Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Taylor Ray Elementary

2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

-Taylor Ray Mission-

"We are Fueling Rockets for Success"

Value Statement

-Taylor Ray Motto-

"When you're a rocket, the sky is the limit"

Table of Contents

-Taylor Ray Mission-	2
-Taylor Ray Motto-	2
Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: By May 2024, the cumulative reading and math STAAR scores at the Meets Grade Level will increase by 3% as compared to the 2022-2023 results.	12
Goal 2: By May 2024, the EOY GRA scores will increase by 10% "on or above" grade level for kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grades.	22
Goal 3: By May 2024, the Science STAAR scores at the Meets Grade Level will increase by 5%.	28
State Compensatory	33
Budget for Taylor Ray Elementary	33
Personnel for Taylor Ray Elementary	33
Title I Personnel	34
Campus Funding Summary	35

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

	Campus	District	State		Campus	District	State
Attendance Rate (2020-21)		· · · · ·		Class Size Ave	rages by Gra	ade or Subj	ect
	96.2%	96.9%	95.0%		Elementary		
Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity	/			Kindergarten	21.3	19.3	18.7
African American	8.0%	21.0%	12.8%	Grade 1	16.4	19.1	18.7
Hispanic	85.0%	41.8%	52.8%	Grade 2	16.3	19.2	18.6
White	5.7%	25.9%	26.3%	Grade 3	18.0	19.3	18.7
American Indian	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	Grade 4	16.8	19.2	18.8
Asian	0.0%	7.6%	4.8%	Grade 5	21.0	22.2	20.2
Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	Grade 6	-	18.7	19.2
Two or More Races	1.1%	3.3%	2.9%		Secondary		
Enrollment by Student Group	c			English/Language Arts	-	20.0	16.3
Economically Disadvantaged	91.0%	49.5%	60.7%	Foreign Languages	-	23.2	18.4
Special Education	19.6%	13.6%	11.6%	Mathematics	-	20.7	17.5
Emergent Bilingual/EL	33.5%	17.5%	21.7%	Science	-	22.2	18.5
Mobility Rate (2020-21)				Social Studies	-	22.8	19.1
	12.0%	12.0%	13.6%				

Demographics Strengths

Strengths:

- High teacher retention
- Growing staff into leadership positions within the district
- Pending STAAR scores

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: As reported on the Student Climate Survey, 27% of students reported there is not a teacher, counselor, or staff member they can talk to about personal problems. **Root Cause:** Students have more social and emotional needs because of the aftermath of the world wide pandemic. Staff will need to make themselves more accessible to students. Staff will need to make students aware that they may talk to them with any academic or personal problem.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Overall STAAR Reading 91

Overall STAAR Math 89

Overall STAAR Science 87

Student Learning Strengths

- 5th grade LEP increased by 34% in Science under Meets and Approaches
- African American Sub Pop increased 16% in Reading and 17% in Math in Masters
- Sped increased 5% Masters in Writing

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: As reported on the Student Climate Survey, 34% of students indicated that they are not academically challenged at school. **Root Cause:** Teacher focus more on interventions for striving students as oppose to extending the learning for higher achieving students. Students are not authentically engaged or interested in the learning activities.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Personnel

- District Job Fair
- District and Campus Mentor Assigned to New Teachers
- District and Campus provides New Teacher Orientation
- Encourage and maintain a postive campus climate

Instructional

- Collaborative planning times
- PLCs
- Vertical Teams
- Instructional Coaching

Administrative

- Core Team Meetings
- Campus Staff Developments to support District initiatives
- Staff Meetings

Organizational

- Weekly/Newsletter
- Yearly Campus Calendar

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Postive campus climate based on the District Staff Campus Climate Survey
- Clear and effective communication

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Using Professional Learning Committees to dig deeper into our data in more meaningful ways to produce gains in student learning. Root Cause: Teachers analyzing and applying various data points to drive instruction and teach to mastery.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

- Setting a postive tone by creating a Taylor Ray Staff Social Contract at the beginning of each year
- All decisions are based on what's best for students
- Encouraging a family atmosphere among students, parents, and staff
- Family community orienated
- Set high expectations for students and staff
- Goals and Vision established by administrators and stake holders

Perceptions Strengths

• Everyone works collaboratively and supports each other to achieve success

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: As reported on the Teacher Climate Survey, 22% of staff indicate that students do not respect teachers. Root Cause: Discipline Review Committee should revisit and modify the campus behavior plan and incentives regularly.

