Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Lindsey Elementary

2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Every Learner. Every Day. Whatever It Takes.

Vision

We promise to be the one who will value who you are, where you have been, and where you are going

To serve as your advocate and build a meaningful relationship

To encourage, engage, and inspire you as we learn and grow

To make learning fun and share laughter everyday

To empower your growth by fostering the love of learning in a safe environment

We will persevere TOGETHER!

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	9
Perceptions	11
Priority Problem Statements	13
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	14
Goals	16
Goal 1: By June of 2024, 91% of our students in each grade level Kindergarten - 2nd grade will be at or above the district reading level expectations as measured by the GRA	
Assessment.	16
Goal 2: By June 2024, the percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students earning Meets Grade Level as indicated on Reading STAAR, will increase from 74% to at least 80%.	23
Goal 3: By June 2024, the percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students earning Meets Grade Level as indicated on Math STAAR, will increase from 62% to at least 68%.	30
Goal 4: By June 2024, the percentage of 5th grade students earning Meets Grade Level as indicated on Science STAAR, will increase from 53% to at least 58%.	37
State Compensatory	44
Budget for Lindsey Elementary	44
Personnel for Lindsey Elementary	44
Site-Based Decision Making Committee	45
Campus Funding Summary	46

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Lindsey Elementary

Gender

Kathleen Joerger Lindsey Elementary opened in the fall of 2017 as a Pre-K - 5th-grade campus in Lamar Consolidated ISD. The need for Lindsey Elementary is a direct result of the fast growth on the northern side of the district. We serve four subdivisions, three of which are not built out, so the potential for continued substantial growth is imminent. Our current enrollment is 1,203 students, which is growing by the day.

Our campus reached capacity on August 1, 2023. From this date forward, new K-5 students zoned to Lindsey will attend Morgan Elementary.

1,204

24.5%

Total

Gender				
Female	614	51.00	%	
Male	590	49.00%		
Ethnicity				
American Indian - Alaskan	6	0.50%)	
Asian	218	18.11	%	
Black - African American	190	15.78	%	
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	0	0.00%		
White	433	35.96%		
Two-or-More	3.57%			
Student Programs				
Dyslexia		22	1.83%	
Gifted and Talented		91	7.56%	
Regional Day School Progra Deaf Section 504	m for the	0	0%	
Section 504		40	3.32%	
Special Education (SPED)	160	13.29%		
Bilingual/ESL				
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	·	322	26.74%	
Bilingual		0	0%	

English as a Second Language (ESL)

Student Programs		
Alternative ESL Language Program	26	2.16%

Demographics Strengths

The rich cultural diversity of our school is a direct reflection of the diversity of Fort Bend County. Our students have lived and traveled worldwide, and their worldly knowledge is a strength in daily classroom discussions. Many students are bilingual, with English ranking as the second acquired language. We utilize an extended learning time to focus on specific academic growth areas, sentence stems, and a coaching model to assist all EB students. 84% of our grade-level teachers are ESL certified. Parent conferences are scheduled throughout the year to discuss student progress, and in grades 3-5, student-led conferences are utilized to encourage student ownership over their learning. Translators are provided as needed for our families. We currently have 31 languages spoken in our school and 254 EB students.

Lindsey has two Early Childhood Special Education Classrooms and two Structured Learning Classrooms. These four self-contained special education classrooms offer our students an opportunity to collaborate and grow as a community of learners.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: All Lindsey students participated in extended learning time, which included intervention and enrichment. Differentiated instruction and high rigor are an expectation in all Tier 1 lessons and lesson plans. Some of the new Lindsey students require remediation to cover curriculum gaps due to a lack of exposure and educational opportunity. **Root Cause:** The students are moving to LCISD from other schools across the country and from various locations around the world, causing curriculum gaps due to a lack of exposure.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

3 rd Grade Reading Assessment Data 2022-2023										
Level	STAAR 2021	Benchmark 2022	STAAR 2022	Benchmark 2023	STAAR 2023	Increase/ Decrease				
Approaches	84%	85%	94%	85%	91%	-3%				
Meets	59%	54%	71%	47%	72%	1%				
Masters	32%	25%	43%	22%	35%	-8%				

4 th Grade Reading Assessment Data 2022-2023									
Level	STAAR 2021	Benchmark 2022	STAAR 2022	Benchmark 2023	STAAR 2023	Increase/ Decrease			
Approaches	71%	83%	92%	91%	97%	5%			
Meets	41%	47%	73%	59%	69%	-4%			
Masters	15%	26%	45%	24%	36%	-9%			

