014-2015 as presented with the proposed time line using the LCISD Zoning Process, and charge the ABC with setting the boundaries for John M. Arredondo Elementary School for the fall of 2015.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

Membership of the ABC will consist of two representatives each from Beasley Elementary, Hutchison Elementary, Meyer Elementary, Thomas Elementary, Navarro Middle, Ryon Middle, Wessendorff Middle and three representatives each from George Junior, Lamar Junior, Reading Junior, George Ranch High, Lamar Consolidated High, and Terry High—as specified in the LCISD Zoning Process, approved by the Board in September 2004. The LCISD Zoning Process states that only representatives from campuses affected by rezoning and their feeder schools will be involved in making the rezoning recommendations to the Board. Current Board members cannot serve on the ABC.

A tentative time line for the 2014-2015 zoning process is attached. Using this time line, zoning decisions would be made by February or March for the fall of 2015.

Submitted by: Mike Rockwood, Executive Director of Community Relations

Recommended for Approval:


Dr. Thomas Randle
Superintendent

| CAMPUS | FIRST | LAST | HOME ADDRESS | City | PHONE NUMBER | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beasley Elementary | Kelly | DeLeon | 226 Randon Dyer Road | Rosenberg | 281-978-0486 | kellygdeleon@gmail.com |
| Beasley Elementary | Taylor | Janczak | 1111 Coon Drive | Rosenberg | 832-675-4850 | none listed |
| Hutchison Elementary | Glenn | McClain | 6218 Milan Branch Lane | Rosenberg | none listed | glenn.mcclain@gmail.com |
| Hutchison Elementary | Vanessa | Rodriguez | 1419 Bell Ridge Lane | Rosenberg | 281-232-3158 | vanessa@oakwellinc.com |
| Meyer Elementary | Stephanie | Stephens | 1230 Blackhawk Ridge Court | Rosenberg | 832-541-7764 | ststeph81@gmail.com |
| Meyer Elementary | Jennifer | Salinas | 6114 Homestead | Rosenberg | 832-287-7592 | jsalinas@lcisd.org |
| Thomas Elementary | Brian | Moore | 227 Golden Grain Drive | Richmond | 832-859-9130 | briansm02@gmail.com |
| Thomas Elementary | Christopher | Thomas | 627 Honeysuckle Vine Drive | Richmond | 832-490-9866 | christopherpaints@gmail.com |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Navarro Middle | Lisa | Reyes | 215 Mebane Lane | Rosenberg | 832-877-5472 | jaylisa.reyes@yahoo.com |
| Navarro Middle | Sarah | Barta | 3404 Glenmeadow Drive | Rosenberg | 281-986-0680 | sarahjbarta2982@gmail.com |
| Ryon Middle | Bobby | Anciso | 1003 Butterfly Lane | Richmond | none listed | elude1nce@aol.com |
| Ryon Middle | Paula | Sierra | 7703 Butler Lakes Court | Richmond | 281-240-0005 | paucs77@msn.com |
| Wessendorff Middle | Joseph | Dewhart | 6321 Archer Ranch Lane | Rosenberg | 832-591-4364 | jdewhartir@yahoo.com |
| Wessendorff Middle | Dawnyell | Gallien | 6231 Orange Blossom Lane | Rosenberg | 904-316-6525 | dawnyell.gallien@williams.com |
| Junior High |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| George Jr. High | Olivia | Vela | 5925 Meadow Lane | Richmond | 832-519-3906 | oliviamendieta17@gmail.com |
| George Jr. High | Kacy | Koen | 601 Park Place Blvd., Apt \#631 | Richmond | 832-544-6496 | k.koen@pacificdrilling.com |
| George Jr. High | Toribio | Lopez | 1019 San Antonio Court | Rosenberg | 832-768-2364 | tobylopez81@yahoo.com |
| Lamar Jr. High | Stefanie | Garrett | 3101 Vista Drive, Apt. 3103 | Rosenberg | 281-804-8017 | stef0523@hotmail.com |
| Lamar Jr. High | Amy | Munivez | 6530 Delta Crossing | Rosenberg | 281-745-2616 | amyperez1@hotmail.com |
| Lamar Jr. High | Mirna | Pernia | 6403 Fisher Bend Lane | Rosenberg | 832-643-3380 | mpernia74@gmail.com |
| Reading Jr. High | Karen | Lev | 7407 Summer Night Lane | Richmond | 832-535-7745 | Karenlev222@aol.com |
| Reading Jr. High | Gregory | Lippmann | 633 Honeysuckle Vine Drive | Richmond | 832-914-3422 | gilippmann@gmail.com |
| Reading Jr. High | Liliana | Sanchez | 702 Longvale Glen Court | Richmond | 281-232-0428 | liliana@johnsonmaintenancegroup.com |
| High |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| George Ranch High | Nick | Bess | 7715 Butler Lakes Court | Richmond | 832-535-0556 | nick.bess@gmail.com |
| George Ranch High | Randy | Young | 8323 Cloverleaf Drive | Richmond | 281-979-1859 | randy@skajerk.com |
| George Ranch High | Pamela | Brown | 706 Longvale Glen Court | Richmond | 281-844-3603 | Pamela.brown@fortbendisd.com |
| Lamar Consolidated High | Teena | Adams | 3101 Vista Drive, Apt \# 3102 | Rosenberg | 281-633-9936 | ladyt81@aol.com |
| Lamar Consolidated High | Melissa | Aguilar | 706 Sidney Street | Rosenberg | 281-750-6625 | none listed |
| Lamar Consolidated High | Natalie | Crump | 4719 Reading Road, Apt \#1102 | Rosenberg | 832-361-8184 | natalie_crump@yahoo.com |
| Terry High | Romesha | Hall | 7145 Reading Road, Apt 222 | Rosenberg | 281-978-1903 | Pomeshahall99@gmail.com |
| Terry High | Deshowian | Walter | 4401 Thistle Pond Circle | Richmond | 832-752-7145 | deshowian@sbcglobal.net |
| Terry High | Veronica | Chavarria | 6903 Harpers Drive | Richmond | 281-344-7763 | vchavarria@lcisd.org |

## 2014-2015 Attendance Boundary Committee Zoning Time Line for Arredondo Elementary School

October 16 Information item with time line to Board
November 17 Request for Attendance Boundary Committee (ABC) applications
December 5 Deadline for principals to submit ABC representatives
December 10 Attendance boundary review for Arredondo Elementary at facilities planning team meeting

December 16 Board Zoning Committee meeting
December 18 Board approval of ABC and charge to ABC
January $5 \quad$ First ABC meeting - zoning considerations for Fall 2015-7 pm Board Room

January 12 Second ABC meeting - zoning considerations for Fall 2015-7 pm Board Room

January 20

February 2

March 19

February $9 \quad$ ABC meeting - zoning recommendation finalized for Fall 2015 recommendation to the Board - 7 pm Board Room

February $17 \quad$ ABC recommendation to the Board Zoning Committee Additional public input (if needed)

February 19 ABC recommendation to the Board - 7 pm Board Room
February 23 Information sent out to parents of students rezoned (if approved by Board)
Third ABC meeting (if needed) - zoning considerations for Fall 2015 7 pm Board Room

Public input at community meeting - TBD - 7 pm

ABC recommendation to the Board/additional public input/Board discussion if not approved at the February meeting - 7 pm Board Room

## THE LCISD ZONING PROCESS

FACT: Lamar CISD is among the fastest growing school districts in the state. A large number of residential developments are under construction or in the planning stages throughout the LCISD community. The district must continue providing all students with a quality education.

BACKGROUND: In a growing school system, it is inevitable that the district's attendance zones will be changed periodically. As new schools open and population shifts occur, attendance zones will be adjusted. Each campus in the district is unique and offers many enrichment opportunities for students. Our goal is to ensure that all campuses, regardless of location, provide quality programs.

REQUESTING AN INTRA-DISTRICT TRANSFER: Assignments of any neighborhoods or areas of the district to a particular campus are subject to re-evaluation each year. Although students are expected to attend the school located in the attendance zone in which they reside, certain conditions may exist as outlined in district policies and procedures in which parents/guardians may request their child's transfer to another LCISD campus. In such instances, the parents/guardians may complete an intra-district transfer application available from any campus.

The application must be submitted to the principal at the requested campus. Due to overcrowded conditions at some campuses, the district may declare a campus closed to new transfers.

ZONING OBJECTIVES/CRITERIA: The district's Framework for Facilities Planning established a need for objectives and criteria to be used to guide zoning decisions. The following 12 objectives should be used when developing new attendance zones. All of the 12 objectives should be given equal weight when making boundary decisions.

1. To draw attendance zones in a way that supports an efficient/effective use of school facilities.
2. To reduce overcrowding of campuses.
3. To plan for future growth.
4. To keep neighborhoods and feeder schools tracking together, as much as possible.
5. To minimize rezoning neighborhoods which have been affected in previous rezonings.
6. To draw secondary zones which reflect the diversity of the district, as much as possible.
7. To consider elementary students' proximity to a campus, being mindful of traffic patterns that allow for the safest routes available.
8. To involve the community in defining the objectives/criteria for rezoning.
9. To develop a fair and objective rezoning process.
10. To always keep in mind doing what is in the best interest of students.
11. To communicate zoning information effectively to all students and families that may be impacted.
12. To consider fiscal impact of changes.

ATTENDANCE BOUNDARY COMMITTEE MAKE-UP: Membership of the ABC will consist of two representatives from each elementary and middle school campus and three representatives from each junior high and high school campus. The campus administrator will select campus representatives with the entire committee approved by the Board. Appropriate central administrators will serve as resources as needed. District information and the Board's charge for rezoning considerations will be presented to the entire committee. Only representatives from campuses affected by rezoning and their feeder schools will be involved in making the rezoning recommendations to be presented to the Board. Current Board members cannot serve on the Attendance Boundary Committee.