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- · Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- T-PESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- · Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results
- Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: By May 2024, the cumulative reading and math STAAR scores at the Meets Grade Level will increase by 3% as compared to the 2022-2023 results.

Performance Objective 1: Quality Tier I instruction will be enhanced in the classrooms to meet the needs of every student by utilizing the components of guided math and guided reading instruction.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
 Strategy 1: General education and special education teachers will plan strategically (Professional Learning Committees) to target math and reading instructional needs of special education students based on the students' Individual Educational Plan. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Overall 3rd -5th grade special education students' passing results will increase to 70% on reading and math as measured by local and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: General Education and Special Education Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Nov 35%	Formative Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: The math and reading vertical teams will analyze data and develop vertically aligned instructional strategies including higher order questioning and student discourse.	Nor	Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assessment data and lesson plans will provide evidence that strategies are being used in the classroom. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Nov 55%	Feb 95%	June

Strategy 3 Details		Formative Reviews			
Strategy 3: The principal will hire supplemental personnel to reinforce student learning through math and reading tutorials before, during, and		Formative			
 after school. Support personnel will pull groups during built in intervention time. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%. GRA on or above grade levels will increase by 10%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Core Team Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 	Nov 5%	Feb	June		
 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools Funding Sources: Supplemental Personnel - 199 PIC 30 State SCE Title I-A, Schoolwide Activit - \$6,000 					
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews		
 Strategy 4: The principal will partially fund a Literacy and Math Coach and additional personnel to improve student instruction through small groups and coteaching. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%. GRA on or above reading level will increase by 10%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Nov 5%	Formative Feb	June		
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Rev	iews		
Strategy 5: Teachers will implement Blended Learning strategies that provide targeted differentiation to address student needs.		Formative			
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Teachers and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Nov 60%	Feb 85%	June		

Strategy 6 Details	Fo	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Teachers will implement Guided Reading and Guided Math strategies to increase reading and math skills.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: GRA data will show an increase in on and above reading level. Increase in classroom formative	Nov	Feb	June
and summative assessment scores (MAP). Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Teachers and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - Additional Targeted Support Strategy	N/A	85%	
Image: Molect on the second	;		
Image: Moment of the second	;		

Goal 1: By May 2024, the cumulative reading and math STAAR scores at the Meets Grade Level will increase by 3% as compared to the 2022-2023 results.

Performance Objective 2: Higher order questioning and student talk will increase cumulative reading scores on reporting category 2: Understanding and Analysis of Literary texts, from 65% to 70% as evidenced on STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will include open-ended questions and short answer response items into written assessments.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 10% of each assessment will have short answer response items.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Core Team				
Title I:	25%	70%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will unwrap the TEKS and utilize the district road maps when planning instructional lessons.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of teachers lesson plans will reflect the district roadmaps.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Core team				
	10%	65%		
Title I:	10%	05%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers and students will use Lead4Ward Academic Vocabulary list throughout Reading lessons and assessments.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of teachers will use STAAR academic vocabulary as measured through lesson plans,	Nov	Feb	June	
assessments, and classroom observations.	N/A			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Core team	IN/A	60%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6		1		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Teachers will utilize research based literary strategies to teach comprehension.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase their vocabulary and comprehension based on formative and summative assessments.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	N/A	85%	
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:			
Improve low-performing schools			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: The principal and specialist will provide Instructional Round/Problem of Practice staff development opportunities to grade level		Formative	
teachers. The campus will target Higher Order Questioning and Student Discourse.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Specialist			
Starr Responsible for Womenring. I fincipals, Specialist	25%	65%	
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:			
Improve low-performing schools			
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: The CORE team will review common assessments and lesson plan for rigor and alignment. The CORE team will provide		Formative	
feedback to grade level teachers.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will master objectives at a higher level.		reb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CORE Team	25%	75%	
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools			
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e		
	0		

Goal 1: By May 2024, the cumulative reading and math STAAR scores at the Meets Grade Level will increase by 3% as compared to the 2022-2023 results.