5 th Grade Reading Assessment Data 2022-2023									
Level	STAAR 2021	Benchmark 2022	STAAR 2022	Benchmark 2023	STAAR 2023	Increase/ Decrease			
Approaches	89%	70%	93%	93%	97%	4%			
Meets	73%	36%	82%	56%	78%	-4%			
Masters	41%	12%	60%	34%	49%	-11%			

3 rd Grade Math Assessment Data 2022-2023									
Level	STAAR 2021	Benchmark 2022	STAAR 2022	Benchmark 2023	STAAR 2023	Increase/ Decrease			
Approaches	81%	79%	97%	79%	85%	-12%			
Meets	48%	34%	68%	32%	61%	-7%			
Masters	20%	14%	27%	7%	30%	3%			

4 th Grade Math Assessment Data 2022-2023									
Level	STAAR 2021	Benchmark 2022	STAAR 2022	Benchmark 2023	STAAR 2023	Increase/ Decrease			
Approaches	86%	71%	82%	81%	89%	7%			
Meets	47%	35%	50%	29%	56%	6%			
Masters	19%	22%	28%	5%	24%	-4%			

5 th Grade Math Assessment Data 2022-2023										
Level	Level STAAR 2021 Benchmark 2022 STAAR 2022 Benchmark 2023 STAAR 2023 Increase/ Decrease									
Approaches	91%	88%	92%	96%	97%	5%				
Meets	65%	56%	65%	64%	68%	3%				
Masters	31%	29%	23%	26%	36%	13%				

5 th Grade Science Assessment Data 2022-2023									
Level	STAAR 2021	Benchmark 2022	STAAR 2022	Benchmark 2023	STAAR 2023	Increase/ Decrease			
Approaches	79%	70%	84%	69%	83%	-1%			
Meets	41%	36%	53%	34%	53%	0%			
Masters	15%	12%	28%	10%	25%	-3%			

	KINDERGARTEN												
	2	2020-202	1	2021-2022			2022-2023				2023-2024		
CAMPUS	MOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	MOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	MOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	MOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	
Lindsey	33.03%	39.29%	-6.26	27.74%	24.28%	3.26%	19.39%	17.09%	2.30%				
					FIR	ST GRA	DE						
	2	2020-202	1	:	2021-202	2	:	2022-202	3	:	2023-202	4	
CAMPUS	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	
Lindsey	45.45%	11.56%	33.89%	39.84%	18.52%	21.23%	34.07%	8.33%	25.74%	23.20%			

	KINDERGARTEN											
SECOND GRADE												
	2020-2021			2021-2022			2022-2023			2023-2024		
CAMPUS	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference	BOY % BL	EOY % BL	% Difference
Lindsey	24.74%	14%	10.74%	18.12%	13.71%	4.41%	18.95%	12.58%	6.37%	7.11%		

Student Learning Strengths

We closed the COVID gaps with a focus on Tier 1 instruction, goal setting, continued refinement of our remediation and extension PAWS Time, and the continuation of Instructional Rounds. In 2019 3rd, 4th, and 5th-grade reading, 90% approached, 67% met, and 37% mastered. On the 2022 STAAR, we exceeded our pre-COVID gaps by increasing 3% approaches, 8% meets, and 12% masters on the 3rd, 4th, and 5th-grade reading STAAR.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: When looking at our 2021-2022 3rd, 4th, and 5th-grade STAAR passing rate, in comparison to our 2022-2023 scores, our ELAR Meets grade level scores declined from 75% to 74%, and our Math Meets grade level scores dropped from 64% to 62%. **Root Cause:** TEA developed a STAAR redesign to improve alignment with the classroom experience by offering new question types, cross-curricular passages, and evidence-based writing, which allows students more ways to show their understanding. Due to this change, we believe students needed additional practice and exemplars to become proficient at the new item types.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Throughout the school year, student assessments (both formative and summative) played a pivotal role in the learning outcomes of our students. A variety of assessments were utilized, including multiple-choice, open-ended student response, student production, turn and talk, conferring, ticket out, and rubrics. Focus was placed on students' ability to apply their learning in a variety of ways. This was done primarily through small group instruction, where teachers continuously responded to student learning needs. Teachers worked diligently to place emphasis on asking questions in multiple ways so that students were able to apply their thinking regardless of the type of assessment used. Guided Reading Rubrics were utilized in first and second grade to monitor student progress and provide feedback to parents. Common assessments were given in grades first through five twice each nine weeks to track alignment from instruction to applied learning.