## LCISD ZONING PROCESS

| Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 | Step 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conduct <br> Board/Cabinet <br> workshop(s) to <br> review and <br> discuss ABC <br> charge and <br> approve <br> committee <br> membership. | Convene the <br> Attendance <br> Boundary <br> Committee <br> (ABC) to <br>  <br> create zoning <br> recommenda- <br> tions based on <br> options <br> presented by <br> the <br> administration <br> as charged by <br> the Board. | Conduct <br> community <br> forum(s) for <br> parents in the <br> areas subject to <br> change to <br> review plans <br> and provide <br> feedback. | Conduct <br> additional <br> ABC meetings <br> as needed to <br> review and <br> discuss ABC <br> proposed <br> plan(s), and <br> any changes <br> presented <br> based on <br> parent input. | Conduct <br> additional <br> community <br> forum(s) if <br> needed to <br> present final <br> recommenda- <br> tion and allow <br> for public <br> comments. | Submit final <br> recommend- <br> dation to the <br> Board Zoning <br> Committee <br> for input. | Submit final <br> recommend- <br> dation for <br> Board <br> approval. |

Step 1: The administrative team will present all options to be considered for rezoning for review, discussion and input at a Board workshop (open to the public). Any modifications suggested at the workshop will be made before presenting the options to the ABC. Information for the Board may also be presented in written format to be discussed at a regular meeting of the Board. The Board will charge the administration with rezoning priorities to be considered by the ABC and approve the ABC membership roster for that year.

Step 2: Involve parents and community members in the process through the district Attendance Boundary Committee (ABC). The ABC will review the supporting data and options presented by the administration and work to propose possible zoning recommendations for Board consideration and approval.

Step 3: The plan(s) will be shown at a Community Forum(s) for parent input.

Step 4: Based on parent input from the Community Forum(s), the ABC will make any needed modifications to its recommendations. Modified ABC recommendations can be presented orally or in a written format to the Board.

Step 5: Additional Community Forum if needed to allow for parent comments on any ABC changes to the original recommendation.

Step 6: ABC will submit final recommendation to the Board Zoning Committee for input.

Step 7: Zoning plan(s) submitted for Board approval.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: If you would like additional information about zoning in Lamar CISD, please contact Community Relations at 832-223-0330.

# 9.C.\#1. - TECHNOLOGY <br> BOARD REPORT <br> DECEMBER 18, 2014 

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF NETWORK SUPPORT AGREEMENT

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve an agreement with Micro Integration \& Programming Solutions, Inc. in the amount of $\$ 144,000$ for network systems support.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

The Network Services Department is responsible for a wide and ever-growing array of technologies. To support these technologies requires onsite and remote support experts beyond what the district alone can provide.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

Micro Integration will provide an onsite Network Engineer 20 business days per month as well as technology-specific certified experts as needed. Micro Integration will also provide 24 hour on call support, 365 days per year. Micro Integration offers these services through The Cooperative Purchasing Network (TCPN). Support provided includes, but is not limited to:

- Internet Filtering
- Firewall Management
- Intrusion Detection
- WLAN Management
- WAN Management
- Campus LAN management
- Phone System Management
- Voice Mail Management

Submitted by: David Jacobson, Chief Technology Information Officer

Recommended for approval:
Thomas Randle

Dr. Thomas Randle

Superintendent

Network Maintenance Contract Contract \# 201501

TCPN Contract - R5231

Vendor: Micro Integration \& Programming Solutions, Inc. 10801 Hammerly, Suite 246
Houston, Texas 77043
Customer: Lamar CISD
3911 Avenue I
Rosenberg, Texas 77471
Vendor hereby agrees to provide and Customer agrees to accept, subject to the terms and conditions contained on the following pages which form a part of this AGREEMENT, maintenance service for customer's Network Infrastructure System.

Initial Term: 12 Months
Effective Start Date: January 1, 2015 until December 31, 2015
ACCEPTED BY:
MICRO INTEGRATION
ACCEPTED BY:
LAMAR CISD

Authorized Representative
Title $\qquad$
Date : $\qquad$

## Authorized Representative

Title $\qquad$

Date : $\qquad$

Authorized Representative
Title $\qquad$
Date : $\qquad$

Authorized Representative
Title $\qquad$
Date : $\qquad$

Authorized Representative
Title $\qquad$

Date : $\qquad$

Authorized Representative
Title $\qquad$
Date : $\qquad$

1. AGREEMENT TERMINATION - This Agreement may be terminated at the end of the Initial Term by either party upon 30-day written notice, mailed via U.S. Postal Service, Certified Receipt Requested. If at the end of the term neither Party has an objection, this Contract shall renew for another year at the same rate and under the same terms.
2. MAINTENANCE CONDITIONS - Vendor shall provide maintenance service to keep equipment listed as 'Network Infrastructure", in good working condition. Services shall include:

Monthly Scheduled preventive maintenance (provided both On Site as well as via VPN remote management) shall include, but not be limited to the following items:

Cisco ASA Firewall Management<br>Cisco Voice over IP System<br>Cisco Core (Routing) Infrastructure<br>Cisco Voice Mail Management

Content Filter Management Cisco Switching Infrastructure Wireless Lan Management

On Site Assistance: MI will provide a qualified Engineer On Site for 20 business days per month, 8 hours per day.

Qualified Engineer - An engineer with a minimum of 5 years of Network Infrastructure experience. Experience shall include, but not be limited to Cisco experience. Qualified Engineer shall possess Certifications in Cisco Networking in addition to the 5 years of Network Experience.

In addition, Micro Integration shall provide backup engineers on call:
Ivan Dennis - Cisco CCNP, 15 years experience
David Patterson - Cisco CCNP, 25 years experience
20 Business Days per month - Micro Integration shall provide engineering assistance on site for a minimum of 20 days per month. In the event that LCISD requires more than 20 days per month, Micro Integration will provide that at no extra charge. During District off times, Micro Integration may provide less than 20 days per month. The intent is that the "Average" will be 20 days per month over the course of a calendar year.

On-Call Assistance: MI personnel will respond to any issues with remote assistance (Phone and/or VPN) within 2hr normal business hrs (8am-5pm CST) and 3hrs outside those hours. Each call is at the discretion of Lamar CISD and can be used for any problem that arises with the Network Infrastructure that might require outside expertise to assist with quick problem resolution in order to maximize system up time.
3. LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY - Vendor's sole obligation under this Agreement is to inspect and make repairs as herein provided.

Customer agrees that Vendor shall not be liable for damages, including but not limited to, lost profits, special, incidental or consequential damages, arising out of or in connection with services provided under this Agreement.
4. ASSIGNMENTS - This Agreement shall be binding upon and insure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective successors and (to the extent specified in any assignments) Assigns. Customer, however, shall not assign this Agreement without first obtaining the prior written consent of Vendor, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. Customer acknowledges that Vendor may assign its rights under this Agreement to a third party (Assignee) which will rely upon and be entitled to the benefits of the provisions of this Agreement. Customer agrees with Vendor to recognize in writing any such assignment within fifteen (15) days after receipt of written notice thereof and to pay thereafter all sums due to Vendor hereunder directly to such Assignee as directed by Assignee.
5. REMEDIES - Upon default in the payment of any installments due under this Agreement, or upon a breach of any other condition of this Agreement, or if during the initial term of this Agreement, bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding are commenced by or against Customer, or if a receiver is appointed to manage the business of Customer, then, in any such event, Vendor may at its option; (1) Terminate this Agreement; (2) Whether or not this Agreement is terminated, maintain an action for damages for breach of any condition of this Agreement or for nonpayment of any charges invoiced to Customer. Customer shall remain liable for the remaining unpaid Monthly Maintenance Charges for the balance of the Initial Term hereof and for such other charges payable by Customer in accordance with this Agreement. Customer shall also be liable for all legal fees and other costs and expenses resulting from the foregoing default or the exercise of the Vendor's remedies. No remedy in this paragraph is intended to be exclusive, but each shall be cumulative and in addition to any other remedy provided in this Agreement.

In the event that Micro Integration fails to perform the duties as outlined in this contract, Customer may petition Micro Integration by written complaint. If Micro Integration fails to remedy said complaint(s) within 90 days, then Customer shall have the right to terminate this contract with written notice of 30 days.
6. MISCELLANEOUS - Customer shall have the full right, power and authority to order maintenance services as provided herein from Vendor.

This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Texas and constitutes the entire agreement between Vendor and Customer.

Total Maintenance Cost Per Month<br>\$ 12,000.00<br>Total Maintenance Cost Per Year<br>$\$ 144,000.00$

## 9.C.\#2. - TECHNOLOGY BOARD REPORT <br> DECEMBER 18, 2014

## CONSIDER APPROVAL OF SECURITY CAMERA REPLACEMENTS

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Trustees approve the purchase of replacement security cameras in the amount of $\$ 25,700$ from Micro Integration \& Programming Solutions, Inc.

## IMPACT/RATIONALE:

Security cameras mounted throughout the District provide valuable information. They are frequently used as part of investigations by District staff and police officers. The District has over 1,000 security cameras. Many of the cameras are very old. They have become unreliable and expensive to repair and need to be replaced. Replacing them will improve image quality and reliability of the cameras and security system.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The District currently has eight Pan-Tilt-Zoom (PTZ) cameras and eight standard cameras in need of replacement and based on current trends, more are expected to fail soon. Therefore, two additional units of each type are being requested. Micro Integration offers this pricing through The Cooperative Purchasing Network (TCPN). Funds from the Technology portion of the 2011 Bond referendum dedicated to security camera replacement will be used to fund this project.

Submitted by: David Jacobson, Chief Technology Information Officer

Recommended for approval:


Dr. Thomas Randle

Superintendent

## Oi MICRO

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IP Security Camera Quote } \\
& \text { Lamar CISD Replacement Cameras } \\
& \text { TCPN \# R4826 }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  |  |  |  |  | Ver 2.0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manf. | Model | Description | List | Cust. Cost | Qty | Ext. Cost |
| AXIS | 0312-004 | AXIS P5532-E PTZ DOME NETWORK CAMERA- OUTDOOR READY | \$2,199.00 | \$1,795.00 | 10 | \$17,950.00 |
| AXIS | 0482-001 | AXIS P3364-VE (6MM) FIXED DOME 720P, WDR, P-IRIS, SD/SDHC | \$899.00 | \$775.00 | 10 | \$7,750.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | b Total | \$25,700.00 |

# 10.A.\#1. - COMMUNIATIONS BOARD REPORT DECEMBER 18, 2014 

## INFORMATION ITEM: SCHOOL BOARD RECOGNITION MONTH

January 2015 is School Board Recognition Month-a great time to focus on the crucial role an elected board of trustees plays in our communities and schools. The goal of School Board Recognition Month is to build awareness of the crucial role an elected board of trustees plays in our communities and schools. School board members are the largest group of publicly-elected officials in Texas.