Performance Objective 3: Interventions will be utilized to increase the number of students from approaches to meets and meets to masters by 5% as evident on STAAR.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: None

Formative Reviews		ews	
	Formative		
Nov	Feb	June	
65%	90%		
For	mative Revi	ews	
	Formative		
Nov	Feb	June	
95%	95%		
-	65% For	NovFeb65%90%90%90%Formative ReviFormative ReviNovFeb	

Strategy 3 Details	Foi	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Principals and teachers will Identify 4th and 5th grade students who regressed from the previous school year on STAAR and		Formative	
 implement daily interventions to close learning gaps. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will master objectives at a higher level. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administrators, Specialist Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Nov 95%	Feb 95%	June
Strategy 4 Details	Foi	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: The principal will purchase technology, awards, safety supplies, misc. supplies, and materials to improve student instruction and safety. The campus will hire personnel to support student instruction.	N	Formative	Terrer
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	100%	100%	100%
Funding Sources: Student supplies and materials - 263 Title III, LEP - \$6,310, Tutors, Computer Programs, Literacy Coach - 211 Title I, Part A - \$185,500			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: The principal will provide students with nine-week awards for academic accomplishments.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%. Instructional objectives will	Nov	Feb	June
correlate to the TEKS 90% of the time. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 4.2	100%	100%	100%

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: The percent of 3rd grade students that score "meets grade level" or above on STAAR math will increase from 79% to 92% by		Formative	
May 2024.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The percent of 3rd grade students that score "meets grade level" or above on STAAR math will increase from 79% to 92% by May 2024. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Teachers	70%	90%	
Start Responsible for Monitoring. Thirdput, Teachers			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools			
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discontinue	2		

Goal 1: By May 2024, the cumulative reading and math STAAR scores at the Meets Grade Level will increase by 3% as compared to the 2022-2023 results.

Performance Objective 4: Teachers with the support of Core team will communicate with parents and students through out the year regarding their learning standards growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: Summative and Formative Assessments

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Students will use data trackers to see what objectives they have mastered or need assistance. The trackers will be updated by		Formative	
 students and shared with parents. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students GRA results will increase by 10%. Students end of the year MAP and STAAR results will increase by 5%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Core team Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Nov 25%	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Teachers will conduct report card conferences after the first nine weeks.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students GRA results will increase by 10%. Students end of the year MAP and STAAR results will increase by 5%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: 	Nov	Feb	June
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: MTSS/504 coordinator will inform parents of available services to support student academic success.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students GRA results will increase by 10%. Students end of the year MAP and STAAR results will increase by 5%.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and MTSS/504 coordinator	50%	90%	
Title I:			
 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 			
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	e	<u> </u>	

Goal 2: By May 2024, the EOY GRA scores will increase by 10% "on or above" grade level for kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grades.

Performance Objective 1: Teachers will implement Jan Richardson and Science of Reading strategies to increase on or above GRA levels.

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA, running records, Jan Richardson Checklist

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will analyze data (running records, CLI, word knowledge inventory) to plan for instruction.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The students will show increase in GRA level.		Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches				
Title I:	35%	70%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Instructional Coaches will provide grade level teachers with Jan Richardson Guided Reading Professional Learning.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The students will show increase in GRA level.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Instructional Coaches				
Title I:	25%	65%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Utilize vertical teams to collaborate and plan for effective instruction for all learners.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The students will show increase in GRA level.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Instructional Coaches				
	55%	90%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
2.4, 2.5, 2.0 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

0 No Progress	Accomplished	 X Discontinue

Goal 2: By May 2024, the EOY GRA scores will increase by 10% "on or above" grade level for kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grades.

Performance Objective 2: Instructional Coaches and teachers will collaborate to develop an intervention schedule to address learning gaps for striving readers.

Evaluation Data Sources: District Universal Screeners

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Create intervention groups based on student data. The students will be provided interventions throughout the school day by tutors,		Formative	
specialists and teachers. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase on GRA level Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Literacy Coaches, Administrators Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools	Nov 75%	Feb 95%	June
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Instructional coaches and teachers will create intervention/guided reading groups by October.	For	mative Revi Formative	iews
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase on GRA level	Nov	Feb	June
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Administrators Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	40%	90%	

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Instructional coaches and teachers will assess incoming Kindergarten students during Kinder Bootcamp and use data to drive		Formative	
instruction.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students "on or above" reading level will increase by 10%.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Administrators Title I:	100%	100%	100%
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:	1		
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	;		

Performance Objective 3: Instructional coaches and teachers will communicate with parents and students throughout the year regarding their GRA growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA assessments and running records

Strategy 1 Details		mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: GRA growth trackers will be updated by students and shared with parents at the beginning, middle, and end of the year.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students "on or above" reading level will increase by 10%.	Nov Feb			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers and Instructional coaches			June	
Title I:	100%	100%	100%	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: At home reading will be promoted in K-2 by the Blast off with Reading program to encourage the love of reading and parent involvement in their child's success.	Formative			
	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students "on or above" reading level will increase by 10%.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Instructional coaches	25%	40%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: MTSS/504 coordinator will inform parents of available services to support student academic success.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students GRA results will increase by 10%.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and MTSS/504 coordinator	1107	100	oune	
	50%	80%		
Title I:	50%	80%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