All formative and summative data was analyzed two times per nine weeks to identify areas of strength and growth for the grade level, class, and individual students. An action plan was developed to address the targeted TEKS, and these objectives were spiraled into large and small group instruction. Students created and tracked goals based on their individualized data. When they reached their goals, we celebrated their success and raised the bar by setting new goals.

Students in grades 4-5 who did not meet the standard on the Reading and/or Math STAAR received 30 hours of accelerated instruction before, after, and/or during PAWS time.

Through the MTSS process, student progress was measured throughout the school year during Kid Chat meetings. Kid Chats included grade-level teams, administrators, facilitators, coaches, counselors, and special education staff. Classroom teachers were responsible for inputting individual student data into a grade level spreadsheet, including reading levels, STAAR scores, grades on common assessments, MAP, benchmarks, number of sight words, etc., depending on the grade. The CORE team set the minimum criteria when sorting student data for each meeting. All stakeholders discussed the data presented in the spreadsheet and worked to create an action plan for students whose data fell below the minimum criteria. These action plans prompted a move from TIER I to TIER II in MTSS. For TIER II intervention, students received 30 minutes of intervention for a minimum of 3 days per week during extended learning time delivered by a grade-level teacher. The purpose of the intervention was to work on spiraling TEKS the students were struggling with in order to be successful with current grade-level content.

Students who did not demonstrate success at TIER II were moved to TIER III on a case-by-case basis. For TIER III intervention, students received 30 minutes of intervention for a minimum of 4 days per week during extended learning time delivered by an instructional coach/interventionist. The purpose of the intervention was to work on critical foundational TEKS the students were missing in order to be successful with current grade-level content. When a student has moved to TIER III intervention, a Student Support Team Meeting is held. These meetings included the instructional coach/interventionist, teachers, and parents. It was important for the team to learn how the child was supported at home, share the details of the intervention with the parent, and answer any questions they might have.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

We continually strive to maintain a strong connection with our parents and community stakeholders. The Lindsey staff, parents, and community worked collaboratively to continue to build the Friends of Lindsey Organization. The purpose of the organization was, and continues to be, to bring families closer together and work towards common goals for the betterment of our students.

Throughout the school year, there were multiple opportunities for families and the school community to attend virtual and in-person events. This included: Meet the Teacher, Curriculum Night, Veteran's Day Program, Spirit Nights, Red Ribbon Week, 4th-grade Choir concert, 3rd and Choir's Winter Program, Running Club, Book Character Parade, Golden Paw awards, Winter and End of Year Class Parties, Celebrations of Learning, 5th-grade drive thru celebration, Field Day, STREAM Night, International Festival, Father/ Daughter and Mother/Son Events, Mommy Makeover, Donuts with Dad, and Lion Dash.

The campus communicated consistently through weekly campus newsletters, Canvas, call-outs, emails, maintaining the school website, Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, phone calls, and parent conferences. During the school year, teachers, administrators, and counselors reached out to parents and students to check on our families. We were able to assist with social and emotional wellness and offer resource assistance through various organizations for our families in need.

As a growing campus, it was essential to recruit a staff of highly qualified and talented professionals that shared a common vision for all vacant positions. This was done by conducting purposeful interviews and through collaboration with teams. As a staff, it was essential to continue to align our vision and goals to meet the evolving needs of the students at Lindsey Elementary. Through weekly planning sessions with the campus facilitators, Learning Meetings, Instructional Rounds, Texas Reading Academy, Learning Walks, and Teachers Observing Teachers, staff members worked collaboratively to refine their craft. It was and continues to be a priority that staff members feel valued for the work they do. We celebrated successes and provided opportunities for staff shoutouts during learning meetings and in our weekly staff newsletter.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers created and developed learning objectives and success criteria; however, the scaffolding within the success criteria did not allow students to identify their learning needs to enhance their educational outcomes. **Root Cause:** Teachers implemented visual learning but struggled to disseminate the learning progression in smaller daily objectives and in student-friendly language.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

According to the end-of-the-year Climate Survey for Parents/Guardians completed in February of 2023, parents felt they did not have the necessary tools to help their learners form home; the following results were recorded on the 2022-2023 School Climate Survey.