School board members exemplify local citizen control and decision-making in education. They volunteer hundreds of hours and an immeasurable amount of energy to assure that our schools are providing the best education possible for the children of our community.

Here are some reasons we are taking this opportunity to show them our appreciation during School Board Recognition Month in January:

- School board members are citizens whose decisions affect our children-what they learn, who will teach them and what kinds of facilities house their classrooms. These are men and women elected to establish the policies that provide the framework for our public schools. They represent you and they take this responsibility seriously by attending lengthy-sometimes challenging-meetings, conferences and institutes. They also broaden their knowledge about education during numerous conversations about the schools and in sessions before the Texas Legislature.
- Our school board is one of more than 1,000 such boards across the state. These boards enable us to have local control of public schools, meaning that decisions are made by local, elected representatives who understand the community's unique problems, values, culture and circumstances.
- Too often we neglect to recognize the dedication and hard work of these men and women who represent us. The staff and students of our school district are asking all local citizens to take a moment to tell a school board member "Thanks for caring about our children's education." So, many thanks to the dedicated men and women who make it possible for local citizens to have a say about education in our communities. We salute the public servants of Lamar CISD whose dedication and civic responsibility make local control of public schools in our community possible. We applaud them for their vision and voice to help shape a better tomorrow.

Resource Persons: Dr. Thomas Randle, Superintendent
Mike Rockwood, Executive Director of Community Relations

## Resolution

WHEREAS, the mission of the public schools is to meet the diverse educational needs of all children and to empower them to become competent, productive contributors to a democratic society and an ever-changing world; and

WHEREAS, local school board members are committed to children and believe that all children can be successful learners and that the best education is tailored to the individual needs of the child; and

WHEREAS, local school board members work closely with parents, educational professionals, and other community members to create the educational vision we want for our students; and

WHEREAS, local school board members are responsible for ensuring the structure that provides a solid foundation for our school system; and

WHEREAS, local school board members are strong advocates for public education and are responsible for communicating the needs of the school district to the public and the public's expectations to the district;

THEREFORE, I do hereby declare my appreciation to the members of the Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Board of Trustees and proclaim the month of January, 2015 as SCHOOL BOARD RECOGNITION MONTH in Lamar CISD and urge all citizens to join in recognizing the dedication and hard work of local school board members and in working with them to mold an education system that meets the needs of both today's and tomorrow's children.

Signed this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2014 by Dr. Thomas Randle.



Thomas Randle, Ed.D.
Superintendent of Schools

## 10.B.\#1. - PERSONNEL BOARD REPORT DECEMBER 18, 2014

## INFORMATION ITEM: REPORT ON BOARD MEMBER TRAINING

School board members are required by Texas law and State Board of Education rule to participate in three types of continuing education: an orientation to local district policy and to the laws affecting public education in Texas; an annual team building activity, taken in conjunction with the rest of the board and the superintendent; and a specified number of hours each year in areas of special need. Board members determine needs with their board annually by reviewing the Framework for School Board Development, a document that outlines the tasks an effective board performs in its governing capacity. Continuing education courses that address these needs are available through a variety of sources.

Annually, the current president of each local Board of Trustees shall make an announcement stating Board members who have met the required hours of training and who have not met their basic obligation and expectation of the State Board of Education. The president shall cause the minutes of the local board to reflect the board members who have and who have not completed the required training and shall make this information available to the local media.

As of this date, the Lamar CISD Board members have the following current (since last year's report) and accumulated certified training credit:

|  | Current | Accumulated | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kay Danziger | 27.25 | 39.75 | 67.00 |
| Anna Gonzales | 13.00 | 25.75 | 38.75 |
| Dar Hakimzadeh | 23.75 | 63.75 | 87.50 |
| Kathryn Kaminski | 27.75 | 39.00 | 66.75 |
| Julie Thompson | 13.75 | 134.00 | 147.75 |
| Frank Torres | 1.00 | 9.00 | 10.00 |
| Rhonda Zacharias | 11.75 | 51.50 | 63.25 |

Resource Person: Dr. Thomas Randle, Superintendent

## INFORMATION ITEM: COLLEGE-READINESS TESTING REPORT, 2013-2014

## Advanced Placement (AP) Testing Spring 2014

## Overview

The College Board's Advanced Placement (AP) program offers high school students the opportunity to participate in challenging college-level course work while still in high school. Students that elect to do so may receive credit hours in collegiate level course work recognized by thousands of colleges and universities across the nation. The Advanced Placement tests are given upon completion of their coursework in May.

With the exception of Studio Art, each Advanced Placement test consists of multiple choice and free response sections, designed to match the depth, complexity, and rigor of two semesters worth of collegiate level course work. Tests are scored on a scale from 1 to 5 , where a student earning a 5 is considered to be 'extremely well qualified'. Conversely, a student who earns a 1 will earn a rating of 'no recommendation' by the College Board. Generally, many colleges and universities will give course credit to students that earn a score of 3 or higher.

## Participation Data

The table below gives an overview of the number of LCISD students tested and how many tests were administered in the AP program from 2010 to the present. Please note the number of students taking AP tests and the total number of tests administered has almost doubled in the last five years.

| Advanced Placement Program Participation 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Total No. Exams Given | Total <br> Students Tested | Total No. Exams Given | Total <br> Students Tested | Total No. Exams Given | Total Students Tested | Total No. Exams Given | Total Students Tested | Total No. Exams Given | Total Students Tested |
| LCHS | 337 | 192 | 279 | 172 | 334 | 197 | 438 | 231 | 471 | 304 |
| THS | 120 | 87 | 77 | 51 | 141 | 102 | 203 | 111 | 221 | 132 |
| FHS | 541 | 323 | 544 | 317 | 462 | 280 | 472 | 246 | 420 | 256 |
| GRHS | 1177 | 653 | 951 | 547 | 465 | 320 | 196 | 193 |  |  |
| District | 2175 | 1255 | 1851 | 1087 | 1402 | 899 | 1309 | 781 | 1112 | 692 |

## Performance Data

The following table represents an overview of the number and percent of students earning a 3 or higher on AP exams from 2010 to the present. Many colleges and universities will accept AP exams with scores of 3 or higher towards granting college credit. The percentage of Lamar CISD students earning a 3 or higher has remained significantly above the state average for the past five years.

| Number and Percent of Students Earning 3 or Higher, 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Number <br> of <br> Students <br> with 3 or <br> Higher | Percent of Stus with 3 or Higher | Number of Students with 3 or Higher | Percent of Stus with 3 or Higher | Number <br> of <br> Students <br> with 3 or <br> Higher | Percent of Stus with 3 or Higher | Number of Students with 3 or Higher | Percent of Stus with 3 or Higher | Number of Students with 3 or Higher | Percent of Stus with 3 or Higher |
| LCHS | 94 | 49.0\% | 76 | 44.2\% | 98 | 49.7\% | 116 | 50.2\% | 147 | 48.4\% |
| THS | 26 | 29.9\% | 19 | 37.3\% | 48 | 47.1\% | 53 | 47.7\% | 73 | 55.3\% |
| FHS | 215 | 66.6\% | 198 | 62.5\% | 205 | 73.2\% | 179 | 72.8\% | 169 | 66.0\% |
| GRHS | 391 | 59.9\% | 316 | 57.8\% | 197 | 61.6\% | 84 | 43.5\% |  |  |
| District | 726 | 57.8\% | 609 | 56.0\% | 548 | 61.0\% | 432 | 55.3\% | 389 | 56.2\% |
| State |  | 52.2\% |  | 50.5\% |  | 51.3\% |  | 48.7\% |  | 50.7\% |
| Overall |  | 61.3\% |  | 60.9\% |  | 61.5\% |  | 60.2\% |  | 60.2\% |

The data table below outlines participation and performance in the AP testing program, by the number and percent of students earning a 3 or above within the subject-specific exams offered in Lamar CISD.

|  | AP Exams by Number Taken and Scores Above 3: 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
| Exam | Total Tests | \# of Tests 3 or Above | \% 3 or <br> Above | Total <br> Tests | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \text { of Tests } \\ 3 \text { or } \\ \text { Above } \end{gathered}$ | \% 3 or <br> Above | Total <br> Tests | \# of Tests 3 or Above | \% 3 or <br> Above | Total <br> Tests | \# of Tests 3 or Above | \% 3 or <br> Above | Total <br> Tests | \# of Tests 3 or Above | \% 3 or <br> Above |
| Art History |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology | 50 | 40 | 80\% | 34 | 21 | 62\% | 36 | 20 | 56\% | 35 | 18 | 51\% | 18 | 7 | 39\% |
| Calculus AB | 98 | 54 | 55\% | 101 | 46 | 46\% | 78 | 42 | 54\% | 49 | 20 | 41\% | 84 | 41 | 49\% |
| Calculus BC | 17 | 14 | 82\% | 26 | 19 | 73\% | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 7 | 2 | 29\% | 11 | 11 | 100\% |
| Chemistry | 36 | 22 | 61\% | 65 | 29 | 45\% | 25 | 14 | 56\% | 13 | 5 | 38\% | 22 | 6 | 27\% |
| Chinese Lang and Culture | 2 | 2 | 100\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Computer Science A | 6 | 4 | 67\% | 5 | 3 | 60\% | 5 | 3 | 60\% | 11 | 9 | 82\% | 10 | 5 | 50\% |
| Computer Science AB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comp. Govt. \& Pol. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Economics: Macro | 92 | 38 | 41\% | 71 | 32 | 45\% | 47 | 33 | 70\% | 90 | 25 | 28\% | 48 | 11 | 23\% |
| Economics: Micro | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2 | 67\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 1 | 17\% | 5 | 2 | 40\% |
| Eng. Lang. \& Comp. | 299 | 178 | 60\% | 249 | 137 | 55\% | 151 | 109 | 72\% | 145 | 110 | 76\% | 182 | 133 | 73\% |
| Eng. Lit. \& Comp. | 153 | 88 | 58\% | 101 | 73 | 72\% | 68 | 58 | 85\% | 90 | 66 | 73\% | 76 | 60 | 79\% |
| Environmental Science | 92 | 57 | 62\% | 62 | 29 | 47\% | 38 | 29 | 76\% | 57 | 18 | 32\% | 12 | 7 | 58\% |
| European History | 31 | 19 | 61\% | 36 | 22 | 61\% |  |  |  | 32 | 16 | 50\% | 22 | 16 | 73\% |
| French Language | 6 | 6 | 100\% | 5 | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |  |
| German Language |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government \& Politics | 180 | 90 | 50\% | 109 | 57 | 52\% | 94 | 50 | 53\% | 118 | 41 | 35\% | 122 | 53 | 43\% |
| Human Geography | 256 | 143 | 56\% | 191 | 114 | 60\% | 198 | 117 | 59\% | 227 | 85 | 37\% | 154 | 65 | 42\% |
| Music Theory | 9 | 5 | 56\% | 23 | 5 | 22\% | 22 | 7 | 32\% | 14 | 5 | 36\% | 7 | 5 | 71\% |
| Physics B | 40 | 16 | 40\% | 15 | 10 | 67\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 16 | 5 | 31\% | 10 | 4 | 40\% |
| Physics C: Mechanics | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 99 | 41 | 41\% | 115 | 52 | 45\% | 132 | 68 | 52\% | 48 | 30 | 63\% | 43 | 22 | 51\% |
| Spanish Language | 48 | 47 | 98\% | 57 | 42 | 74\% | 58 | 39 | 67\% | 27 | 15 | 56\% | 23 | 16 | 70\% |
| Spanish Literature | 5 | 5 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics | 47 | 30 | 64\% | 44 | 18 | 41\% | 27 | 16 | 59\% | 36 | 33 | 92\% | 17 | 15 | 88\% |
| Studio Art: 2-D Design |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Studio Art: Drawing | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| U.S. History | 282 | 133 | 47\% | 243 | 127 | 52\% | 156 | 90 | 58\% | 131 | 44 | 34\% | 102 | 63 | 62\% |
| World History | 323 | 156 | 48\% | 293 | 127 | 43\% | 246 | 123 | 50\% | 149 | 90 | 60\% | 141 | 54 | 38\% |