0 No Progress	Accomplished	 X Discontinue

Performance Objective 1: Teachers will improve lesson planning for enhanced Tier I instruction in science classrooms.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Teachers will include open-ended questions and short answer response items into written assessments.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of teachers will unwrap the TEKS. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	40%	65%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: The science/math vertical team will analyze data and develop instructional strategies that include words on the Lead4Ward		Formative	
vocabulary list.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assessment data and lesson plans will provide evidence that strategies are being used in the classroom.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	45%	65%	
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize the science lab twice a month.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The math/science vertical team will review the lab signup schedule to assure that grade levels are meeting the lab use expectations.	Nov	Feb	June
	100%	100%	100%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	100%		
Title I:	100%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	100%		
Title I:	100%		

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Teachers will include rigorous short answer response items into written assessments using claim, evidence, and reasoning.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 10% of each assessment will have short answer response items.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	45%	80%	
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: The CORE team will review common assessments and lesson plans for rigor and alignment. The CORE team will provide		Formative	
feedback to grade level teachers.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will master objectives at a higher level.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CORE Team Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	40%	75%	
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	e		

Performance Objective 2: Interventions will be utilized to increase the number of students from approaches to meets and meets to masters by 5% as evident on STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Principals and teachers will Identify 4th and 5th grade students who regressed from the previous school year on STAAR and		Formative		
implement daily interventions to close learning gaps.	Nov	Feb	June	
	40%	75%		
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will utilize a science tutor three days a week to work with students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR test will show passing rates of each objective at 90%.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Create intervention groups based on student data. The students will be provided interventions throughout the school day by tutors, specialists and teachers.		Formative	_	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Science MAP and Benchmark scores	Nov	Feb	June	
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Administrators Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 	50%	80%		
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
💿 No Progress 🛛 💿 Accomplished 🚽 Continue/Modify 💦 🗙 Discontinue	e			

Performance Objective 3: Instructional coaches and teachers will communicate with parents and students throughout the year regarding their Science growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP data, Fall and Spring Benchmark

Strategy 1 Details		Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Science data trackers will be updated by students and shared with parents at the beginning, middle, and end of the year.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students MAP score will increase by 5%.	Nov Feb		June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers and Instructional coaches				
Title I:	85%	85%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
trategy 2: Teachers will utilize Stemscopes as an at home resource for students and parents.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students MAP scores will increase by 5%.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Instructional coaches				
Title I:	65%	75%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: MTSS/504 coordinator will inform parents of available services to support student academic success.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students MAP scores will increase by 5%.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and MTSS/504 coordinator				
	100%	100%	100%	
Title I:	100%	100%	100%	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

0 No Progress	Accomplished	 X Discontinue

State Compensatory

Budget for Taylor Ray Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$11,253.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 1 **Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs**

State comp funds are used to hire tutors to provide interventions for striving students.

Personnel for Taylor Ray Elementary

Name	Position	<u>FTE</u>
Pam Clayton	Math Coach	0.5
Tracey Sweeny	Reading Coach	0.5

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	FTE
Gale Matthys	Tutor	Title I	
Kelley McBride	Tutor	Title I	
Mary Leopold	Tutor	Title I	
Mishelle Ehrig	Tutor	Title I	
Stephanie Deleon	Instructional Coach	Title 1	
Susanne Koenig	Tutor	Title 1	
Suzanne Young	Tutor	Title I	

Campus Funding Summary

			211 Title I, Part A		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	4	Tutors, Computer Programs, Literacy Coach		\$185,500.00
Sub-Total					\$185,500.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$185,500.00	
+/- Difference					\$0.00
			199 PIC 30 State SCE Title I-A, Schoolwide Activit		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	Supplemental Personnel		\$6,000.00
1	3	1	Supplemental Personnel		\$5,253.00
				Sub-Total	\$11,253.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$11,253.00	
+/- Difference					\$0.00
			263 Title III, LEP		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	4	Student supplies and materials		\$6,310.00
Sub-Total					\$6,310.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$6,310.00	
+/- Difference					\$0.00
Grand Total Budgeted				\$203,063.00	
Grand Total Spent					\$203,063.00
+/- Difference					\$0.00