2022-2023 Climate Survey for Parents/Guardians					
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Teachers give timely and helpful feedback on my student's schoolwork.	41%	37%	16%	5%	2%
2. Teachers give me useful information on how to help my student do well in school.	36%	41%	17%	5%	2%

	OSS DATA 2022-2	023
REASON	DAYS	NUMBER OF INCIDENTS
Inappropriate touching	1	1
Threat to Students	2	1
Assault of Student	3	3
	ISS DATA 2022-2	023
REASON	DAYS	NUMBER OF INCIDENTS
Unacceptable Bus Conduct	11	5
Physical Conflict/	14	13
Confrontation		
Fail to follow Rules/	1	1
Regulations		
Fighting	7	5
Stealing/Theft	1	1
Non-compliance	2	2
Abusive Lang/Profanity/	4	3
Gesture		
Serious and Persistent Level 3	0	0

As one of the fastest-growing campuses in the district, it was imperative to maintain a strong connection with our students, parents, and community stakeholders. With the constant influx of new students enrolling, we felt like it was important for all students to learn and review the 3 R's of our campus: Be Respectful, Be Responsible, and Be Ready. We have worked to refine our PBIS program over the last five years. Expectations for every part of our building were clearly defined, voice levels were implemented in all common areas, and whole-body listening was taught and modeled to maximize instruction. Class DoJo was utilized by all teachers to reinforce positive behavior, and students were given the opportunity to purchase items from a prize cart weekly. Students who met the set criteria for the given nine weeks were able to attend a PBIS party.

Students also learned about our Campus Essentials, which are 24 social skills that we believe are the key to success. These essentials were taught and reinforced throughout the school year during individual classroom Monday Morning Meetings. Every student and staff member participated in a "Den Reveal" in which our new students were randomly assigned a "Den" that focused on our core values, including Courage, Compassion, Integrity, Generosity, Determination, and Gratitude. The Dens were heterogeneously grouped K-5. The purpose of our Den system was to develop a school climate where students were excited to learn the necessary skills to become life-long learners and productive citizens. Using the Den system, students had the opportunity to earn points for their Den through Den Challenges and by demonstrating our 3Rs and core values throughout the school day. The Golden Paw awards were given to one student from each class that demonstrated exceptional citizenship. Golden Paw awards were also presented to staff members to demonstrate appreciation for their hard work and dedication to the school.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Based on the data collected from various surveys, parents would like more resources to support their learners. **Root Cause:** There are a plethora of resources available to parents via Classlink and Canvas, however, parents are not comfortable accessing and navigating the learning management systems.

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- · Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- · Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results

Goals

Goal 1: By June of 2024, 91% of our students in each grade level Kindergarten - 2nd grade will be at or above the district reading level expectations as measured by the GRA Assessment.

Performance Objective 1: Implement daily instruction that aligns with The Science of Teaching Reading.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, and campus based assessments

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Implement daily phonemic awareness instruction and practice in every large and small group lesson.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Apply the knowledge and mastery of manipulating individual sounds in words to create new words to increase GRA reading levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers Instructional coaches ESL coach TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize sound walls daily to support students in matching articulation of phonemes to the graphemes that represent those sounds.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Apply the knowledge and mastery of phonemes, graphemes, and the six syllable types to reading and writing to increase GRA reading levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers Instructional coaches ESL coach TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Increase number of leveled readers and decodable books in every Pre-Kindergarten - 2nd grade classroom.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be exposed to various text structures and genres. Decoding and fluency will improve. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers Instructional coaches ESL coach Librarian	Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Explicitly teach phonological awareness skills to support Kindergarten early literacy readiness in Pre-Kindergarten.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase knowledge of letters and sounds, which will ultimately lead to students entering Kindergarten as readers, or at a minimum will have pre-reading skills necessary to be readers.		Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kindergarten teachers Instructional coaches ESL coach TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	40%	65%	
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Utilize the inquiry model in Kindergarten - 2nd grade to develop cross curricular units focused on targeted TEKS, vocabulary,		Formative	
listening, speaking, and writing during PAWS time.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in TELPAS scores, academic language, GRA, and MAP. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All teachers kindergarten - 2nd grade Instructional coaches ESL coach TEA Priorities:	40%	65%	
Build a foundation of reading and math No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue	;		

Goal 1: By June of 2024, 91% of our students in each grade level Kindergarten - 2nd grade will be at or above the district reading level expectations as measured by the GRA Assessment.