## Demographic Data

The below table shows a disaggregation of students by race/ethnicity. Please note the significant growth of African American students participating in the Advanced Placement testing program since 2010.

| AP Participation by Ethnicity as Reported by Each Student, 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| African American | 189 | 149 | 99 | 105 | 78 |
| Hispanic | 260 | 306 | 221 | 167 | 120 |
| Asian | 193 | 176 | 126 | 103 | 92 |
| White | 553 | 406 | 393 | 360 | 354 |
| Other or Unidentified | 60 | 50 | 60 | 46 | 48 |

The table below illustrates AP exam participation by grade level.

| AP Participation by Grade Level: 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Levels | 2014 Participants | 2013 Participants | 2012 Participants | 2011 Participants | 2010 Participants |
| $\mathbf{9}$ and 10 | 563 | 502 | 476 | 379 | 292 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 393 | 345 | 251 | 194 | 193 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 266 | 223 | 159 | 190 | 175 |
| Unstated | 33 | 17 | 13 | 18 | 32 |

## American College Testing (ACT) Participation and Performance Senior Class of 2014

## Overview

The American College Testing (ACT) program is one of the two comprehensive assessment programs LCISD students can use for college admissions purposes. The ACT is comprised of four subtests to measure student abilities in the following areas: English, Mathematics, Reading and Science. The English test measures a student's ability to make decisions relating to writing strategy, composition organization, and prose. The Mathematics test is designed to assess the depth of mathematics reasoning skills a student should have attained by the start of twelfth grade. The Reading portion of the assessment measures reading comprehension, the ability to draw conclusions, and make comparisons and generalizations. Finally, the Science test measures a student's ability to interpret, analyze, evaluate, and reason in course work in the natural sciences.

All of the ACT tests are measured on a scale of 1 to 36 points in increments of tenths of a point. Additionally, student scores are averaged to form a composite score.

## Participation Data

The table below summarizes the growth of student participation in the ACT program from 2010 to 2014.

| Number of Students Taking ACT, 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| LCHS | 94 | 67 | 174 | 145 | 141 |
| THS | 160 | 121 | 145 | 111 | 125 |
| FHS | 158 | 160 | 185 | 221 | 181 |
| GRHS | 209 | 197 | 2 |  |  |
| Dist | 621 | 545 | 506 | 477 | 447 |
| State | 116547 | 109841 | 110180 | 101569 | 92615 |
| Nation | 1845787 | 1799243 | 1666017 | 1623112 | 1568835 |

## Performance Data

The below table summarizes LCISD student performance by each high school, district, state and national averages. Please note LCISD performance has remained competitive with both state and national averages.

| Average ACT Scores for Students by High School, District, State and Nation: 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  | Reading |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Composite |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| LCHS | 19.8 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 20.7 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 20.1 |
| THS | 16.7 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 19.8 | 19.0 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 18.5 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.1 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 19.0 |
| FHS | 21.2 | 21.5 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 22.0 |
| GRHS | 21.0 | 21.5 | 17.5 |  |  | 22.3 | 22.1 | 19.5 |  |  | 22.7 | 22.4 | 22.5 |  |  | 22.1 | 21.9 | 19.5 |  |  | 22.1 | 22.1 | 20.5 |  |  |
| Dist | 19.7 | 19.8 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 19.6 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 20.6 |
| State | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 |
| National | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 21.0 |

## Demographic Data

The table below summarizes the demographic disaggregation of students that took the ACT from 2010 through 2014.

| ACT Participation and Average Scores by Student Identified Ethnicity, 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
|  | Stus | \% | Avg | Stus | \% | Avg | Stus | \% | Avg | Stus | \% | Avg | Stus | \% | Avg |
| African American | 124 | 20\% | 18.7 | 125 | 23\% | 19.0 | 117 | 23\% | 17.6 | 116 | 24\% | 17.8 | 117 | 26\% | 17.7 |
| Hispanic | 212 | 34\% | 19.7 | 177 | 32\% | 19.3 | 133 | 26\% | 19.2 | 117 | 25\% | 19.1 | 90 | 20\% | 22.6 |
| Asian | 35 | 6\% | 24.7 | 33 | 6\% | 23.8 | 27 | 6\% | 21.7 | 20 | 4\% | 24.4 | 25 | 6\% | 23.3 |
| White | 208 | 33\% | 22.9 | 176 | 32\% | 23.3 | 204 | 40\% | 22.8 | 191 | 40\% | 22.8 | 187 | 41\% | 22.6 |
| Not Responding or Other | 42 | 7\% | 22.6 | 34 | 7\% | 21.3 | 25 | 5\% | 20.3 | 33 | 7\% | 22.6 | 28 | 7\% | 21.1 |
| Total Number | 621 |  |  | 545 |  |  | 506 |  |  | 477 |  |  | 447 |  |  |

## Historical Performance

The table below summarizes the historical performance of students on the ACT from perspective of the district, state, and national levels, including any gains or losses in performance at each level since 2010. Please note that over the five year time period, LCISD students (scores identified in BLUE) made gains in all areas - most significantly, math and science.

| Comparison of ACT Performance by District, State, and National Scores 2010 to 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENGLISH |  |  | MATH |  |  | READING |  |  | SCIENCE |  |  | COMPOSITE |  |  |
|  | DIST | ST | NAT | DIST | ST | NAT | DIST | ST | NAT | DIST | ST | NAT | DIST | ST | NAT |
| 2010 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 20.5 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 21.0 |
| 2011 | 19.8 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 21.1 |
| 2012 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 21.4 | 21.1 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 21.1 |
| 2013 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| 2014 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 20.3 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 21.0 |
| Gains/Losses 2013 to Present | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Gains/Losses 2010 to Present | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

## College Preference

Below are the top ten colleges and universities LCISD students chose to send their ACT scores to in 2014.

1. Texas A\&M University - College Station
2. University of Houston - Main Campus
3. University of Texas at Austin
4. Texas State University - San Marcos
5. Sam Houston State University
6. University of Texas at San Antonio
7. Texas Tech University
8. Baylor University
9. Houston Baptist University
10. Rice University

## Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Participation and Performance Senior Class of 2014

## Overview

The Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) is the second of the two comprehensive assessment programs that LCISD students can utilize for college admissions purposes. The SAT consists of three components: a critical reading test, a mathematics test, and a writing test. The critical reading portion - formerly known as the verbal section - includes short and long reading passages that require analysis. The math portion includes topics such as exponential growth, absolute value, functional notation, linear functions, and manipulations with exponents. Finally, the writing portion
includes both multiple choice and essay components to assess grammatical and syntactical abilities. All SAT portions are scored on a scale of 200 to 800.

## Participation Data

Shown in the table below, participation totals for the SAT have increased significantly from 2010 to 2014.

| Number of Students Taking SAT, 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| LCHS | 166 | 122 | 258 | 262 | 209 |
| THS | 135 | 83 | 95 | 136 | 128 |
| FHS | 269 | 258 | 323 | 369 | 251 |
| GRHS | 344 | 266 |  |  |  |
| District | 914 | 729 | 676 | 767 | 588 |
| State | 179036 | 172870 | 172802 | 166012 | 148102 |
| Nation | 1672395 | 1660047 | 1664479 | 1647123 | 1547990 |

## Performance Data

The table below summarizes LCISD student performance by each high school, the district, and compares them to the state and national averages. Please notice that LCISD students continue to maintain scores above the state average.

| Average SAT Scores for Students by High School, 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Reading |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  | Writing |  |  |  |  |
| Campus | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| LCHS | 476 | 469 | 481 | 477 | 478 | 490 | 485 | 503 | 492 | 499 | 464 | 452 | 474 | 474 | 474 |
| THS | 443 | 449 | 486 | 478 | 475 | 472 | 461 | 506 | 486 | 495 | 432 | 437 | 458 | 458 | 465 |
| FHS | 504 | 505 | 492 | 486 | 495 | 514 | 525 | 520 | 517 | 525 | 485 | 484 | 475 | 475 | 483 |
| GRHS | 498 | 497 |  |  |  | 516 | 519 |  |  |  | 483 | 495 |  |  |  |
| District | 488 | 490 | 482 | 482 | 485 | 504 | 509 | 511 | 503 | 509 | 473 | 477 | 472 | 472 | 476 |
| State | 476 | 477 | 474 | 479 | 484 | 496 | 499 | 499 | 502 | 505 | 461 | 461 | 465 | 465 | 473 |
| Nation | 497 | 496 | 496 | 497 | 501 | 513 | 514 | 514 | 514 | 516 | 487 | 488 | 489 | 489 | 492 |