Performance Objective 2: Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers will receive continued appropriate professional development in The Science of Teaching Reading.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA, Next Steps Forward to Guided Reading, Lesson Plans, teacher observations, rubrics

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Provide professional learning in Next Steps Forward in Guided Reading instruction and how to administer GRA and Running	Formative		
Assessments for students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in GRA levels, differentiated lesson plans and small group instruction, increase in TX-KEA proficiency scores in kindergarten Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers Instructional coaches ESL coach Administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: Pre-K Personnel (instructional aides) - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$126,849.46	Nov 45%	Feb 65%	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Provide professional learning on utilizing sound walls to implement phonics in a systematic and explicit way.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Apply the knowledge and mastery of phonemes, graphemes, and the six syllable types to reading and writing to increase GRA reading levels.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers Instructional coaches ESL coach	45%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			

Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June
40% For	65%	
For		
	rmative Revi	
	rmative Revi	
	mative itevi	ews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	60%	
For		ews
	1	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	60%	
<u>-</u> -	Nov	Formative Revi Formative Nov Feb 40% 60%

Goal 1: By June of 2024, 91% of our students in each grade level Kindergarten - 2nd grade will be at or above the district reading level expectations as measured by the GRA Assessment.

Performance Objective 3: Kindergarten - 2nd grade teachers will identify and intervene with our striving readers.

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, campus based assessments

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Hire highly qualified Kindergarten - 2nd grade interventionist to provide in school tutoring for our striving students.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in GRA reading levels, MAP scores, and TX-KEA proficiencies	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Instructional coaches TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math	40%	65%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize common formative and summative assessments for all courses and disaggregate, analyze, and share results in PLC-data	Formative		
talk meetings twice a nine weeks and Kid Chats once every six weeks to drive small and large group instructional targets.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assessment data is an accurate reflection of what students do and do not know. Data is used to drive instructional decisions both in large and small group, and scores on summative assessments increase as a result. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administrators	40%	60%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Utilize coteach models in all In Class Support classrooms to provide special education students with additional targeted reading		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reinforce the first teach and reduce scaffolding which will help students apply objectives taught resulting in growth on GRA levels, MAP, campus and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All ICS Teachers SPED Teacher TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Utilize team planning to design data driven targeted remediation, intervention and extension instruction for all students to take place during PAWS time. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, MAP, campus, and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach SPED teachers State Comp Ed Tutor TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb 60%	June
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Provide extension opportunities for all EB students to develop in the four language domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing through the use of Flip Grid, and shelter instruction strategies which focus on vocabulary development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in composite TELPAS levels in 1st-2nd grades will increase from 35% to 40%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher ESL Coach TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb 60%	June

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide Beanstack, a reading incentive program, and Waggle, an intervention program, to students to encourage and support	Formative		
reading.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 91% of students reading on or above grade level. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Librarian Kindergarten - 3rd grade teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administration	40%	75%	
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Provide hands on opportunities for parents to learn how to access and navigate our learning management systems within		Formative	
Classlink.		Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents can access all available resources to assist their child in their learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Administrators Instructional Coaches EB Coach Librarian	40%	60%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 8: Conduct parent teacher conferences in PK - 5th, so teachers have the opportunity to discuss glows, grows, and their action plan with parents.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR. Increase parent participation in prescribed action plan. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administrators	Nov 40%	Feb 60%	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Performance Objective 1: Provide differentiated reading and writing instruction for all 3rd - 5th grade learners based on student performance data.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Utilize common formative and summative assessments for all courses and disaggregate, analyze, and share results in PLC-data	Formative			
talk meetings twice a nine weeks and Kid Chats once every six weeks to drive small and large group instructional targets.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assessment data is an accurate reflection of what students do and do not know. Data is used to drive instructional decisions both in large and small group, and scores on summative assessments increase as a result.	1004	CEOV		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers	40%	65%		
Instructional Coaches ESL Coach				
Administrators				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Utilize coteach models in all In Class Support classrooms to provide special education students with additional targeted reading	Formative			
and writing instruction.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reinforce the first teach and reduce scaffolding which will help students apply objectives taught resulting in growth on GRA levels, MAP, campus and district assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All ICS Teachers	40%	65%		
SPED Teacher				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Utilize team planning to design data driven targeted remediation, intervention and extension instruction for all students to take		Formative	
place during PAWS time. Differentiated instruction and interventions based on did not met, approaches, meets, and masters. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, MAP, campus, district, and STAAR assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach SPED teachers State Comp Ed Tutor TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: Literacy Coach - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated	Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Provide accelerated learning instruction during school (HB1416) for 4th and 5th grade students who did not achieve Approaches	Formative		
on STAAR Reading.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, MAP, campus, district, and STAAR assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Academic Tutor Administrators	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Provide extension opportunities for all EB students to develop in the four language domains: listening, speaking, reading, and	Formative		
writing through the use of Summit K12, Flip Grid, and shelter instruction strategies which focus on vocabulary development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in composite TELPAS levels in 3rd - 5th grades will increase from 50% to 55%.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in composite TELPAS levels in 3rd - 3th grades will increase from 30% to 35%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher ESL Coach	40%	70%	
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
Funding Sources: Supplies - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - 1990-11-6399-00-141-25-0 - \$4,110			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: 3rd- 5th grade teachers will utilize the inquiry model to develop cross curricular units focused on targeted TEKS, vocabulary,	Formative		
istening, speaking, and writing during PAWS time.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in TELPAS scores, academic language, GRA, MAP, and STAAR Reading, Math, and Science Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Kinder- 5th	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Provide feedback to teachers through coaching, modeling, lesson plan review, and classroom walkthroughs on a weekly basis.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, MAP, campus, district, and STAAR assessments.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administration	40%	65%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	0		

Performance Objective 2: Implement grade level, class, and individual student goal setting based off of data to support student growth.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: SMART goals will be written and tracked for all assessments including GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Create and implement a goal setting tracker for K-5 students to set and track SMART goals based on objectives throughout the		Formative	
year.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.	4000	CEC	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize learning progressions to set, track, and advance student goals.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including	Nov	Feb	June
GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers	40%	65%	
Instructional Coaches ESL Coach			
Administrators			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			

For	mative Revi	ews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	60%	
For	mative Revi	ews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	65%	
	40% For	Nov Feb 40% 60% Formative Revi Formative Nov Feb

Performance Objective 3: Implement differentiated independent and group work in 3rd-5th grade Reading based on students' targeted areas of growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: SMART goals will be written and tracked for all assessments including GRA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	ews	
Strategy 1: Utilizing student data, teachers will develop small group instruction based on the needs of their students.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers			
Instructional Coaches ESL Coach	40%	60%	
State Comp Ed Tutor			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize team planning to design data driven differentiated individual TEKS boxes for all students.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach	40% 60%		
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Provide Beanstack, a reading incentive program, and Waggle, an intervention program, to students to encourage and support		Formative	
reading.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Librarian 3rd - 5th grade teachers	40%	60%	
Instructional Coaches			
ESL Coach			
Administration			

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
trategy 4: Conduct campus and district learning walks during instruction to increase teacher capacity.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will complete an observation rubric to reflect upon areas of growth for their own	Nov	Feb	June
instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Administrators Instructional Coaches ESL Coach District Reading Facilitators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	40%	60%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	nue		

Goal 3: By June 2024, the percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students earning Meets Grade Level as indicated on Math STAAR, will increase from 62% to at least 68%.

Performance Objective 1: Provide differentiated math instruction for all Pre-Kindergarten - 5th grade learners based on student performance data.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, Dreambox, and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Utilize common formative and summative assessments for all courses and disaggregate, analyze, and share results in PLC-data		Formative	
talk meetings twice a nine weeks and Kid Chats once every six weeks to drive small and large group instructional targets. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assessment data is an accurate reflection of what students do and do not know. Data is used to drive instructional decisions, and scores on summative assessments increase as a result. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize coteach models in all In Class Support classrooms to provide special education students with additional targeted math		Formative	
instruction. Structurally Franceted Possibly Instruction the first tooch and nodice coeffecting which will help students annihily chiestings tought	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reinforce the first teach and reduce scaffolding which will help students apply objectives taught resulting in growth on MAP, Dreambox, benchmarks, campus and STAAR assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All ICS Teachers SPED Teachers	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Provide accelerated learning instruction (HB1416) for 4th and 5th grade students who did not achieve Approaches on STAAR		Formative	
Math.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on MAP, Dreambox, benchmarks, campus, and STAAR assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Academic Tutor Instructional Coach ESL Coach Administrators	40%) 65%		
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Utilize team planning to design data driven targeted remediation, intervention, and extension instruction for all students to take		Formative	
place during PAWS time. Differentiated instruction and interventions based on did not met, approaches, meets, and masters. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on MAP, Dreambox, benchmarks, campus, and STAAR assessments.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Academic Tutor Instructional Coach ESL Coach Administrators	40% 65%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: Tutors and supplemental materials - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$14,322			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	OWG.
Strategy 5: Conduct campus and district learning walks during instruction to increase teacher capacity.	101	Formative	CWS
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will complete an observation rubric to reflect upon areas of growth for their own	Nov	Feb	June
instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Administrators Instructional Coaches ESL Coach District Math Facilitators	40%	65%	vane
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide feedback to teachers through coaching, modeling, lesson plan review, and classroom walkthroughs on a weekly basis.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on MAP, Dreambox, benchmarks, campus, and STAAR assessments.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administration	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ie	•	