## Demographic Data

The table below summarizes the demographic disaggregation of students that took the SAT between 2010 and 2014.

| SAT Participation by Student Identified Ethnicity, $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| African American | 219 | 164 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | 183 | 123 |
| Hispanic | 247 | 194 | 141 | 189 | 132 |
| Asian | 86 | 73 | 49 | 42 | 41 |
| White | 321 | 265 | 307 | 321 | 267 |
| Other or N/A | 41 | 33 | 35 | 32 | 25 |

The table below summarizes student performance by ethnic group from 2010 to 2014.

| SAT Scores by Student Identified Ethnicity, 2010 to 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Reading |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  | Writing |  |  |  |  |
| Campus | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| African American | 444 | 457 | 449 | 430 | 432 | 456 | 463 | 467 | 442 | 448 | 434 | 442 | 437 | 428 | 429 |
| Hispanic | 463 | 469 | 467 | 465 | 466 | 490 | 499 | 496 | 489 | 485 | 454 | 461 | 457 | 450 | 453 |
| Asian | 537 | 521 | 470 | 501 | 512 | 565 | 556 | 522 | 540 | 583 | 522 | 501 | 471 | 506 | 504 |
| White | 522 | 513 | 516 | 517 | 517 | 532 | 536 | 539 | 540 | 543 | 500 | 502 | 500 | 504 | 510 |

## Historical Performance

The table below summarizes the historical performance of students on the SAT from perspective of the district, state, and national levels, including any gains or losses in performance at each level since 2010. Please note that as the number of students taking the SAT has increased, LCISD students have maintained performance levels ahead of the state as a whole over the five year period.

| Comparison of SAT Performance by District, State, and National Scores 2010 to 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CRITICAL READING |  |  | MATH |  |  | WRITING |  |  |
|  | DIST | ST | NAT | DIST | ST | NAT | DIST | ST | NAT |
| 2010 | 483 | 483 | 500 | 509 | 504 | 515 | 474 | 472 | 491 |
| 2011 | 482 | 479 | 497 | 503 | 502 | 514 | 472 | 465 | 489 |
| 2012 | 487 | 474 | 496 | 511 | 499 | 514 | 474 | 461 | 488 |
| 2013 | 490 | 477 | 496 | 509 | 499 | 514 | 477 | 461 | 488 |
| 2014 | 488 | 476 | 497 | 504 | 495 | 513 | 473 | 461 | 487 |
| Gains/Losses 2013 to Present | -2.0 | -1.0 | 1.0 | -5.0 | -4.0 | -1.0 | -4.0 | 0.0 | -1.0 |
| Gains/Losses 2010 to Present | 5.0 | -7.0 | -3.0 | -5.0 | -9.0 | -2.0 | -1.0 | -11.0 | -4.0 |

## College Preference

Below are the top ten colleges and universities LCISD students chose to send their SAT scores to in 2014.

1. University of Houston - Main Campus
2. Texas A\&M University - College Station
3. University of Texas at Austin
4. Texas State University - San Marcos
5. Baylor University
6. Sam Houston State University
7. University of Texas at San Antonio
8. Texas Tech University
9. Stephen F. Austin State University
10. Houston Baptist University

Resource Persons: Dr. Walter Bevers, Executive Director of Secondary Education
Mr. Brian D. Moore, Director of Research and Accountability
Ms. Valerie Anderson, Director of Advanced Studies
Ms. Valerie Vogt, Academic Administrator

## 10.D.\#1. - PLANNING BOARD REPORT <br> DECEMBER 18, 2014

## INFORMATION ITEM: STEWARDSHIP REPORT

The 2014 Stewardship Report has been updated to provide information regarding academic improvement, financial strength and organizational excellence. While this report does not include every aspect of such a diverse organization-it does provide a glimpse of what is being done for the students and taxpayers of Lamar Consolidated Independent School District.

Resource Person: Dr. Thomas Randle, Superintendent

## INFORMATION ITEM: LAMAR EDUCATIONAL AWARDS FOUNDATION (LEAF) UPDATE

The Lamar Educational Awards Foundation (LEAF) awarded \$317,045 in November 2014 to Lamar CISD campuses. It presented 158 grants to Lamar CISD teachers on 33 campuses. Since 2000, LEAF has awarded over $\$ 2,268,416$ in teaching grants to campuses and $\$ 39,250$ in college scholarships to Lamar CISD graduates and para-professionals.

| Year | Number of grants | Total amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 72 | $\$ 32,559.34$ |
| 2001 | $37^{*}$ | $\$ 44,101.33$ |
| 2002 | 41 | $\$ 50,536.27$ |
| 2003 | 47 | $\$ 68,153.01$ |
| 2004 | 57 | $\$ 87,036.00$ |
| 2005 | 89 | $\$ 138,013.09$ |
| 2006 | 101 | $\$ 150,665.00$ |
| 2007 | 101 | $\$ 161,045.00$ |
| 2008 | 100 | $\$ 171,701.68$ |
| 2009 | 112 | $\$ 184,329.18$ |
| 2010 | 107 | $\$ 190,762.00$ |
| 2011 | 105 | $\$ 203,660.00$ |
| 2012 | 111 | $\$ 217,537.87$ |
| 2013 | 133 | $\$ 253,288.00$ |
| 2014 | 158 | $\$ 317,045.00$ |

* Grant amounts were raised during 2001 from $\$ 500$ per grant to $\$ 1000$ for individual teacher and $\$ 3000$ for grade level.

Resource PersonS: Janice Knight, Executive Director of LEAF Mike Rockwood, Executive Director of Community Relations

# INFORMATION ITEM: TAX COLLECTION REPORT (AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2014) 

Exhibit "A" gives the LCISD collections made during the month of November 30, 2014.
Exhibit "B" gives the total LCISD collections made this school year from September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015.

Exhibit "C" shows the LCISD collections made month-by-month of the 2014-15 roll as compared to prior years. Through November 30, 2014, LCISD had collected $2.2 \%$ of the 2014-15 roll.

Exhibit "D" shows the total collections made as compared to the amount that was budgeted for 2014-2015.

Exhibit "E" shows the LCISD tax collection analysis for the last six years.

Resource Person: Jill Ludwig, CPA, RTSBA, Chief Financial Officer

| Year | Taxes Paid |  |  | ly $\&$ rest | Collection Fees |  | Total Payments |  | General Fund Taxes Paid |  | General Fund P \& I \& Collection Fees |  | Debt Service Taxes Paid |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt Service } \\ \text { P \& I \& } \\ \text { Collection Fees } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | \$ | 3,443,094.68 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,443,094.68 | \$ | 2,576,159.25 | \$ | - | \$ | 866,935.43 | \$ | - |
| 13 | \$ | 51,500.64 | \$ | 14,771.45 | \$ | 15,269.71 | \$ | 81,541.80 | \$ | 38,533.35 | \$ | 26,321.85 | \$ | 12,967.29 | \$ | 3,719.31 |
| 12 | \$ | 10,180.33 | \$ | 3,156.41 | \$ | 2,456.67 | \$ | 15,793.41 | \$ | 7,617.02 | \$ | 4,818.29 | \$ | 2,563.31 | \$ | 794.79 |
| 11 | \$ | 3,812.16 | \$ | 3,493.94 | \$ | 1,818.41 | \$ | 9,124.51 | \$ | 2,797.46 | \$ | 4,382.34 | \$ | 1,014.70 | \$ | 930.01 |
| 10 | \$ | 3,060.34 | \$ | 1,352.67 | \$ | 689.75 | \$ | 5,102.76 | \$ | 2,287.72 | \$ | 1,700.90 | \$ | 772.62 | \$ | 341.52 |
| 09 | \$ | (7,276.26) | \$ | 978.97 | \$ | 465.23 | \$ | (5,832.06) | \$ | (5,664.31) | \$ | 1,272.96 | \$ | (1,611.95) | \$ | 171.24 |
| 08 | \$ | 931.33 | \$ | 759.47 | \$ | 338.14 | \$ | 2,028.94 | \$ | 764.56 | \$ | 961.42 | \$ | 166.77 | \$ | 136.19 |
| 07 | \$ | 189.48 | \$ | 176.81 | \$ | 73.26 | \$ | 439.55 | \$ | 146.03 | \$ | 209.52 | \$ | 43.45 | \$ | 40.55 |
| 06 | \$ | 109.72 | \$ | 115.86 | \$ | 45.13 | \$ | 270.71 | \$ | 94.30 | \$ | 144.70 | \$ | 15.42 | \$ | 16.29 |
| 05 | \$ | 33.45 | \$ | 39.49 | \$ | 14.59 | \$ | 87.53 | \$ | 29.56 | \$ | 49.48 | \$ | 3.89 | \$ | 4.60 |
| 04 | \$ | 24.08 | \$ | 31.05 | \$ | 11.02 | \$ | 66.15 | \$ | 20.29 | \$ | 37.19 | \$ | 3.79 | \$ | 4.88 |
| 03 | \$ | 54.10 | \$ | 76.82 | \$ | 19.64 | \$ | 150.56 | \$ | 48.75 | \$ | 88.87 | \$ | 5.35 | \$ | 7.59 |
| 02 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 01 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 99 | \$ | 39.84 | \$ | 58.83 | \$ | 9.74 | \$ | 108.41 | \$ | 36.00 | \$ | 62.90 | \$ | 3.84 | \$ | 5.67 |
| 98 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 97 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 96 | \$ | 47.28 | \$ | 93.59 | \$ | 17.30 | \$ | 158.17 | \$ | 42.93 | \$ | 102.27 | \$ | 4.35 | \$ | 8.62 |
| 95 | \$ | 152.94 | \$ | 363.98 | \$ | 77.54 | \$ | 594.46 | \$ | 144.76 | \$ | 422.05 | \$ | 8.18 | \$ | 19.47 |
| 94 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 93 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 92 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 91 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 90 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 89 \& prior | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |


| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Original } \\ \text { Tax } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments |  | Adjusted Tax |  | Taxes Paid |  | Penalty \& Interest |  | Collection Fees |  | Total Payments |  | Total Taxes11/30/2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | \$ | 153,118,132.97 | \$ | 4,628,287.92 | \$ | 157,746,420.89 | \$ | 3,443,094.68 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,443,094.68 | \$ | 154,303,326.21 |
| 13 | \$ | 1,255,694.38 | \$ | (22,791.02) | \$ | 1,232,903.36 | \$ | 301,340.85 | \$ | 62,306.49 | \$ | 68,459.80 | \$ | 432,107.14 | \$ | 931,562.51 |
| 12 | \$ | 562,734.52 | \$ | 14,510.84 | \$ | 577,245.36 | \$ | 112,525.99 | \$ | 17,297.78 | \$ | 14,105.51 | \$ | 143,929.28 | \$ | 464,719.37 |
| 11 | \$ | 421,568.34 | \$ | 11,278.10 | \$ | 432,846.44 | \$ | 96,514.07 | \$ | 17,467.33 | \$ | 10,893.29 | \$ | 124,874.69 | \$ | 336,332.37 |
| 10 | \$ | 346,021.54 | \$ | 13,012.43 | \$ | 359,033.97 | \$ | 77,085.05 | \$ | 10,658.51 | \$ | 5,903.53 | \$ | 93,647.09 | \$ | 281,948.92 |
| 09 | \$ | 319,942.03 | \$ | 392.92 | \$ | 320,334.95 | \$ | 60,170.49 | \$ | 8,779.10 | \$ | 4,108.56 | \$ | 73,058.15 | \$ | 260,164.46 |
| 08 | \$ | 229,982.26 | \$ | (4,247.62) | \$ | 225,734.64 | \$ | 11,469.34 | \$ | 6,995.48 | \$ | 3,162.63 | \$ | 21,627.45 | \$ | 214,265.30 |
| 07 | \$ | 214,577.50 | \$ | (278.08) | \$ | 214,299.42 | \$ | 6,915.94 | \$ | 4,940.20 | \$ | 2,047.08 | \$ | 13,903.22 | \$ | 207,383.48 |
| 06 | \$ | 142,253.02 | \$ | (298.91) | \$ | 141,954.11 | \$ | 4,041.40 | \$ | 3,780.35 | \$ | 1,467.25 | \$ | 9,289.00 | \$ | 137,912.71 |
| 05 | \$ | 172,988.77 | \$ | (333.55) | \$ | 172,655.22 | \$ | 1,458.12 | \$ | 1,623.08 | \$ | 605.38 | \$ | 3,686.58 | \$ | 171,197.10 |
| 04 | \$ | 77,378.37 | \$ | (315.55) | \$ | 77,062.82 | \$ | 1,288.13 | \$ | 1,629.82 | \$ | 582.77 | \$ | 3,500.72 | \$ | 75,774.69 |
| 03 | \$ | 54,572.27 | \$ | (123.11) | \$ | 54,449.16 | \$ | 1,253.51 | \$ | 1,744.48 | \$ | 449.64 | \$ | 3,447.63 | \$ | 53,195.65 |
| 02 | \$ | 38,021.35 | \$ | (94.17) | \$ | 37,927.18 | \$ | 356.23 | \$ | 531.44 | \$ | 133.15 | \$ | 1,020.82 | \$ | 37,570.95 |
| 01 | \$ | 35,216.74 | \$ | (93.72) | \$ | 35,123.02 | \$ | 339.60 | \$ | 546.75 | \$ | 132.95 | \$ | 1,019.30 | \$ | 34,783.42 |
| 00 | \$ | 29,957.67 | \$ | (92.27) | \$ | 29,865.40 | \$ | 404.42 | \$ | 698.42 | \$ | 165.15 | \$ | 1,267.99 | \$ | 29,460.98 |
| 99 | \$ | 33,243.45 | \$ | (92.28) | \$ | 33,151.17 | \$ | 203.04 | \$ | 323.15 | \$ | 61.84 | \$ | 588.03 | \$ | 32,948.13 |
| 98 | \$ | 24,584.53 | \$ | (91.32) | \$ | 24,493.21 | \$ | 396.34 | \$ | 782.57 | \$ | 176.84 | \$ | 1,355.75 | \$ | 24,096.87 |
| 97 | \$ | 21,326.71 | \$ | (91.32) | \$ | 21,235.39 | \$ | 336.60 | \$ | 703.49 | \$ | 156.02 | \$ | 1,196.11 | \$ | 20,898.79 |
| 96 | \$ | 22,076.64 | \$ | (108.01) | \$ | 21,968.63 | \$ | 431.25 | \$ | 930.95 | \$ | 196.72 | \$ | 1,558.92 | \$ | 21,537.38 |
| 95 | \$ | 23,131.17 | \$ | (98.28) | \$ | 23,032.89 | \$ | 802.93 | \$ | 1,889.33 | \$ | 403.85 | \$ | 3,096.11 | \$ | 22,229.96 |
| 94 | \$ | 10,752.59 | \$ | (59.45) | \$ | 10,693.14 | \$ | 382.50 | \$ | 938.05 | \$ | 198.09 | \$ | 1,518.64 | \$ | 10,310.64 |
| 93 | \$ | 9,937.97 | \$ | (43.95) | \$ | 9,894.02 | \$ | 340.21 | \$ | 874.41 | \$ | 182.19 | \$ | 1,396.81 | \$ | 9,553.81 |
| 92 | \$ | 6,062.67 | \$ | (49.56) | \$ | 6,013.11 | \$ | 383.48 | \$ | 1,031.56 | \$ | 212.26 | \$ | 1,627.30 | \$ | 5,629.63 |
| 91 \& prior | \$ | 16,774.19 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,774.19 | \$ | 2,414.72 | \$ | 7,276.49 | \$ | 1,441.65 | \$ | 11,132.86 | \$ | 14,359.47 |

LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
TAX COLLECTION ANALYSIS
PERCENT Y-T-D BY MONTH
FOR CURRENT LEVY ONLY

| MONTH | 2014-2015 | 2013-2014 | 2012-13 | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 | 2004-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEPT | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| OCT | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NOV | 2.2\% | 7.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.6\% | 3.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% |
| DEC |  | 45.3\% | 33.1\% | 30.2\% | 33.3\% | 25.9\% | 35.4\% | 31.9\% | 29.7\% | 32.7\% | 16.8\% |
| JAN |  | 86.2\% | 82.9\% | 82.3\% | 84.1\% | 80.7\% | 80.4\% | 59.6\% | 76.4\% | 73.6\% | 74.9\% |
| FEB |  | 95.5\% | 95.5\% | 94.8\% | 94.3\% | 93.3\% | 92.8\% | 93.5\% | 93.3\% | 92.5\% | 92.3\% |
| MAR |  | 97.0\% | 96.8\% | 96.4\% | 96.1\% | 95.0\% | 94.8\% | 95.1\% | 94.7\% | 94.3\% | 93.8\% |
| APR |  | 97.8\% | 97.6\% | 97.1\% | 96.9\% | 96.0\% | 95.6\% | 95.9\% | 95.8\% | 95.2\% | 94.8\% |
| MAY |  | 98.2\% | 98.1\% | 97.9\% | 97.6\% | 96.5\% | 96.4\% | 96.7\% | 96.5\% | 96.1\% | 95.5\% |
| JUNE |  | 98.7\% | 98.6\% | 98.3\% | 98.2\% | 97.4\% | 97.2\% | 97.4\% | 97.3\% | 96.8\% | 96.4\% |
| JULY |  | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 98.7\% | 98.6\% | 98.0\% | 97.9\% | 98.0\% | 97.8\% | 97.4\% | 97.1\% |
| AUG |  | 99.2\% | 99.1\% | 98.9\% | 98.8\% | 98.2\% | 98.2\% | 98.2\% | 98.2\% | 97.8\% | 97.5\% |

## LAMAR CONSOLIDATED INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

2014-15 TAX COLLECTIONS
AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2014

$\left.$| TAX YEAR <br> LCISD TAXES | SCHOOL YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | BUDGET |
| :---: |
| AMOUNT |$\quad$| COLLECTIONS |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 4}$ | | \% OF BUDGET |
| :---: |
| COLLECTED | \right\rvert\,


| SCHOOL YEAR TAX YEAR |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2009-10 \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010-11 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011-12 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2012-13 } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2013-14 } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2014-15 \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLLECTION YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Orig. Levy | \$ | 127,458,872 |  | 129,215,668 |  | 132,226,943 |  | 136,145,655 |  | 142,546,726 |  | 153,118,133 |
| 1 Collections | \$ | 128,154,416 |  | 132,086,020 |  | 136,117,707 |  | 140,561,034 |  | 148,220,912 |  | 3,443,095 |
| Adj. To Roll | \$ | 2,995,248 |  | 4,579,622 |  | 5,417,190 |  | 5,652,043 |  | 6,929,880 |  | 4,628,288 |
| 2 Collections | \$ | 1,349,141 |  | 1,050,557 |  | 915,762 |  | 739,542 |  | 301,341 |  |  |
| Adj. To Roll | \$ | $(117,676)$ |  | 53,764 |  | $(64,337)$ |  | 65,612 |  | $(22,791)$ |  |  |
| 3 Collections | \$ | 368,541 |  | 329,317 |  | 286,833 |  | 112,526 |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. To Roll | \$ | 67,079 |  | 13,438 |  | 162,075 |  | 14,511 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Collections |  | 177,479 |  | 199,270 |  | 96,514 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. To Roll |  | $(27,690)$ |  | 148,691 |  | 11,278 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Collections | \$ | 173,708 |  | 77,085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. To Roll | \$ | 167,394 |  | 13,012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Collections | \$ | 60,170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. To Roll | \$ | 393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLLECTIONS | \$ | 130,283,455 | \$ | 133,742,248 | \$ | 137,416,817 | \$ | 141,413,102 | \$ | 148,522,253 | \$ | 3,443,095 |
| ADJUSTED TAX ROLL | \$ | 130,543,620 | \$ | 134,024,195 | \$ | 137,753,148 | \$ | 141,877,821 | \$ | 149,453,815 | \$ | 157,746,421 |
| BALANCE TO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BE COLLECTED | \$ | 260,165 | \$ | 281,947 | \$ | 336,332 | \$ | 464,719 | \$ | 931,563 | \$ | 154,303,326 |
| ADJ. TAXABLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VALUE | \$ | 10,060,002,330 | \$ | 9,821,860,353 | \$ | 9,909,941,973 | \$ | 10,206,670,358 | \$ | 10,751,686,298 | \$ | 11,348,255,163 |
| TOTAL \% COLLECTIONS |  | 99.8\% |  | 99.8\% |  | 99.8\% |  | 99.7\% |  | 99.4\% |  | 2.2\% |
| AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAX RATE | \$ | 1.29765 |  | 1.36455 |  | 1.39005 |  | 1.39005 |  | 1.39005 |  | 1.39005 |