Goal 3: By June 2024, the percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students earning Meets Grade Level as indicated on Math STAAR, will increase from 62% to at least 68%.

Performance Objective 2: Implement grade level, class, and individual student goal setting based off of data to support student growth.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: SMART goals will be written and tracked for all assessments including GRA, TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, Dreambox, and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Create and implement a goal setting tracker for K-5 students to set and track SMART goals based on objectives throughout the		Formative	
year.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, Dreambox, and STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize the Lindsey learning scale so that students can determine their personal mastery within the progression of math concepts.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:	Nov	Feb	June
SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, Dreambox, and STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			

For	mative Revi	iews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	65%	
For	mative Revi	iews
Formative		
Nov	Feb	June
40%	65%	
_	Nov 40% For	Nov Feb 40% 65% Formative Review Formative Nov Feb

Goal 3: By June 2024, the percentage of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students earning Meets Grade Level as indicated on Math STAAR, will increase from 62% to at least 68%.

Performance Objective 3: Implement differentiated math stations with student accountability in Kindergarten - 5th grade based off of student SMART goals.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: SMART goals will be written and tracked for all assessments including TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, Dreambox, and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Utilizing student data, teachers will develop small group instruction based on the needs of their students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in MAP, Dreambox, benchmarks, campus based assessments and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach State Comp Ed Tutor	40%	65%		
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will use the CRA model to provide activities based on the students needs.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in MAP, Dreambox, campus, benchmarks, and STAAR assessments.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	40%	65%		
Dung a roungation of reaging and main				

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
trategy 3: Utilize team planning to design data driven differentiated math stations for all students to take place during instructional time.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in MAP, Dreambox, campus, benchmarks, and STAAR assessments.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach State Comp Ed Tutor	40%	65%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ie	•	

Performance Objective 1: Improve Tier 1 instruction in every Science classroom, grades Kindergarten - 5th grade by utilizing the 5 E model.

Evaluation Data Sources: Common and district science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR

For	mative Revi	ews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	65%	
For	mative Revi	ews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
40%	65%	
	Nov 40%	Nov Feb 40% 65% Formative Review Formative Nov Feb

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Utilize STEMscopes and Generation Genius to support science instruction K-5.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build science content knowledge through hands on learning. Increase in percentage meets and	Nov	Feb	June
masters on campus common science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math		65%	
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Performance Objective 2: Implement grade level, class, and individual student goal setting based off of data to support student growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: SMART goals will be written and tracked for all assessments including MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews		
Strategy 1: Create and implement a goal setting tracker for grades 3-5 students to set and track SMART goals based on objectives throughout			Formative		
the year.			June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers	40%	65%			
TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews		
Strategy 2: Conduct parent teacher conferences in PK - 5th, so teachers have the opportunity to discuss glows, grows, and their action plan		Formative			
with parents.	Nov	Feb	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.	40%	65%			
Increase parent participation in prescribed action plan.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches					
ESL Coach					
Administrators					
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math					

Strategy 3 Details			Formative Reviews			
Strategy 3: Utilize the Lindsey learning scale so that students can determine their personal mastery within the progression of science			Formative			
concepts. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:	Nov	Feb	June			
SMART goals will be achieved and growth will be demonstrated in all assessments including TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, and STAAR.	40%	65%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches						
ESL Coach						
Administrators						
TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math						
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews			
Strategy 4: Provide hands-on opportunities for parents to learn how to access and navigate our learning management systems within Classlink.			Τ			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents can access all available resources to assist their child and their learning.	Nov	Feb	June			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	4004	CEN				
Administrators	40%	65%				
Instructional Coach						
Librarian						
EB Coach						
TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math						
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinu	le.					

Performance Objective 3: Improve Tier 1 instruction in every Science classroom, grades Kindergarten - 5th grade by utilizing visual representations of science vocabulary.

Evaluation Data Sources: Common and district science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide visual representations of science vocabulary through the use of anchor charts and interactive word walls.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build academic vocabulary through hands on learning and science content knowledge. Increase in percentage meets and masters on campus common science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb	June	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Provide visual representations of science vocabulary through the use of total physical response and hands on opportunities	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build academic vocabulary through hands on learning and science content knowledge. Increase in percentage meets and masters on campus common science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administrators	40%	65%		
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews		
Strategy 3: Provide visual representations of science vocabulary through the use of interactive notebooks.			Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build academic vocabulary through hands on learning and science content knowledge. Increase in percentage meets and masters on campus common science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Teachers Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administrators	Nov 40%	Feb 65%	June		
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews		
trategy 4: Conduct campus and district learning walks during instruction to increase teacher capacity.	Formative				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will complete an observation rubric to reflect upon areas of growth for their own instruction.	Nov	Feb	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Administrators Instructional Coaches ESL Coach District Science Facilitators	40%	65%			
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals					
Strategy 5 Details	Formative Reviews				
Strategy 5: Provide feedback to teachers through coaching, modeling, lesson plan review, and classroom walkthroughs on a weekly basis.	Formative				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Common and district science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR	Nov	Feb	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches ESL Coach Administration	40%	65%			
No Progress	;		1		

Performance Objective 4: Provide enrichment and intervention opportunities for students struggling and striving in Science

Evaluation Data Sources: Common and district science assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews		
Strategy 1: Host a family STREAM night to provide enrichment activates for families.			Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on major assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR	Nov	Feb	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach Administrators	40%	100%	100%		
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide a lunch and learn for struggling 5th grade students to have an additional hands on opportunity to work on science labs.	Formative				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on major assessments, benchmarks, MAP, and STAAR	Nov	Feb	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Science Lab Teacher Administration	40%	65%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews		
Strategy 3: Provide differentiated science instruction and small groups for all Pre-Kindergarten - 5th grade learners based on student		Formative			
performance data.	Nov	Feb	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: TX-KEA, MAP, benchmarks, campus based assessments, Dreambox, and STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	40%	65%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	le				

State Compensatory

Budget for Lindsey Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$14,322.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 4

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

State Comp Ed money will be utilized to pay for a tutor to serve our Tier 3 students to close the academic gaps to our most at-risk students.

Personnel for Lindsey Elementary

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Alicia Davis	Pre-K Aide	1
Eimy Urdaneta	Pre-K Aide	1
Keri Middleton	Pre-K Aide	1
Mariah Marin	Pre-K Aide	1

Site-Based Decision Making Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Robin Stover	Principal
Classroom Teacher	Megan Davis	Kindergarten Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Crystal Mitchell	1st Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Kat Reiser	2nd Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Barbara Andrzejewski	4th Grade Teacher
Community Representative	Jaclyn Warner	Community Representative
Classroom Teacher	Shawn Smith	3rd Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Stefanie Jones	Special Education
Classroom Teacher	Corry Brown	5th grade teacher
Administrator	Raquel Perez	Assistant Principal
Classroom Teacher	Emily Hartzog	4th Grade Teacher
District-level Professional	Amy Nordstrom	District Reading Facilitator
Parent	Shaily Patil	Parent
Parent	Ginny Gayle	Parent
Parent	Amy Hunt	Parent
Parent	Newton DeAndrea	Parent
Parent	Catherine Trimble	Parent
Parent	Anupama Umachandar	Parent
Parent	Kent Wilson	Parent
Paraprofessional	Sharon Spurier	Paraprofessional
Business Representative	Heather Nelson	Business Representative

Campus Funding Summary

	199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated					
Goal	Objective	e Stra	itegy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	2		1	Pre-K Personnel (instructional aides)		\$126,849.46
2	1		3	Literacy Coach		\$0.00
3	1		4	Tutors and supplemental materials		\$14,322.00
	•	•			Sub-Total	\$141,171.46
					Budgeted Fund Source Amount	\$141,171.46
+/- Difference					\$0.00	
				199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL		
Goal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code			Amount			
2	1	5	Supplie	es 19	990-11-6399-00-141-25-0	\$4,110.00
Sub-Total			al \$4,110.00			
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				nt \$4,110.00		
+/- Difference				ce \$0.00		
Grand Total Budgeted				ed \$145,281.46		
Grand Total Spent				nt \$145,281.46		
+/- Difference				ce \$0.00		