## INFORMATION ITEM: PAYMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Below is a list of invoices that have been approved for payment.

| Bass Construction (Lamar HS Baseball/Softball Complex) | Application \# 14 | \$ | 2,641.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bass Construction (Misc. Renovations 2014) | Application \# 8 | \$ | 166,098.72 |
| Drymalla Construction <br> (Arredondo Elementary) | Application \# 7 | \$ | 1,427,109.00 |
| Drymalla Construction (Fulshear HS) | Application \# 6 | \$ | 2,696,365.05 |
| Drymalla Construction (Leaman JHS) | Application \# 6 | \$ | 654,443.60 |
| Engineered Air Balance (ALC) | Application \# 2 | \$ | 4,395.00 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Austin Elementary) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 16,495.00 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Beasley Elementary) | Application \# 3 | \$ | 5,495.25 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Foster HS) | Application \# 2 | \$ | 1,233.00 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Lamar JHS) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 1,700.00 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Taylor Ray Elementary) | Application \# 2 | \$ | 801.00 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Travis Elementary) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 1,372.25 |
| Engineered Air Balance (Traylor Stadium) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 8,428.75 |


| Environmental Solutions (ALC) | Application \# 3 | \$ | 622.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Solutions (Huggins Elementary) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 405.00 |
| Gamma Construction (Traylor Stadium) | Application \# 8 | \$ | 67,602.00 |
| Gilbane <br> (2011 Bond Program) | Application \# 32 | \$ | 224,261.00 |
| Kelly Kaluza (Navarro Middle) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 6,800.00 |
| Kelly Kaluza (Seguin ECC) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 9,740.00 |
| PBK Architects (Fulshear HS) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 211,946.80 |
| PBK Architects (Leaman Junior HS) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 103,557.74 |
| PBK Architects (Natatorium \#2) | Application \# 18 | \$ | 14,981.76 |
| PBK Architects (Traylor Stadium) | Application \# 19 | \$ | 2,700.00 |
| Terracon <br> (Arredondo Elementary) | Application \# 8 | \$ | 3,562.50 |
| Terracon (Leaman JHS) | Application \# 3 | \$ | 2,535.00 |
| Terracon (Natatorium \#2) | Application \# 12 | \$ | 2,592.00 |
| VLK Architects <br> (Misc. Renovations 2015) | Application \# 1 | \$ | 47,998.65 |

## INFORMATION ITEM: REGION 4 MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS UPDATE

This agenda item will be on the Board Agenda each month to provide updates on Region 4's progress with Maintenance and Operations. The following indicates action that has taken place since the last regular board meeting.

## Work Request Summary for November, 2014:

- The Department completed 976 requests with 112 new requests
- 52 were closed


## Maintenance:

The Maintenance Department assisted by:

- Overseeing the repair to the track at Travis Elementary
- Overseeing the repair to the track at Seguin Early Childhood Center
- Overseeing the repair to the track at Smith Elementary
- Beginning the first phase of repairs and painting on the exterior part of portable buildings in the district
- Repairing a leak on the domestic water piping at George Ranch High
- Repairing a disposal at Briscoe Junior High
- Replacing an electrical control contactor for the lights in the boys gym at Lamar High
- Replacing two ceiling tiles at Campbell Elementary
- Securing two picnic tables to the concrete at Briscoe Junior High
- Changing T-12 ballasts in the trophy case in the library at Briscoe Junior High
- Installing a new bracket on a stall door in a restroom at Austin Elementary
- Installing new signs on the outside of the vocational building at Lamar High
- Removing and replacing broken tiles at Lamar High
- Repairing and repainting a classroom wall at Terry High
- Installing a door stop for a classroom at Terry High
- Hanging plaques in the hallways identifying classroom numbers at George Junior High
- Caulking and painting corners in a classroom at George Junior High
- Repainting a classroom at George Junior High
- Repairing the vinyl in a hallway at Wertheimer Middle
- Installing a new lens cover on a light fixture at Velasquez Elementary
- Repairing the expansion joints in the bus ramp area at Wessendorff Middle
- Repainting the hallway between room 122 and 124 at Jackson Elementary
- Re-gluing the top step of the stairs at Brazos Crossing
- Hanging a flag on the stage for the Veterans Day program at Campbell Elementary
- Installing a new lens cover on a light fixture at Smith Elementary
- Repairing the Formica around the reception desk at Smith Elementary
- Installing new signs in the front parking lot area at Travis Elementary
- Installing a backpack rack at Huggins Elementary
- Replacing a leaking urinal wall drain gasket at Foster High
- Repairing an electric drinking fountain at George Ranch High
- Installing a door entry chime at Maintenance and Operations
- Installing a door entry chime at Pink Elementary
- Repairing the intercom system at Ryon Middle
- Creating a door keying schedule for the new Leaman Junior High
- Repairing the fire alarm system at Foster High
- Replacing a smoke/heat detector at George Ranch High
- Repairing the gate in a hallway at Seguin Early Childhood Center
- Installing a white board in the gym area at Williams Elementary
- Repairing a bench in the pickup area at Velasquez Elementary
- Assembling two small shelves at Hubenak Elementary
- Changing ceiling tiles in the gym office area at Bowie Elementary
- Adjusting the elbow latch on a cabinet at Ryon Middle
- Assembling a new desk at the Administration Annex
- Repairing a desk drawer at the Administration Annex
- Repairing a speed bump at Foster High
- Repainting a classroom wall at Terry High
- Repairing a sheet rock wall in a classroom at Terry High
- Replacing burned out bulbs in the entrance area at George Ranch High
- Re-hanging a urinal partition in a restroom at George Ranch High
- Scrapping liquid nail off the wall, caulking, and repainting a classroom wall at George Junior High
- Repainting door frames at George Junior High
- Patching and painting various areas in classrooms at George Junior High
- Rearranging cubicle walls in an office area at Brazos Crossing
- Installing three white boards in classrooms Briscoe Junior High
- Repairing a light fixture at Lamar High
- Replacing a toilet seat in a restroom at Lamar High
- Hanging some pictures in an office area at Brazos Crossing
- Applying silicone around the faucets in the restroom areas across from the gym at Campbell Elementary
- Installing a wall pack in the service yard at Campbell Elementary
- Installing new escutcheon plates for missing ones at George Junior High
- Repairing the ceiling tiles and grid in a portable classroom at Huggins Elementary
- Installing a new window in the counselors area at Lamar High
- Caulking around the top of the faucets in a restroom at Meyer Elementary
- Replacing a disposal at Jackson Elementary
- Adding electrical power above the ceiling and door for an electronic lock at Pink Elementary
- Making correction due to a fire marshal inspection at Huggins Elementary
- Creating a door keying schedule for the new Fulshear High


## Energy Management

## Energy assisted by:

- Reviewing monthly utility bills
- Continuing facility walk-throughs to ensure proper facility shut-down after normal operating hours
- Processing appliance permit applications
- Changing parking lot lighting operational schedules due to time change districtwide
- Monitoring the Facility Direct reservation process
- Preparing energy information to go on the district website
- Working toward the completion of verification of controls for Jackson Elementary through the SCORE program
- Overseeing the Automated Logic Control software update to 6.0
- Updating the website information on District utilities by location
- Completing the HVAC controls which for the first time allows the district to control HVAC at Brazos Crossing
- Updating the energy education module based on input from principals at the elementary level
- Developing an outline for the energy education module for the secondary level
- Discussing the completion of controls and a punch list with the contractor at the Alternative Learning Center


## Custodial, Integrated Pest Control and Lawn Works:

The Operations Department assisted by:

- Dusting the hallway vents at Pink Elementary
- Dusting the shelves in the lounge area at Pink Elementary
- Removing a student desk from a classroom at Pink Elementary
- Picking up trash from the classrooms at Pink Elementary
- Checking all restrooms during lunch duty at Briscoe Junior High
- Setting up the Forum for a teachers meeting at Briscoe Junior High
- Setting up the cafeteria for pizza delivery at Briscoe Junior High
- Picking up trash outside by the boys gym area at Briscoe Junior High
- Taking out the recycle material at Brazos Crossing
- Setting up the board room for a meeting at Brazos Crossing
- Performing Cafeteria duty at Jackson Elementary
- Cleaning the a/c vents in the cafeteria at Jackson Elementary
- Setting up the gym for a program at Hubenak Elementary
- Setting out trash cans and tables for a pizza party at Hubenak Elementary
- Setting up chairs for a boy scouts meeting at Dickinson Elementary
- Replacing lights in the office area at Dickinson Elementary
- Opening the school for a church on the weekend at Terry High
- Replacing lights in various rooms at Brazos Crossing
- Setting up chairs in the gym for the Veterans Day program at Taylor Ray Elementary
- Setting up the LGI room for college financial aid night at Foster High
- Opening up the school for a meeting at Foster High
- Opening up the school for a parent meeting for wrestling at Foster High
- Sweeping debris from all exit doors at Frost Elementary
- Helping move recycle material to the recycle bin at Frost Elementary
- Replacing lights in the clinic area at Frost Elementary
- Delivering 141 chairs to the gym at Thomas Elementary
- Repairing student desks at Thomas Elementary
- Unclogging a sink in a classroom at Thomas Elementary
- Replacing lights in a classroom at Seguin Early Childhood Center
- Setting up for family math night at Seguin Early Childhood Center
- Replacing light in back porch area at Ryon Middle
- Using the micromatic on the main halls at Ryon Middle
- Delivering boxes to the front office area at Ryon Middle
- Replacing lights in the music room at Dickinson Elementary
- Setting up 300 chairs for a Thanksgiving program at Dickinson Elementary
- Setting up tables for the book fair at McNeill Elementary
- Setting up 34 chairs on the stage for a spelling bee at McNeill Elementary
- Dusting the high areas in the cafeteria area at McNeill Elementary
- Cleaning up vomit in the kindergarten area at McNeill Elementary
- Setting up the cafeteria for band practice at Terry High
- Opening the school for Sunday church services at Terry High
- Removing debris from the grounds area at Thomas Elementary
- Shampooing the kinder hallway area at Thomas Elementary
- Raising several students desks at Thomas Elementary
- Setting up the cafeteria for a pizza party at Hubenak Elementary
- Mopping up urine in a classroom at McNeill Elementary
- Cleaning up vomit in a classroom at McNeill Elementary
- Delivering recycle papers to the recycle bin at McNeill Elementary
- Cleaning up after a teachers luncheon at McNeill Elementary
- Delivering three boxes of copy paper to the front office area at Seguin Early Childhood Center
- Using an auto scrubber on the gym floors at Ryon Middle
- Cleaning the front entry windows at Ryon Middle
- Removing tables from the cafeteria and using the micromatic on all of the floors at Jackson Elementary
- Removing trash from the grounds area at Campbell Elementary
- Setting up folding tables in the foyer for an event at Campbell Elementary
- Cleaning windows in the main building of the Alternative Learning Center
- Cleaning up after breakfast \& lunch at the Alternative Learning Center
- Mowing at the elementary schools
- Setting up Traylor Stadium for football games
- Delivering tables to Meyer Elementary
- Delivering tables to Huggins Elementary
- Trimming trees at Transportation
- Mowing at the Lamar High block
- Mowing at Bowie Elementary
- Mowing at Meyer Elementary
- Cleaning and mowing around Traylor Stadium
- Delivering tables to Frost Elementary
- Delivering tables to Hutchison Elementary
- Delivering tables to Adolphus Elementary
- Delivering choir shells to Terry High
- Filling in pot holes at Transportation
- Delivering tables to Beasley Elementary
- Delivering tables to Hutchison Elementary
- Pressure washing the bleachers at Foster High
- Applying rye grass on all main athletic fields
- Overseeing the contractor doing work on the athletic fields at Foster High
- Overseeing the contractor doing work on the athletic fields at Terry High
- Scheduling Gillen's Pest Control services district-wide
- Providing rodent control at Lamar High
- Providing rodent control at Foster High
- Removing spiders at the Administration Annex
- Applying weed control in the parking lot at the Administration Annex
- Applying ant control at George Junior High
- Removing wasps from Wessendorff Middle
- Attending the statewide Integrated Pest Management Conference for training
- Providing rodent control at Williams Elementary
- Providing rodent control at Seguin Early Childhood Center
- Providing rodent control at Lamar Junior High
- Providing rodent control at Bowie Elementary
- Removing wasps from Wessendorff Middle
- Providing rodent control at Hutchison Elementary
- Providing rodent control at Beasley Elementary
- Providing ant control at the Natatorium
- Providing ant control at Campbell Elementary
- Providing ant control at Navarro Middle

Resources: Kevin McKeever, Administrator for Operations Aaron Morgan, Director of Maintenance \& Operations (Region 4) Jeff Kimble, Assistant Director of Operations James Carrillo, Assistant Director (Region 4)


Aerial -Churchill Fulshear


## Adolphus Elementary

- Project is complete.


## Agricultural Barn Renovations

- Project is complete.


## Arredondo Elementary

- Construction is approximately four weeks behind schedule due to permitting issues with the City and the local MUD. The contractor expects to recover this time over the course of the project. A recovery schedule was prepared by Drymalla which shows a completion date back on schedule.
- Approximately $75 \%$ of the site paving for parking and driveways is in place.
- Exterior window installation is complete at areas $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and E .
- Face brick installation is complete at areas C, D, and E.
- Damp proofing is ongoing at the walls that bound the Art Patio at areas B and C.
- Fireproofing of structural steel is complete.
- Roofers have begun installing perimeter gutters and roof edge trim.
- Electrical and plumbing rough in is ongoing in areas C, D, and E.
- The installation of HVAC ductwork is ongoing in areas C, D, and E.
- Interior partition framing is underway at the classroom wing at areas $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and $E$.
- Interior concrete masonry has begun at area B.
- The installation of fire sprinkler piping is ongoing at areas A and B.
- The next project meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 17, 2014 with Gilbane, PBK, Drymalla Construction, and the District.


## George Ranch High School Build-Out

- Project is complete.



## Churchill Fulshear High School Complex:

- Project meetings with PBK, Drymalla, Gilbane, and LCISD have started on a bi-weekly basis.
- A design committee meeting to discuss interior colors will be scheduled in January.


## Churchill Fulshear (Jr.)HS, Fieldhouse \& Sitework

- Grade beams are $90 \%$ complete.
- Electrical rough in continues in areas M \& N (Admin \& East classroom wing).
- Plumbing rough-in is complete.
- Hydronics piping between the HS \& JHS is complete.
- Paving of Bois D'Arc Drive is $60 \%$ complete.
- Concrete slab has been poured in areas G, H, J, K, and L (CTE, Auditorium, Fine Arts/Cafeteria, Library and West classroom wing).
- Storm sewer is complete along Bois D'Arc Drive and $85 \%$ along bus drive.
- Final grading is complete on main detention pond.
- Hydromulch has been placed on the banks of main detention pond.
- Stabilization of front parking areas is ongoing.
- Stabilization of band practice/bus parking area is ongoing.
- Duct bank excavation is ongoing.
- Chiller building pad has been poured.
- Parking area P2 (front of high school) and driveway have been poured.
- CenterPoint has completed installation of power poles along Bois D'Arc Drive.
- The site has received temporary power.
- 6'x6' concrete drainage structures are being placed between the detention ponds.
- The first delivery of steel was received on December 1st.


## Fieldhouse

- Drilled piers are complete.
- Grade beams are complete.
- Electrical rough continues.


## Dean Leaman JHS

- Grade beams have been poured in areas C, D, E, and F.
- Underground plumbing rough in is complete. Floor drains are being set.
- Electrical rough continues in areas C and D.
- Duct bank is being excavated from transformer pad to power pole.
- Concrete slab has been poured in areas E and F (gym, athletics and CTE).


Miscellaneous Renovations (2013) to Terry HS, Lamar HS, George JHS, Jackson ES, and Bowie ES

- Projects are complete.

Miscellaneous Renovations (2014) to Alternative Learning Center, Austin ES, Beasley ES, Foster HS, Lamar JHS, Lamar HS, Taylor Ray ES, and Travis ES

- Projects are complete.
- Closeout of the project is expected in January 2015.

Miscellaneous Renovations (2015) to Campbell ES, Frost ES, Pink ES, Huggins ES, Meyer ES, Dickinson ES, Williams ES, Navarro MS, Wessendorff MS, and Seguin Early Childhood Center

- VLK Architects and their consultants are preparing construction documents.
- Traffic Engineers Inc. have submitted recommendations for the traffic situation at Navarro MS.
- A sample of HVAC pipe for examination was removed and replaced at Huggins ES during Thanksgiving break.
- A $95 \%$ construction document review meeting is scheduled for midDecember 2014 with VLK, VLK's consultants, the District, and Gilbane.


## New Natatorium

- Due to workmanship issues, exterior face brick has been removed and is being re-installed along the east and west sides.
- Exterior soffits are being framed, and soffit lights are being roughed in.
- Prefinished metal exterior wall panel installation is ongoing on the south exterior walls.
- Except for equipment curbs and other minor work, the roofing installation is complete.
- Construction of the south patio screen wall has begun.
- The west screen wall at the mechanical yard is currently being constructed.
- Painters have begun to paint block filler on concrete masonry walls at the locker areas.
- Nearly all of the concrete pool deck has been poured.

- Ceramic tile indicating competition lanes is being installed.
- Ceramic tile installation is ongoing in the locker showers.
- Acoustical wall panel installation has begun.
- Overhead and above slab electrical and plumbing work continues.
- Pool equipment work is ongoing in the pool mechanical room.
- Fire sprinkler installation is ongoing over the pool deck.
- The electrical switchgear and transformer have been set.
- The next project meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 17, 2014 with Gilbane, PBK, Gamma Construction, and LCISD.


## Polly Ryon Middle School

- Project is complete.


## Satellite Transportation Center Phase II



- LCISD Board of Trustees approved the design development presentation in July.
- A $50 \%$ construction drawing review will be held December 18, 2014.


## Traylor Stadium Renovations

- The contractor is currently working on punch list items on buildings 1 and 2.
- Exterior signage for restrooms, concessions, and the ticket booths were installed at buildings 1 and 2.
- Phase 2 of the project (building 3 and demolition) will continue after football season and after the completion of the new Natatorium and relocation of the District laundry facility.
- The next project meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 17, 2014 with Gilbane, PBK, Drymalla Construction, and the District.


## Track \& Turf

- Project is complete.

| EXECUTIVE REPORT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUDGET | COMMITTED | UNCOMMITTED | PAID |
| NEW FACILITIES | \$193,421,228 | 172,572,501 | 22,539,525 | 62,371,925 |
| EXISTING FACILITIES | 23,791,754 | 17,585,317 | 6,206,013 | 14,807,555 |
| TECHNOLOGY | 21,168,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 6,100,779 | 5,263,874 | 836,905 | 5,263,874 |
| LAND | 2,913,854 | 1,780,793 | 1,133,061 | 1,780,793 |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 1,763,600 | 1,763,600 | 0 | 1,763,600 |
| TOTAL | \$249,159,215 | 198,966,085 | 30,715,504 | 85,987,747 |

## 10.D.\#7. - PLANNING <br> BOARD REPORT <br> DECEMBER 18, 2014

## INFORMATION ITEM: TASB 2014-2016 ADVOCACY AGENDA

TASB’s 2014 - 2016 Advocacy Agenda was adopted by the 2014 Delegate Assembly on September $27^{\text {th }}$. This agenda is the culmination of the hard work of school board members across the state through Grassroots Meetings in 2014, the Legislative Advisory Council Meetings, the resolutions process, and, ultimately, the Delegate Assembly. The TASB Advocacy Agenda represents input from trustees in every region of the state. This agenda will guide the Association's advocacy efforts until the 2016 Delegate Assembly approves the next biennial agenda.

A copy is provided under separate cover.

Resource Person: Dr. Thomas Randle, Superintendent

